



2023 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report


Laramie River Station
Wheatland, Wyoming

Basin Electric Power Cooperative

Project number: 60632474

January 31, 2024

Quality information

| Prepared by | Checked by | Verified by | Approved by |
|---|---|--|--|
|  Emily Conkling, P.E. |  Kara Hoppes, P.G. Geologist III |  Dennis Connair Senior Project Manager |  Jeremy Hurshman P.G. Project Manager |

Revision History

| Revision | Revision date | Details | Authorized | Name | Position |
|----------|---------------|---------|------------|------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Distribution List

| # Hard Copies | PDF Required | Association / Company Name |
|---------------|--------------|---|
| 2 | yes | Mr. Mark Dihle / Basin Electric Power Cooperative |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Prepared for:

Basin Electric Power Cooperative
Bismarck, North Dakota

Prepared by:

AECOM
1601 Prospect Park Way
Fort Collins, CO 80525
aecom.com

Copyright © 2024 by AECOM

All rights reserved. No part of this copyrighted work may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of AECOM.

Contents

| | |
|---|------|
| Executive Summary | ES-1 |
| 1 Introduction | 1-1 |
| 1.1 Regulatory Background | 1-1 |
| 1.2 Facility Location and Operational History | 1-1 |
| 1.3 CCR Unit Description | 1-1 |
| 1.4 Physical Setting | 1-2 |
| 2 CCR Groundwater Monitoring Activities Prior to 2023 | 2-1 |
| 2.1 Baseline Detection Monitoring (2016-17) | 2-1 |
| 2.2 Initial Assessment Monitoring (2018) | 2-1 |
| 2.3 Additional Groundwater Characterization (2019) | 2-2 |
| 2.4 Assessment of Corrective Measures (ACM) (2019-2020) | 2-3 |
| 2.5 Selection of Remedy and Remedy Implementation (2020-2021) | 2-4 |
| 2.6 Semi-annual Assessment Monitoring (2019-2022) | 2-4 |
| 3 CCR Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Activities in 2023 | 3-1 |
| 3.1 Assessment Monitoring Activities | 3-1 |
| 3.1.1 Monitoring System Evaluation | 3-1 |
| 3.1.2 Groundwater Sampling and Analysis | 3-2 |
| 3.2 Statistical Procedures and Analysis | 3-2 |
| 3.3 Bottom Ash Pond 1 | 3-3 |
| 3.4 Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill Multi-unit | 3-3 |
| 3.5 Emergency Holding Ponds | 3-3 |
| 3.6 Corrective Action Activities | 3-4 |
| 3.6.1 Selection of Remedy for Bottom Ash Pond 1 | 3-4 |
| 4 General Information | 4-1 |
| 4.1 Problems Encountered | 4-1 |
| 4.2 Actions Planned for 2024 | 4-1 |
| 5 Summary and Conclusions | 5-1 |
| 6 References | 6-1 |

Figures

Figure 1 Site Location Map

Figure 2 LRS CCR Monitoring Well Network

Tables

Table 1 Statistical Analysis Methods and Results – Bottom Ash Pond 1

Table 2 Statistical Analysis Methods and Results –Ash Pond 2, Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill Multi-unit

Table 3 Statistical Analysis Methods and Results – Emergency Holding Ponds

Attachments

Attachment A 2023 Sampling and Analysis Report, CCR Monitoring Program

Attachment B Input and Output Data Files for Calculation of Upper and Lower Prediction Limits (2016-2021)

List of Acronyms

| | |
|---------------|--|
| ACM | Assessment of Corrective Measures |
| AECOM | AECOM Technical Services, Inc. |
| annual report | annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report |
| ASD | alternative source demonstration |
| Basin | Basin Electric Power Cooperative |
| bgs | below ground surface |
| CCR | coal combustion residuals |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| ft | feet |
| ft/day | feet per day |
| GWPS | groundwater protection standard |
| LRS | Laramie River Station |
| mg/L | milligrams per liter |
| SSI | statistically significant increase |
| SSL | statistically significant levels |
| TDS | total dissolved solids |
| UPL | upper prediction limit |
| 95% LCL | 95 percent lower confidence limit |

Executive Summary

This report summarizes groundwater monitoring and corrective action activities completed between January 1 and December 31, 2023 for the coal combustion residuals (CCR) units at the Basin Electric Power Cooperative (Basin) Laramie River Station (LRS) as required by 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 257.90 of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency CCR rule. The CCR units subject to the rule include:

- Bottom Ash Pond 1,
- Bottom Ash Pond 2/Bottom Ash Pond 3/Ash Landfill Multi-unit, and
- Emergency Holding Ponds Multi-unit.

Site figures illustrating the location of the CCR units and program monitoring networks for the CCR units are presented as **Figures 1** and **2**, respectively. No program monitoring wells were modified or abandoned during the reporting period.

Basin implemented an Assessment monitoring program for Bottom Ash Pond 1 and the two CCR multi-units (Bottom Ash Pond 2/Bottom Ash Pond 3/Ash Landfill and Emergency Holding Ponds) in the spring of 2018 in response to the identification of statistically significant increases (SSIs) of Appendix III constituents in downgradient monitoring wells. Assessment monitoring was in place for all three units/multi-units at the start and end of the current annual reporting period (2023).

Assessment monitoring of the Bottom Ash Pond 2/Bottom Ash Pond 3/Ash Landfill Multi-unit in 2023 found that concentrations of two Appendix III constituents (calcium and chloride) and six Appendix IV constituents (barium, chromium, cobalt, lead, molybdenum, and selenium) exhibited SSIs above background values at select monitoring wells. No SSIs identified at the Bottom Ash Pond 2/Bottom Ash Pond 3/Ash Landfill Multi-unit exceeded GWPSs.

Assessment monitoring of the Emergency Holding Ponds Multi-unit in 2023 found that concentrations of one Appendix III constituent (fluoride) and three Appendix IV constituents (barium, chromium, and radium-226/228 combined) exhibited SSIs above the background values at select monitoring wells. No SSIs identified at the Emergency Holding Ponds Multi-unit exceeded GWPSs.

Assessment monitoring of the Bottom Ash Pond 1 Unit found that concentrations of five Appendix III constituents (boron, calcium, chloride, sulfate, and total dissolved solids [TDS]) and four Appendix IV constituents (chromium, lithium, molybdenum, and selenium) exhibited SSIs above background levels at select monitoring wells. Lithium at monitoring well MW-38B and molybdenum at monitoring wells 21B and MW-38B additionally exceeded GWPSs. Downgradient well(s) with a constituent or constituents reported above GWPSs at a statistically significant level (SSL) are as follows:

| Appendix IV Constituent | Unit/Multi-Unit | Downgradient Well(s) GWPS SSL Exceedance |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Lithium and molybdenum | Bottom Ash Pond 1 | MW-38B |

As required by the CCR rule, an assessment of corrective measures (ACM) was conducted and a public meeting open to interested and affected parties was held on January 30, 2020 to discuss the results of the ACM. After completion of the ACM, work was initiated on the Selection of Remedy for the impacted groundwater as required by 40 CFR § 257.97. A Groundwater Remedy Selection Report was prepared and issued in July 2020 (AECOM 2020), after which work was initiated on the design and implementation of the following selected remedy:

- Bottom Ash Pond 1 retrofit, hydraulic capture of impacted groundwater, and treatment of the captured groundwater in the new zero-discharge Pond 1.

Cleanout and retrofit of Bottom Ash Pond 1, which essentially eliminated the source of impact to groundwater, was completed in March 2021. Installation and hydraulic testing of extraction wells for the hydraulic capture system was

completed in December 2021. The hydraulic capture pumping system was designed in 2022 and contracting for installation of the system was initiated in 2022. Installation of the pumping system began in summer 2023 and initiation of pumping is expected in spring 2024 once final system components are installed in early 2024.

Other activities and conditions for the 2023 annual reporting period include:

- Semiannual Assessment-mode groundwater monitoring events were conducted in June and October. Monitoring involved sampling of background monitoring wells and downgradient monitoring wells.
- No decommissioning of the existing program monitoring networks was conducted.
- No program transitions (Detection to Assessment or vice versa) were triggered.

Anticipated activities for the next annual reporting period include:

- Completion of two semi-annual Assessment-mode groundwater monitoring events.
- Statistical evaluation of groundwater data for Appendix III and Appendix IV constituents.
- Initiation of groundwater withdrawal for the Bottom Ash Pond 1 Unit treatment system.
- Replacement of monitoring well MW-53B.

1 Introduction

On behalf of Basin Electric Power Cooperative (Basin), AECOM Technical Services, Inc. (AECOM) has prepared this 2023 annual report documenting groundwater monitoring and corrective action for the coal combustion residuals (CCR) units at Basin's Laramie River Station (LRS).

Section 1 provides background information on the LRS power generating facility, its CCR unit(s) and their physical setting, including geology, hydrology, and groundwater conditions. Section 2 summarizes CCR groundwater monitoring activities conducted prior to 2023. Section 3 summarizes the groundwater monitoring and corrective action activities completed in 2023, and references attachments to this report that contain detailed documentation of those activities. Section 4 provides some general information regarding the LRS CCR program and actions planned for 2024. Section 5 presents a summary and conclusions from CCR groundwater monitoring in 2023 and statistical analysis of the results. Section 6 lists references cited in this report.

1.1 Regulatory Background

The CCR rule (Chapter 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] Part 257 Subpart D) became effective on October 19, 2015 and established standards for the disposal of CCR in landfills and surface impoundments (CCR units). In particular, the rule set forth groundwater monitoring and corrective action requirements for CCR units. The rule includes the requirement for an "annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report" (annual report), with the annual report for 2023 due by January 31, 2024. The annual report is intended to document the status of the groundwater monitoring and corrective action program for each CCR unit, summarize key actions completed in the previous year, and project key activities for the upcoming year. This report is the seventh annual report for LRS and reports on activities performed and data gathered in calendar year 2023.

1.2 Facility Location and Operational History

LRS, located east of Wheatland, Wyoming (**Figure 1**), is one of the largest consumer-operated, regional, joint power supply ventures in the United States. The plant consists of three power-generating units with a total power output capacity of 1,710 megawatts:

- Unit 1, with a rating of 570 megawatts, which began operating in 1980;
- Unit 2, with a rating of 570 net megawatts, which began operating in 1981; and
- Unit 3, with a rating of 570 net megawatts, which began operating in 1982.

CCR produced at LRS includes fly ash, bottom ash, and flue gas desulfurization waste.

1.3 CCR Unit Description

CCR is disposed at LRS in the following CCR units, monitored as individual units or multi-units:

- Bottom Ash Pond 1;
- Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill (multi-unit); and
- Emergency Holding Ponds (multi-unit).

The Ash Landfill and three bottom ash ponds are located near the western edge of the site, west of the LRS generating units and office complex (**Figure 1**). The two emergency holding ponds are located north of the generating units in the northeastern part of the site. The landfill and ash ponds were permitted in 1978 and began receiving coal ash in 1980. The emergency holding ponds were identified as CCR units due to periodic disposal of flue gas desulfurization waste in the ponds.

1.4 Physical Setting

The geological and hydrogeological setting is important to understanding the groundwater environment in the vicinity of the LRS. The geologic history of Platte County, Wyoming is similar to most areas within the Front Range of the Rocky Mountains. Platte County is underlain by marine and continental deposits of limestone, conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone, shale, and unconsolidated sediments. Deposits range in thickness up to 10,000 feet (ft) in the east central and southeastern parts of the county. Precambrian rocks generally make up the mountainous areas, Paleozoic and Mesozoic rocks adjoin the older formations, and Tertiary and Quaternary period rocks underlie most of the county east of the Laramie Range (USGS 1960). The Laramide Orogeny was a period of mountain building active in the county approximately 70 million years ago marking the beginning of the Laramie Range and the Hartville Uplift, a structural divide separating the southern Powder River Basin from the northern Denver Basin. During the Cenozoic Era, streams eroded the eastern side of the range depositing silts, sands, and gravels of the Brule and Arikaree Formations that underlie the Wheatland area, including LRS.

Precipitation landing on the eastern flank of the Laramie Range supplies surface water to perennial and ephemeral streams that flow east towards the basin. Most surface water west of Wheatland eventually joins with the Laramie River, continuing east before discharging into the Platte River near Fort Laramie. Groundwater near Wheatland is recharged primarily through infiltration of rain and melt water on the eastern flank of the Laramie Range and through infiltration of irrigation water during the spring, summer, and fall months. Some groundwater in the saturated zones eventually returns to the land surface through seeps and springs, or is discharged by wells and evapotranspiration; however, the majority flows into surface streams. Alluvial drainages bounding the eastern (Wheatland Creek) and western portions (Chugwater Creek) of the facility generally transport surface water northward, discharging to the Laramie River (USGS 1960). Some groundwater within these regions percolates into the Arikaree Formation, which holds the uppermost aquifer beneath the LRS facility.

The LRS facility is underlain by a 5 to 30 ft thick section of Quaternary sediments that overlie the Arikaree Formation. The Arikaree Formation is comprised primarily of loosely to moderately cemented very fine to fine grained sandstone with interbedded silts and clays. A lower unit consists of lenses of loose to well-cemented red to gray coarse sandstone interbedded with lenses of well-cemented conglomerate. A basal conglomerate lies unconformably upon the underlying Brule Formation in many places throughout Platte County (USGS 1960). A review of the geologic logs generated during the drilling of the on-site water supply well (Forell-Baumgardner No. 2) suggests the Brule Formation is approximately 820 ft below ground surface (bgs) in the western portions of the facility. Based on this information, the local thickness of the Arikaree Formation on-site is approximately 790 ft thick.

The lithologic characteristics of the Arikaree Formation beneath the LRS are generally consistent, although there are slight differences in the degree of cementation and induration, and minor variations in grain size. Few fractures were noted in borehole soil cores obtained during monitoring well network installation. Interbeds with higher silt and clay content, coupled with greater cementation, generate thin discontinuous perched groundwater horizons that are interpreted to hold only seasonal groundwater. The perched groundwater would tend to percolate downward to what is interpreted as the uppermost aquifer based on data obtained during monitoring well installation and aquifer testing. The uppermost aquifer is present at a depth of approximately 95 ft bgs in the southwestern portion of the facility, and slopes generally north towards the Laramie River. The hydraulic gradient for the uppermost aquifer beneath the facility appears to be controlled dominantly through topographic features and enhanced infiltration zones in permeable shallow alluvium. A representative potentiometric surface map from the most recent Assessment monitoring event conducted in October 2023 is presented in Attachment A. Data from aquifer pump testing conducted at the facility in 2016 were used to estimate hydraulic conductivities, which range from 0.65 ft per day (ft/day) to 3.12 ft/day, with an average of 1.40 ft/day. Aquifer slug tests were also performed on eight other wells, with resulting hydraulic conductivities ranging from 0.45 ft/day to 6.28 ft/day, with an average of 2.16 ft/day.

2 CCR Groundwater Monitoring Activities Prior to 2023

The regulatory process for CCR groundwater monitoring and corrective action is established by 40 CFR §§ 257.90 through 257.98. The process includes a phased approach to groundwater monitoring, leading (if applicable) to the establishment of groundwater protection standards (GWPSs) for each CCR unit. Exceedances of the GWPSs that are determined to be statistically significant can trigger requirements for additional groundwater characterization and corrective action assessment followed by corrective action implementation.

The following paragraphs provide a summary of CCR groundwater monitoring activities performed prior to 2023. CCR groundwater monitoring activities performed in 2023 are discussed in Section 3.

Groundwater monitoring at LRS is performed using a network of monitoring wells that includes wells to monitor background water quality that is not potentially influenced by the presence of the CCR unit, and wells placed at the downgradient boundary of the CCR units (**Figure 2**). The hydro stratigraphic positions of the CCR monitoring wells selected for sampling background and downgradient groundwater quality for each LRS CCR unit or multi-unit prior to 2023 are summarized below:

| CCR Unit/multi-Unit | Background Wells | Downgradient Wells |
|--|------------------------|---|
| Bottom Ash Pond 1 | MW-52B, MW-53B | MW-49B, MW-21B, MW-38B, MW-38C |
| Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill | MW-39B, MW-32B | MW-36B, MW-37B, MW-20B, MW-14BR, MW-40B, MW-52B, MW-53B |
| Emergency Holding Ponds | MW-41B, MW-42B, MW-43B | MW-44B, MW-45B, MW-46B, MW-47B |

The following monitoring wells were also included in the CCR monitoring network for the purpose of measuring groundwater elevations and evaluating groundwater flow direction and velocity in the vicinity of the bottom ash ponds and landfill: MW-33B, MW-34B, MW-35B, MW-48B, MW-50B, MW-51B, MW-54B, MW-55B, and MW-56B.

2.1 Baseline Detection Monitoring (2016-17)

Detection monitoring was initiated in August 2016, which involved sampling groundwater for Part 257 Appendix III and Appendix IV constituents over eight baseline Detection monitoring events.

Baseline Detection monitoring events were performed in general accordance with procedures established in the site-specific Sampling and Analysis Plan (AECOM 2018a), which is included in the facility’s Operating Record. The Sampling and Analysis Plan describes the procedures for equipment calibration, monitoring well water level measurement, monitoring well purging and sampling, sample custody, sample shipping, laboratory analysis, and documentation requirements for each groundwater sample submitted. The results of detection monitoring at LRS were presented and discussed in the First Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report, 2016-2017 (AECOM 2018b).

2.2 Initial Assessment Monitoring (2018)

If an SSI of any Appendix III constituent relative to background conditions was detected in the downgradient monitoring wells and could not be demonstrated to be associated with a source other than the CCR unit, then the CCR rule required that groundwater monitoring transition from the Detection monitoring phase to the Assessment monitoring phase. The results of the Baseline Detection monitoring (2016–2017) identified the following Appendix III SSIs:

- Bottom Ash Pond 1 SSIs – boron, calcium, chloride, sulfate, and TDS;

- Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill SSIs – fluoride and chloride; and
- Emergency Holding Ponds SSI – fluoride.

In response to SSIs identified during Detection monitoring, Basin implemented an Assessment monitoring program for the two CCR multi-units (Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill and Emergency Holding Ponds) in the spring of 2018. The initial Assessment monitoring event for the two multi-units was conducted in April 2018 and included analysis of groundwater samples for constituents listed in Part 257 Appendix IV. Also in the spring of 2018, Basin elected to conduct an alternative source demonstration (ASD) investigation to evaluate whether an alternative source could explain the SSIs identified for Bottom Ash Pond 1 during Detection monitoring. This ASD investigation involved the following activities:

- Analyzing soil samples collected from shallow soil borings at 10 locations near Bottom Ash Pond 1, and
- Performing groundwater modeling to evaluate potential constituent migration pathways and transport times from potential source areas to one of the downgradient wells for Bottom Ash Pond 1.

The ASD investigation could not confirm an alternative source; therefore, Basin initiated an Assessment monitoring program for Bottom Ash Pond 1 and completed the initial Assessment monitoring event in June 2018 which included analysis of groundwater samples for constituents listed in Part 257 Appendix IV.

The following Appendix IV constituents were detected during the initial Assessment monitoring of the CCR multi-units: barium, chromium, fluoride, lithium, molybdenum, radium-226 and -228 (combined), and selenium (April 2018). The Appendix IV constituents detected during initial Assessment monitoring of Bottom Ash Pond 1 included barium, chromium, cobalt, fluoride, lithium, molybdenum, and selenium (June 2018).

Because one or more Appendix IV constituents were detected during the initial Assessment monitoring events for all three CCR units/multi-units at LRS, the CCR rule required that a second verification Assessment monitoring event be performed for each unit/multi-unit. Verification Assessment monitoring was performed in June 2018 for the two multi-units and in October 2018 for Bottom Ash Pond 1. Verification monitoring for each unit/multi-unit involved analysis for Appendix III constituents plus the Appendix IV constituents detected during initial Assessment monitoring.

The results of Assessment monitoring conducted in 2018 were presented in the 2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report (AECOM 2019a).

2.3 Additional Groundwater Characterization (2019)

The CCR rule requires that concentrations of Appendix III and Appendix IV constituents detected in downgradient wells during Assessment monitoring be compared to background concentrations using the statistical procedures in § 257.93(g). The rule also requires the establishment of GWPSs in accordance with § 257.95(h) for each Appendix IV constituent detected in downgradient wells during Assessment monitoring. If a GWPS is exceeded in one or more downgradient wells at statistically significant levels (SSLs), the rule requires additional groundwater characterization and an ACM unless the SSLs can be attributed to a source other than the CCR unit or to an error in sampling, statistical evaluation, or natural variation in groundwater quality.

Assessment monitoring of Bottom Ash Pond 1 found that lithium and molybdenum concentrations in monitoring well MW-38B exceeded GWPSs at SSLs. Although selenium concentrations in monitoring wells MW-21B and MW-38B exceeded the GWPS by direct comparison, their 95 percent lower confidence limit (95% LCL) did not exceed the GWPS. Basin concluded the available data indicated that the SSLs associated with Bottom Ash Pond 1 could not be attributed to a source other than the CCR unit or to errors in sampling, statistical evaluation, or natural variation in groundwater quality. Therefore, Basin performed additional groundwater characterization and an ACM for Bottom Ash Pond 1. Additional groundwater characterization activities were performed in the spring of 2019 to evaluate the nature and extent of groundwater impacted by a release of CCR from Bottom Ash Pond 1 that has resulted in the exceedance

of GWPSs for lithium and molybdenum. The characterization activities were designed to also support selection and implementation of a remedy to attain GWPSs. The activities involved installation and sampling of four monitoring wells (MW-38C, MW-54B, MW-55B, MW-56B) downgradient of Bottom Ash Pond 1 at the locations illustrated in **Figure 2**:

- MW-38C was installed near MW-38B to evaluate the vertical extent of groundwater impacts near MW-38B. The total depth of MW-38C was 130 ft bgs.
- MW-54B was installed to evaluate groundwater quality of the uppermost aquifer at the facility boundary. The total depth of MW-54B was 25 ft bgs.
- MW-55B was installed northeast of MW-21B to evaluate the downgradient extent of groundwater impacts north of MW-38B. The total depth of MW-55B was 70 ft bgs.
- MW-56B was installed east of MW-21B to evaluate the lateral extent of groundwater impacts northeast of MW-38B. The total depth of MW-56B was 79 ft bgs.

Groundwater samples from the four wells were analyzed for Appendix III parameters and the following Appendix IV parameters: barium, chromium, cobalt, fluoride, lithium, molybdenum, and selenium; and the geochemical parameters alkalinity, magnesium, and sodium. None of the 2019 results exceeded the GWPSs for lithium, molybdenum, or the other Appendix IV constituents detected during Assessment monitoring in 2018. These results, combined with results from past Assessment monitoring, reasonably defined the extent of groundwater impacted by lithium and molybdenum attributed to Bottom Ash Pond 1. The data suggest that the horizontal extent of groundwater with lithium and molybdenum concentrations exceeding GWPSs extends from Bottom Ash Pond 1 downgradient to MW-38B but not as far as MW-55B or MW-56B. Similarly, the vertical extent of groundwater exceeding GWPSs extends from the surface of the uppermost aquifer at MW-38B to possibly below the bottom of the screened interval of MW-38B (75 ft bgs), but not as deep as the screened interval of MW-38C (114 ft bgs to 125 ft bgs). Overall, the data enhanced understanding of relevant site conditions, assisted in selecting a remedy, and will be useful for remedy design and implementation.

2.4 Assessment of Corrective Measures (ACM) (2019-2020)

Groundwater Assessment monitoring of Bottom Ash Pond 1 identified lithium and molybdenum at SSLs above GWPSs. The exceedance of GWPSs triggered requirements for the assessment, selection, and implementation of corrective measures to prevent further releases of hazardous constituents, remediate any releases, and restore the affected area. Basin completed an ACM in 2019 (AECOM 2019b). The ACM focused on identifying and evaluating groundwater corrective measures to address the dissolved lithium and molybdenum in groundwater downgradient of Bottom Ash Pond 1.

Potentially applicable corrective measures were identified based on the nature and extent of groundwater impacts and site-specific geological and hydrogeological characteristics. Screening was performed by evaluating each corrective measure against the criteria of effectiveness, technical implementability, and relative cost. Those that were deemed ineffective and/or had significant implementation concerns were rejected from further consideration. Natural attenuation, groundwater extraction, and long-term monitoring passed the screening step and were assembled into the following two corrective measures alternatives for further detailed evaluation:

- Alternative A: Natural Attenuation and Long-Term Monitoring; and
- Alternative B: Groundwater Extraction, On-site Reuse or Disposal, and Long-Term Monitoring.

The two alternatives were evaluated against the requirements specified in 40 §§ CFR 257.96 and 257.97, broadly categorized under the criterion of effectiveness, implementability, and cost. The results of the ACMs, including an evaluation of the two alternatives, are presented in the ACMs (AECOM 2019b). The two alternatives were presented at a public meeting held on January 30, 2020 in Wheatland, Wyoming. A 30-day public comment period started on January 30, 2020 and ended on February 29, 2020. No comments were received during the 30-day period following the public meeting.

2.5 Selection of Remedy and Remedy Implementation (2020-2021)

Following the ACM public meeting, Basin elected to implement Alternative B: Retrofit of Bottom Ash Pond 1, Groundwater Extraction, On-site Reuse or Disposal, and Long-Term Monitoring to meet the remedy requirements of the CCR rule. The Groundwater Remedy Selection Report was certified and issued in July 2020 (AECOM 2020). Basin subsequently initiated remedial design activities within 90 days of remedy selection in accordance with § 257.97.

- The first step in the selected alternative involves the removal of all CCR from Bottom Ash Pond 1 and the placement of a liner system before returning it to service as a CCR unit. The retrofit was initiated in August 2020 and completed in March 2021.
- The second step involves the use of proven, reliable groundwater pumping technology to intercept impacted groundwater and route it back to the retrofitted Bottom Ash Pond 1. Design of this step was initiated in November 2020 and was completed in spring 2022. The following steps were completed in 2021:
 - Installation of two 6-inch recovery wells to a total depth of approximately 80 ft bgs occurred in November 2021.
 - Recovery well development and aquifer testing of the recovery wells occurred in December 2021.

2.6 Semi-annual Assessment Monitoring (2019-2022)

Assessment monitoring in 2018 of the Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, and the Ash Landfill multi-unit found that concentrations of Appendix IV constituents chromium, fluoride, and selenium exhibited SSIs above background values, but all concentrations were below GWPSs. Therefore, Assessment monitoring of this multi-unit is required on a semi-annual basis per the requirements of § 257.95. Assessment monitoring of the Emergency Holding Ponds multi-unit found that Appendix IV constituents chromium, fluoride, and selenium exhibited SSIs above background values but below GWPSs. Therefore, semi-annual Assessment monitoring of this multi-unit is also required. The results of semi-annual Assessment monitoring subsequently completed in 2023 are discussed in section 3.

The first of two semi-annual Assessment monitoring events for 2019 occurred on June 4-6, 2019. The field activities and resulting data were summarized in the Sampling and Analysis Report, Assessment Monitoring, June 2019 (AECOM 2019c). The second semi-annual Assessment monitoring event for 2019 occurred on October 21-23, 2019. The field activities and data were summarized in the Sampling and Analysis Report, Assessment Monitoring, October 2019 (AECOM 2019d).

Semi-annual Assessment monitoring events for 2020, 2021, and 2022 occurred in June and October of each year. The field activities and resulting data were summarized in the 2020 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report, the 2021 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Corrective Action Report, and the 2022 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Corrective Action Report (AECOM 2021, 2022, 2022).

3 CCR Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Activities in 2023

This section summarizes the activities conducted at LRS in 2023 to comply with the groundwater requirements of the CCR rule:

- Groundwater Assessment monitoring activities for all CCR units:
 - monitoring system evaluation;
 - groundwater sampling;
 - laboratory analysis; and
 - statistical analysis of the monitoring results.
- Groundwater Corrective Action
 - A Groundwater Extraction System was designed for Bottom Ash Pond 1 and partially installed in 2023. Final installation is expected to be completed in early 2024 and operation is anticipated to be initiated in spring 2024.

Further details concerning each of these activities, including brief discussion of work completed during the reporting period are provided below.

3.1 Assessment Monitoring Activities

3.1.1 Monitoring System Evaluation

As described in the CCR Groundwater Monitoring System Report (AECOM 2017), monitoring wells were installed around the CCR unit/multi-units at LRS to: (1) facilitate collection of representative groundwater samples from the uppermost aquifer; and (2) accurately measure water table elevations to support evaluation of groundwater gradient and flow direction. All monitoring wells comprising the LRS CCR monitoring system with the exception of well MW-53B were found to be in good condition during the assessment monitoring events conducted in 2023. The condition of well MW-53B is described more in Section 4.

Following additional groundwater characterization of Bottom Ash Pond 1 in the spring of 2019, Basin elected to incorporate the four newly installed monitoring wells (MW-38C, MW-54B, MW-55B, and MW-56B) into the assessment monitoring program, which continued into 2023. Water level measurements and groundwater quality samples were collected from MW-38C during the June and October 2023 Assessment monitoring events. Water level measurements only were taken at MW-54B, MW-55B and MW-56B in 2023.

Potentiometric surface maps constructed using the depth-to-groundwater measurements obtained at the beginning of each event are presented in **Attachment A**. The direction of groundwater flow in both 2023 events is generally to the northeast, consistent with previous data collected during detection and assessment monitoring from 2016 through 2022 and supports the design of the well network (**Figure 2**) to monitor background groundwater quality and the quality of groundwater downgradient of the CCR units.

3.1.2 Groundwater Sampling and Analysis

Groundwater Assessment monitoring was performed at LRS in June and October 2023 for the three CCR unit/multi-units. Monitoring activities included collecting groundwater samples from the wells listed below:

| CCR Unit/multi-unit | Background Wells | Downgradient Wells |
|---|------------------------|---|
| Bottom Ash Pond 1 | MW-52B, MW-53B | MW-21B, MW-38B, MW-38C*, MW-49B |
| Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill (multi-unit) | MW-39B, MW-32B | MW-36B, MW-37B, MW-20B, MW-14BR, MW-40B, MW-52B, MW-53B |
| Emergency Holding Ponds (multi-unit) | MW-41B, MW-42B, MW-43B | MW-44B, MW-45B, MW-46B, MW-47B |

* MW-38C, installed in April 2019, was added to the assessment monitoring program as a downgradient compliance well for Bottom Ash Pond 1. This well was sampled during the June and October 2023 monitoring event.

Water levels were also measured in the following monitoring wells for the purpose of evaluating groundwater flow direction and velocity:

- MW-33B, MW-34B, MW-35B, MW-48B, MW-50B, MW-51B, MW-54B, MW-55B, and MW-56B¹. Monitoring wells MW-22B and MW-23B were not measured for water levels in 2023 due to damage to the well and to the lock, respectively. No well repair is planned for MW-23B; this well has been removed from the water level monitoring network. Additionally, monitoring wells MW-52B, MW-54B, MW-55B, and MW-56B were not measured for water levels during the June 2023 event but were measured during the October 2023 event. MW-52B was not able to be located under new road base material in the area. The other wells were inadvertently missed during fluid level measurements.

Assessment monitoring sampling and analysis was performed in general accordance with procedures established in the Sampling and Analysis Plan (AECOM 2018a). The results are presented in **Attachment A**, which also includes representative potentiometric surface maps for the uppermost aquifer, inferred groundwater flow directions and estimated velocities, and a tabulated summary of field measurements and laboratory analytical data.

3.2 Statistical Procedures and Analysis

The CCR rule requires that concentrations of Appendix III and Appendix IV constituents detected in downgradient wells during Assessment monitoring be compared to background concentrations using the statistical procedures in § 257.93(g). The rule also requires the establishment of GWPSs for each Appendix IV constituent detected in downgradient wells during Assessment monitoring. The detected concentrations are then compared to the GWPSs for each constituent, which are either:

- The federal Safe Drinking Water Act maximum contaminant level,
- Concentrations for cobalt, lead, lithium, and molybdenum specified in § 257.95(h)(2), or
- The background concentration (upper prediction limit [UPL]) if it is higher than the maximum contaminant level or concentration specified in § 257.95(h)(2).

The statistical analysis procedures and results from 2023 Assessment monitoring for each LRS CCR unit/multi-unit are discussed below. Input and output data files for calculating the UPLs and lower prediction limits for the LRS multi-units are provided in **Attachment B**.

¹ MW-52B, MW-54B, MW-55B, and MW-56B were only gauged during the second monitoring event in October and are not included in the first half potentiometric map in June.

3.3 Bottom Ash Pond 1

Appendix III and Appendix IV groundwater quality data from Bottom Ash Pond 1 Assessment monitoring were evaluated using an interwell approach that statistically compared constituent concentrations at downgradient monitoring wells to those present at background monitoring wells. The statistical analyses were performed in accordance with the Statistical Method Certification and Statistical Methodology presented in the CCR Groundwater Monitoring System Report (AECOM 2017).

Prediction limits (i.e., parametric or nonparametric) were developed for each constituent based on the frequency of non-detect values and whether the background data for that constituent exhibited a normal, lognormal, or nonparametric distribution. For the statistical analysis, non-detect values were represented as one-half the detection

limit. No outliers were identified in the background data. Analytical data from the background monitoring wells were used to develop an UPL for the Appendix III and Appendix IV background data at 95% confidence or better. Data from each downgradient compliance monitoring well were compared to the UPL to identify SSIs over background. Mann-Kendall trend analysis was used to identify trends for constituents with SSIs. Constituents exhibiting an SSI over the background UPL were further evaluated to determine whether they are present at SSLs relative to GWPS established under § 257.95(d)(2). SSLs were identified by calculating the 95% LCL for the constituents exhibiting SSIs over background at the downgradient compliance wells at each CCR unit and comparing the 95% LCL to the established GWPS. A constituent is present at an SSL above the GWPS if the 95% LCL is greater than the GWPS.

Table 1 summarizes the statistically determined background UPLs of each Appendix III and Appendix IV constituent for Bottom Ash Pond 1. Table 1 also identifies applicable Appendix IV GWPSs, whether each Appendix IV constituent concentration measured in the downgradient wells exceeds the GWPS by direct comparison, and if constituent concentrations are present at an SSL above the GWPS. Assessment monitoring of Bottom Ash Pond 1 in 2023 found that lithium and molybdenum at monitoring well MW-38B exceeded their respective GWPSs at an SSL. Appendix IV SSIs were also observed in MW-21B for chromium, molybdenum, and selenium, and in monitoring well MW-38B for chromium; in these instances, the SSIs did not exceed the GWPS at an SSL because the 95% LCL did not exceed the GWPS. Assessment monitoring of this CCR unit will continue on a semi-annual basis per the requirements of § 257.95.

3.4 Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill Multi-unit

Statistical analyses of Appendix III and Appendix IV groundwater quality data for the Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill multi-unit were performed in a manner similar to that described above for Bottom Ash Pond 1. **Table 2** summarizes the statistically determined background UPLs of each Appendix III and Appendix IV constituent for the Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill multi-unit. **Table 2** also identifies applicable Appendix IV GWPSs, whether each Appendix IV constituent concentration measured in the downgradient wells exceeds a GWPS by direct comparison, and if the constituent concentrations are present at an SSL above the GWPS. Assessment monitoring of this multi-unit in 2023 found that concentrations of some Appendix IV constituents (barium, chromium, cobalt, lead, molybdenum, and selenium) exhibited SSIs above background values at select monitoring wells, but each SSI concentration was below its respective GWPS. Assessment monitoring of this multi-unit will continue on a semi-annual basis per the requirements of § 257.95.

3.5 Emergency Holding Ponds

Statistical analyses of Appendix III and Appendix IV groundwater quality data for the Emergency Holding Ponds multi-unit were also performed consistent with the methodology described above for Bottom Ash Pond 1. **Table 3** summarizes the statistically determined background UPLs of each Appendix III and IV constituent for the Emergency Holding Ponds multi-unit. **Table 3** also identifies applicable Appendix IV GWPSs, whether each Appendix IV constituent concentration measured in the downgradient wells exceeds a GWPS by direct comparison, and if the constituent concentrations are present at an SSL above the GWPS. Assessment monitoring of the Emergency Holding Ponds multi-unit in 2023 found that concentrations of three Appendix IV constituents (barium, chromium, and radium-226/228 combined) exhibited one or more SSIs above the background value at select monitoring wells. No SSI concentrations

exceeded the respective GWPSs. Assessment monitoring of this multi-unit will continue on at least a semi-annual basis per the requirements of § 257.95.

3.6 Corrective Action Activities

3.6.1 Selection of Remedy for Bottom Ash Pond 1

After completion of the Bottom Ash Pond 1 excavation and retrofit and the installation of the groundwater interceptor system extraction wells in 2021, the design of the groundwater recovery pumping system was conducted. The design includes elements of pumping, piping, electrical service, and process controls. The design was completed in 2022 and the contracting for installation of these elements was initiated in 2022. The system was partially installed in 2023 but was not completed due to delays in procurement of system components. Final installation is expected to be completed in early 2024, and system start-up is anticipated for spring 2024.

4 General Information

The following subsections summarize any problems encountered in the LRS CCR program through 2023, resolutions to those problems (if needed), and upcoming actions planned for 2024.

4.1 Problems Encountered

Monitoring wells MW-50B, MW-51B, and MW-52B were not measured for water levels and MW-52B was not sampled for laboratory analysis during the June 2023 sampling event due to being inaccessible in newly installed road base associated with the Pond 3 retrofit project. These wells were uncovered in September 2023 and water levels were measured in October 2023. Monitoring well MW-52B was sampled during the October 2023 event. MW-53B was not sampled in June or October 2023. During the June sampling event, the pump would not bring water to the surface and appeared to be stuck in the well. During investigation of the well and pump in September 2023 using a downhole camera, it was discovered that the well screen interval of the well was completely silted in. It is assumed that screen failure caused the screen portion of the well to become silted in as there was no indication of infiltration of sediment from the surface due to placement of well caps. Monitoring well MW-53B is scheduled abandonment and for replacement during 2024.

4.2 Actions Planned for 2024

Basin plans to continue the Assessment monitoring program for the three CCR unit/multi-units at LRS in 2024. The Assessment monitoring program will include semi-annual groundwater sampling events and the required statistical evaluations. To maintain the robustness of the Assessment monitoring program, Basin plans to replace monitoring well MW-53B during the 2024 reporting period.

Basin also plans to complete the implementation of the remedy selected for Bottom Ash Pond 1. The Groundwater Extraction System was partially installed in 2023. Final installation is expected to be completed in early 2024, and system start-up is anticipated for spring 2024. The system was unable to be completed in 2023 due to supplier delays of system components outside of Basin control. Basin will prepare a semiannual report describing the progress in implementing the remedy as needed.



5 Summary and Conclusions

Two rounds of groundwater Assessment monitoring were performed at LRS in 2023. Statistical analysis of the results identified an SSI for at least one Appendix III constituent for each LRS CCR unit/multi-units. Therefore, Assessment monitoring will be performed on a semi-annual basis in 2024 for all LRS CCR unit/multi-units. Basin will also implement the selected remedy to address Appendix IV SSLs for lithium and molybdenum associated with Bottom Ash Pond 1 and continue to comply with CCR rule notification, reporting, and certification requirements.


6 References

- AECOM Technical Services, Inc. (AECOM). 2017. CCR Groundwater Monitoring System Report, Laramie River Station, Wheatland, Wyoming. Basin Electric Power Cooperative. October 2017.
- AECOM. 2018a. Sampling and Analysis Plan, CCR Monitoring Program, Laramie River Station, Wheatland, Wyoming. Basin Electric Power Cooperative. January 2018.
- AECOM. 2018b. First Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report, 2016-2017, Laramie River Station, Wheatland, Wyoming. Basin Electric Power Cooperative. January 2018.
- AECOM. 2019a. 2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report, Laramie River Station, Wheatland, Wyoming. Basin Electric Power Cooperative. January 2019.
- AECOM. 2019b. Assessment of Corrective Measures, Laramie River Station, Bottom Ash Pond 1, Wheatland, Wyoming. Basin Electric Power Cooperative. August 2019.
- AECOM 2019c. Sampling and Analysis Report, Assessment Monitoring, June 2019, Laramie River Station, Wheatland, Wyoming, Basin Electric Power Cooperative, October 2019.
- AECOM 2019d. Sampling and Analysis Report, Assessment Monitoring, October 2019, Laramie River Station, Wheatland, Wyoming, Basin Electric Power Cooperative, January 2020.
- AECOM. 2020. Groundwater Remedy Selection Report. Laramie River Station, Wheatland, Wyoming. Basin Electric Power Cooperative. July 2020.
- AECOM. 2021. 2020 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report, Laramie River Station, Wheatland, Wyoming. Basin Electric Power Cooperative. January 2021.
- AECOM. 2022. 2021 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report, Laramie River Station, Wheatland, Wyoming. Basin Electric Power Cooperative. January 2022.
- AECOM. 2023. 2022 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report, Laramie River Station, Wheatland, Wyoming. Basin Electric Power Cooperative. January 2023.
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 1960. United States Department of the Interior, Geology and Ground Water Resources of Platte County, Wyoming. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1490.

Figures



 Basin Electric Laramie River Station Facility Boundary



0 750 1,500 3,000 Feet
1" = 1,500'

- Monitoring Well
- Monitoring Well Installed April 2019
- Landfill
- Pond
- Basin Electric Laramie River Station Facility Boundary

LRS CCR Monitoring Well Network

Tables

Table 1 Statistical Analysis Methods and Results - Bottom Ash Pond 1

| Parameter (units) | Number of Samples | Percent Nondetects | Normal or Lognormal Distribution? | Statistical Test | Background UPL | GWPS Basis | GWPS | SSI Above Background? | Exceeds GWPS? | SSL Above GWPS? |
|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Appendix III | | | | | | | | | | |
| Boron (mg/L) | 65 | 17 | No/No | Nonparametric | 0.179 | ---- | ---- | Yes (MW-38B, MW-49B) | ---- | ---- |
| Calcium (mg/L) | 65 | 0 | No/Yes | Parametric | 189.3 | ---- | ---- | Yes (MW-21B, MW-38B) | ---- | ---- |
| Chloride (mg/L) | 65 | 0 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 49.9 | ---- | ---- | Yes (MW-21B, MW-38B) | ---- | ---- |
| Fluoride (mg/L) | 68 | 37 | Yes/No | Parametric | 1.327 | ---- | ---- | No | ---- | ---- |
| pH (std units) | 73 | 0 | No/No | Nonparametric | 6.94/8.837 | ---- | ---- | No | ---- | ---- |
| Sulfate (mg/L) | 65 | 0 | No/No | Nonparametric | 647.4 | ---- | ---- | Yes (MW-38B) | ---- | ---- |
| TDS (mg/L) | 66 | 0 | No/No | Nonparametric | 1,403 | ---- | ---- | Yes (MW-38B) | ---- | ---- |
| Appendix IV | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony (mg/L) | 61 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.002 | \$257.95(h)(3) | 0.006 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Arsenic (mg/L) | 61 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.005 | | 0.01 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Barium (mg/L) | 68 | 0 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 0.155 | | 2 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Beryllium (mg/L) | 61 | 98 | No/No | MDL | 0.001 | | 0.004 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Cadmium (mg/L) | 61 | 98 | No/No | MDL | 0.001 | | 0.005 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Chromium (mg/L) | 68 | 32 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 0.00583 | | 0.1 | Yes (MW-21B, MW-38B) | No | ---- |
| Cobalt (mg/L) | 68 | 87 | No/No | Nonparametric | 0.001 | | 0.006 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Fluoride (mg/L) | 68 | 37 | No/No | Nonparametric | 1.33 | | 4 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Lead (mg/L) | 61 | 92 | No/No | MDL | 0.004 | | 0.015 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Lithium (mg/L) | 68 | 4 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 0.0532 | | UPL | 0.0532 (0.04) | Yes (MW-38B) | Yes (MW-38B) |
| Mercury (mg/L) | 61 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.0002 | \$257.95(h)(3) | 0.002 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Molybdenum (mg/L) | 68 | 15 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 0.014 | | 0.1 | Yes (MW-21B, MW-38B) | Yes (MW-21B, MW-38B) | Yes (MW-38B) |
| Radium 226+228 (pCi/L) | 61 | 64 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 0.80 | | 5 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Selenium (mg/L) | 68 | 35 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 0.011 | | 0.05 | Yes (MW-21B) | No | ---- |
| Thallium (mg/L) | 61 | 98 | No/No | MDL | 0.001 | | 0.002 | No | ---- | ---- |

SSI - statistically significant increase

SSL - statistically significant level [95% lower confidence limit exceeds groundwater protection standard (GWPS)]

mg/L - milligram per liter

pCi/L - picocuries per liter

MDL - upper prediction limit (UPL) set at maximum Method Detection Limit

The GWPS represents the maximum contaminant limits (MCLs) outlined by 40 CFR 257.95 (h), unless the background upper prediction limit (UPL) exceeds the MCL, in which case the GWPS will be represented by the UPL. For GWPSs represented by the UPL, the MCL is presented next to it in parentheses.

Table 2 Statistical Analysis Methods and Results - Ash Pond 2, Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill Multi-unit

| Parameter (units) | Number of Samples | Percent Nondetects | Normal or Lognormal Distribution? | Statistical Test | Background UPL | GWPS Basis | GWPS | SSI Above Background? | Exceeds GWPS? | SSL Above GWPS? |
|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|-------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Appendix III | | | | | | | | | | |
| Boron (mg/L) | 132 | 17 | No/No | Nonparametric | 0.311 | ---- | ---- | No | ---- | ---- |
| Calcium (mg/L) | 132 | 0 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 208.3 | ---- | ---- | Yes (MW-37B) | ---- | ---- |
| Chloride (mg/L) | 132 | 0 | No/No | Nonparametric | 95.56 | ---- | ---- | Yes (MW-37B) | ---- | ---- |
| Fluoride (mg/L) | 139 | 35 | No/No | Nonparametric | 0.93 | ---- | ---- | No | ---- | ---- |
| pH (std units) | 147 | 0 | No/No | Nonparametric | 6.37/7.635 | ---- | ---- | No | ---- | ---- |
| Sulfate (mg/L) | 132 | 0 | No/No | Nonparametric | 859.4 | ---- | ---- | No | ---- | ---- |
| TDS (mg/L) | 132 | 0 | No/No | Nonparametric | 1,835 | ---- | ---- | No | ---- | ---- |
| Appendix IV | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony (mg/L) | 125 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.002 | §257.95(h)(3) | 0.006 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Arsenic (mg/L) | 125 | 99 | No/No | MDL | 0.005 | | 0.01 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Barium (mg/L) | 139 | 0 | No/No | Nonparametric | 0.0885 | | 2 | Yes (MW-52B) | No | ---- |
| Beryllium (mg/L) | 125 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.001 | | 0.004 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Cadmium (mg/L) | 125 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.001 | | 0.005 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Chromium (mg/L) | 139 | 73 | No/No | MDL | 0.002 | | 0.1 | Yes (MW-14BR, MW-52B) | No | ---- |
| Cobalt (mg/L) | 127 | 94 | No/No | MDL | 0.001 | | 0.006 | Yes (MW-52B) | No | ---- |
| Fluoride (mg/L) | 139 | 35 | No/No | Nonparametric | 0.93 | | 4 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Lead (mg/L) | 125 | 95 | No/No | MDL | 0.001 | | 0.015 | Yes (MW-52B) | No | ---- |
| Lithium (mg/L) | 139 | 5 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 0.0848 | | UPL | 0.0848 (0.04) | No | ---- |
| Mercury (mg/L) | 125 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.0002 | §257.95(h)(3) | 0.002 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Molybdenum (mg/L) | 139 | 27 | No/Yes | Parametric | 0.0315 | | 0.1 | Yes (MW-37B) | No | ---- |
| Radium 226+228 (pCi/L) | 136 | 61 | No/Yes | Parametric | 1.198 | | 5 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Selenium (mg/L) | 139 | 49 | No/No | MDL | 0.005 | | 0.05 | Yes (MW-14BR, MW-36B, MW-37B) | No | ---- |
| Thallium (mg/L) | 125 | 98 | No/No | MDL | 0.001 | | 0.002 | ---- | ---- | ---- |

SSI - statistically significant increase

SSL - statistically significant level [95% lower confidence limit exceeds groundwater protection standard (GWPS)]

mg/L - milligram per liter

pCi/L - picocuries per liter

MDL - upper prediction limit (UPL) set at maximum Method Detection Limit

The GWPS represents the maximum contaminant limits (MCLs) outlined by 40 CFR 257.95 (h), unless the background upper prediction limit (UPL) exceeds the MCL, in which case the GWPS will be represented by the UPL. For GWPSs represented by the UPL, the MCL is presented next to it in parentheses.

Table 3 Statistical Analysis Methods and Results - Emergency Holding Ponds

| Parameter (units) | Number of Samples | Percent Nondetects | Normal or Lognormal Distribution? | Statistical Test | Background UPL | GWPS Basis | GWPS | SSI Above Background? | Exceeds GWPS? | SSL Above GWPS? |
|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Appendix III | | | | | | | | | | |
| Boron (mg/L) | 76 | 25 | No/No | Nonparametric | 1.26 | ---- | ---- | No | ---- | ---- |
| Calcium (mg/L) | 76 | 0 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 446.7 | ---- | ---- | No | ---- | ---- |
| Chloride (mg/L) | 76 | 0 | No/No | Nonparametric | 320 | ---- | ---- | No | ---- | ---- |
| Fluoride (mg/L) | 80 | 28 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 0.694 | ---- | ---- | Yes (MW-45B) | ---- | ---- |
| pH (std units) | 88 | 0 | No/No | Nonparametric | 6.45/7.9 | ---- | ---- | No | ---- | ---- |
| Sulfate (mg/L) | 76 | 0 | No/No | Nonparametric | 2,200 | ---- | ---- | No | ---- | ---- |
| TDS (mg/L) | 76 | 0 | No/No | Nonparametric | 3,900 | ---- | ---- | No | ---- | ---- |
| Appendix IV | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony (mg/L) | 72 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.002 | §257.95(h)(3) | 0.006 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Arsenic (mg/L) | 72 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.005 | | 0.01 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Barium (mg/L) | 80 | 0 | No/Yes | Parametric | 0.0552 | | 2 | Yes (MW-46B, MW-47B) | No | ---- |
| Beryllium (mg/L) | 72 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.001 | | 0.004 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Cadmium (mg/L) | 72 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.001 | | 0.005 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Chromium (mg/L) | 80 | 33 | No/Yes | Parametric | 0.0063 | | 0.1 | Yes (MW-46B) | No | ---- |
| Cobalt (mg/L) | 72 | 100 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 0.00121 | | 0.006 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Fluoride (mg/L) | 80 | 28 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 0.694 | | 4 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Lead (mg/L) | 72 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.001 | | 0.015 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Lithium (mg/L) | 80 | 6 | Yes/No | Parametric | 0.0809 | | UPL | 0.0809 (0.04) | No | ---- |
| Mercury (mg/L) | 72 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.0002 | §257.95(h)(3) | 0.002 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Molybdenum (mg/L) | 80 | 34 | No/No | Nonparametric | 0.177 | UPL | 0.177 (0.1) | No | ---- | ---- |
| Radium 226+228 (pCi/L) | 76 | 54 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 0.826 | §257.95(h)(3) | 5 | Yes (MW-47B) | No | ---- |
| Selenium (mg/L) | 80 | 59 | Yes/Yes | Parametric | 0.014 | | 0.05 | No | ---- | ---- |
| Thallium (mg/L) | 72 | 100 | No/No | MDL | 0.001 | | 0.002 | No | ---- | ---- |

SSI - statistically significant increase

SSL - statistically significant level [95% lower confidence limit exceeds groundwater protection standard (GWPS)]

mg/L - milligram per liter

pCi/L - picocuries per liter

MDL - upper prediction limit (UPL) set at maximum Method Detection Limit

The GWPS represents the maximum contaminant limits (MCLs) outlined by 40 CFR 257.95 (h), unless the background upper prediction limit (UPL) exceeds the MCL, in which case the GWPS will be represented by the UPL. For GWPSs represented by the UPL, the MCL is presented next to it in parentheses.

Attachment A

2023 Sampling and Analysis Report, CCR Monitoring Program

2023 Sampling and Analysis Report CCR Monitoring Program

Laramie River Station
Wheatland, Wyoming

Basin Electric Power Cooperative

January 31, 2024

Prepared for:

Basin Electric Power Cooperative
Bismarck, North Dakota

Prepared by:

AECOM
1601 Prospect Park Way
Fort Collins, CO 80525
aecom.com

Project number: 60632474

Table of Contents

| | |
|------------------------------|----|
| List of Acronyms..... | ii |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Groundwater Flow..... | 2 |
| 3. Groundwater Quality | 3 |

Figures

| | |
|----------|---|
| Figure 1 | Potentiometric Surface Map June 7-9, 2023 |
| Figure 2 | Potentiometric Surface Map October 25, 2023 |

Tables

| | |
|---------|--|
| Table 1 | Groundwater Level Measurements and Elevations 2023 |
| Table 2 | Groundwater Analytical Data – Bottom Ash Pond 1 |
| Table 3 | Groundwater Analytical Data – Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill |
| Table 4 | Groundwater Analytical Data – Emergency Holding Ponds |
| Table 5 | Groundwater Analytical Data – Field Blanks |

List of Appendices

| | |
|-------------|---|
| Appendix I | Groundwater Flow Calculations |
| Appendix II | Analytical Laboratory and Data Validation Reports |

List of Acronyms

| | |
|-------|---|
| AECOM | AECOM Technical Services, Inc. |
| Basin | Basin Electric Power Cooperative |
| CCR | Coal Combustion Residuals |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| LRS | Laramie River Station |
| USEPA | United States Environmental Protection Agency |

1. Introduction

On behalf of Basin Electric Power Cooperative (Basin), AECOM Technical Services, Inc. (AECOM) prepared this Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Report for the Basin Laramie River Station (LRS).

This Sampling and Analysis Report was prepared to present the results of sampling and analysis of groundwater conducted per the monitoring requirements of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) CCR rule (Chapter 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations [CFR], §§ 257.90 to 257.98).

The following three CCR unit/multi-units are present at LRS:

- Bottom Ash Pond 1;
- Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill (multi-unit); and
- Emergency Holding Ponds (multi-unit).

Semi-annual groundwater Assessment monitoring was performed at LRS in June and October 2023 for the three CCR unit/multi-units. Assessment monitoring involves groundwater level measurements, collection of groundwater samples from CCR monitoring wells, and analysis for Part 257 Appendix III and selected Appendix IV parameters.

2. Groundwater Flow

As required by 40 CFR § 257.93(c), groundwater elevations were measured in each well prior to purging each time groundwater was sampled. The groundwater measurements for the 2023 Assessment monitoring events, presented in **Table 1**, were used to create potentiometric surface maps for the uppermost aquifer for each monitoring event. The resulting potentiometric surface maps (contained in the operating record) were used to evaluate the direction of groundwater flow and hydraulic gradient for each subject CCR unit/multi-unit. **Figure 1** and **Figure 2** represent a potentiometric surface map, including inferred groundwater flow direction for each CCR unit/multiunit, constructed using measurements taken on June 7-9, 2023 and October 25, 2023, respectively. The potentiometric surface and inferred groundwater flow direction for the events completed in 2023 were generally consistent with previous events that have been conducted at LRS since monitoring began in 2016.

Groundwater flow velocities were calculated for each unit/multi-unit using measurements from the semi-annual assessment monitoring events in June and October 2023, as presented in **Appendix I** and summarized below:

| CCR Unit/multi-unit | Calculated Seepage Velocities (feet/day) | | |
|--|--|---------|---------|
| | Minimum | Maximum | Average |
| Bottom Ash Pond 1 | 0.027 | 0.46 | 0.11 |
| Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill | 0.013 | 2.6 | 0.18 |
| Emergency Holding Ponds | 0.05 | 3.7 | 0.44 |

Based on the groundwater flow conditions documented in this section, the relative function of the monitoring wells employed in the LRS CCR groundwater monitoring system is as follows:

| CCR Unit/multi-unit | Background Wells | Downgradient Wells |
|--|------------------------|---|
| Bottom Ash Pond 1 | MW-52B, MW-53B | MW-49B, MW-21B, MW-38B, MW-38C* |
| Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill | MW-39B, MW-32B | MW-36B, MW-37B, MW-20B, MW-14BR, MW-40B, MW-52B, MW-53B |
| Emergency Holding Ponds | MW-41B, MW-42B, MW-43B | MW-44B, MW-45B, MW-46B, MW-47B |

* MW-38C was added to the Bottom Ash Pond 1 monitoring program following its installation during groundwater characterization activities in the spring of 2019.

The following monitoring wells are also included in the LRS CCR monitoring system for the purpose of measuring groundwater elevations and evaluating groundwater flow direction and velocity in the vicinity of the bottom ash ponds and landfill: MW-33B, MW-34B, MW-35B, MW-48B, MW-50B, MW-51B, MW-54B, MW-55B, and MW-56B. These wells were added to the list following their installation during groundwater characterization activities conducted in the spring of 2019. Well MW-23B was removed from the monitoring well network after the well was found to be damaged in 2019 and it was determined the well was not needed to determine groundwater flow in the area. MW-22B could not be opened due to a damaged lock and therefore was not gauged during the 2023 reporting period. MW-54B, MW-55B, and MW-56B were inadvertently missed during water level measurements during the June 2023 event but were measured for water levels during the October 2023 event.

3. Groundwater Quality


The semiannual Assessment monitoring events in June and October 2023 included samples from each well in the CCR unit/multiunit program with the exception of monitoring well MW-52B (only sampled in October, but not in June) and monitoring well MW-53B (not sampled in either June or October). During the June event, well MW-52B was not able to be located due to the addition of road base material in the area. The well was able to be uncovered in September prior to the October sampling event. The MW-53B pump was found to be not operational during the June event. Upon further investigation in September 2023, it was discovered that the screen section of the well was silted in. MW-53B is scheduled for replacement in 2024.

The samples collected for each event were submitted under chain-of-custody to the Eurofins Denver Laboratory located in Arvada, Colorado for analysis of the analytes included CCR Rule Appendix III and Appendix IV. The laboratory reports issued by Eurofins for these events were reviewed against the chain-of-custody forms and for compliance with maximum holding times and conformance with project-required methods with copies included in the LRS operating record. The reported analytical results from Eurofins were reviewed and verified by an AECOM data validator/chemist using USEPA guidelines (USEPA 2020¹). Data validation concluded that field and laboratory precision, field and laboratory accuracy, method compliance, and data set completeness were acceptable based on the data reported. While three data points were rejected, the percent completeness is greater than 99% and is therefore considered acceptable. Data validation reports were prepared for each monitoring event and are included in the operating record. The validated results for the June and October 2023 sampling events were compiled into summary form as presented in **Tables 2, 3, and 4** with the results of quality assurance/quality control field blank samples presented in **Table 5**. Copies of the Eurofins analytical laboratory and data validation reports for the June and October 2023 events are included as **Appendix II**.

¹ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2020. Superfund CLP National Functional Guidelines for Data Review. November 2020.



Figures

Filepath: \\na.aecomnet.com\lisa.amerifort\collins-usfco4\Legacy\USFCO4\FP001\Data2\WORKING\60632474_Basin_2020_Support\900-GIS_CAD\Figure_03_01_LRS_CCR_MonitoringWells_June_2023.mxd



Potentiometric Surface Map
June 7-9, 2023

Filepath: \\na.aecomnet.com\lfs\AMERIFortCollins-USFCO4Legacy\USFCO4\FP001\Data2\WORKING\60632474 Basin 2020 Support\900 - GIS_CAD\Figure_02_01_LRS_CCR_MonitoringWells_Oct2023.mxd



- Legend**
- AECOM Monitoring Well
 - Landfill
 - Pond
 - Basin Electric Laramie River Station Facility Boundary
 - 4,447.60 - Groundwater Elevation (ft AMSL)
 - Groundwater Elevation (ft AMSL) Contour Inferred
 - Groundwater Flow Direction
 - NM - Not Measured
 - See Table 1 for additional details.
 - ft AMSL - feet Above Mean Sea Level

Potentiometric Surface Map
October 25, 2023

Basin Electric
Laramie River Station
Platte County, Wyoming
Project No.: 60632474 Date: 1/19/2024

Tables

Table 1: Groundwater Level Measurements and Elevations 2023
Basin Electric Cooperative, Laramie River Station, Wyoming
2023 Sampling and Analysis Report

| Location ID | TOC Elevation (ft amsl) | Date | Depth To Water (ft btoc) | Water Level Elevation (ft amsl) | Date | Depth To Water (ft btoc) | Water Level Elevation (ft amsl) |
|-------------|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| MW-14BR | 4,537.90 | 6/8/2023 | 56.41 | 4,481.49 | 10/25/2023 | 55.40 | 4,482.50 |
| MW-20B | 4,535.47 | 6/9/2023 | 58.35 | 4,477.12 | 10/25/2023 | 58.25 | 4,477.22 |
| MW-21B | 4,539.58 | 6/9/2023 | 53.40 | 4,486.18 | 10/25/2023 | 49.80 | 4,489.78 |
| MW-22B | 4,569.21 | 6/9/2023 | NM ¹ | NM ¹ | 10/25/2023 | NM ¹ | NM ¹ |
| MW-23B | 4,569.48 | 6/9/2023 | NM ² | NM ² | 10/25/2023 | NM ² | NM ² |
| MW-32B | 4,567.11 | 6/8/2023 | 56.56 | 4,510.55 | 10/25/2023 | 57.15 | 4,509.96 |
| MW-33B | 4,566.61 | 6/8/2023 | 66.75 | 4,499.86 | 10/25/2023 | 67.24 | 4,499.37 |
| MW-34B | 4,554.72 | 6/8/2023 | 64.30 | 4,490.42 | 10/25/2023 | 64.60 | 4,490.12 |
| MW-35B | 4,548.67 | 6/8/2023 | 64.25 | 4,484.42 | 10/25/2023 | 64.67 | 4,484.00 |
| MW-36B | 4,532.44 | 6/8/2023 | 59.10 | 4,473.34 | 10/25/2023 | 59.39 | 4,473.05 |
| MW-37B | 4,530.37 | 6/8/2023 | 60.54 | 4,469.83 | 10/25/2023 | 60.80 | 4,469.57 |
| MW-38B | 4,547.48 | 6/9/2023 | 57.22 | 4,490.26 | 10/25/2023 | 57.11 | 4,490.37 |
| MW-38C | 4,549.45 | 6/9/2023 | 56.60 | 4,492.85 | 10/25/2023 | 55.92 | 4,493.53 |
| MW-39B | 4,581.45 | 6/8/2023 | 76.91 | 4,504.54 | 10/25/2023 | 77.02 | 4,504.43 |
| MW-40B | 4,589.59 | 6/8/2023 | 91.00 | 4,498.59 | 10/25/2023 | 91.05 | 4,498.54 |
| MW-41B | 4,529.64 | 6/8/2023 | 55.72 | 4,473.92 | 10/25/2023 | 55.15 | 4,474.49 |
| MW-42B | 4,515.83 | 6/7/2023 | 45.50 | 4,470.33 | 10/25/2023 | 43.43 | 4,472.40 |
| MW-43B | 4,501.44 | 6/7/2023 | 24.52 | 4,476.92 | 10/25/2023 | 27.44 | 4,474.00 |
| MW-44B | 4,529.39 | 6/7/2023 | 70.21 | 4,459.18 | 10/25/2023 | 70.65 | 4,458.74 |
| MW-45B | 4,530.92 | 6/7/2023 | 76.65 | 4,454.27 | 10/25/2023 | 76.91 | 4,454.01 |
| MW-46B | 4,527.72 | 6/7/2023 | 76.50 | 4,451.22 | 10/25/2023 | 76.15 | 4,451.57 |
| MW-47B | 4,522.60 | 6/7/2023 | 76.12 | 4,446.48 | 10/25/2023 | 75.00 | 4,447.60 |
| MW-48B | 4,568.66 | 6/8/2023 | 77.50 | 4,491.16 | 10/25/2023 | 77.50 | 4,491.16 |
| MW-49B | 4,564.36 | 6/8/2023 | 76.45 | 4,487.91 | 10/25/2023 | 75.70 | 4,488.66 |
| MW-50B | 4,588.34 | 6/8/2023 | 89.80 | 4,498.54 | 10/25/2023 | 90.00 | 4,498.34 |
| MW-51B | 4,588.90 | 6/8/2023 | 91.40 | 4,497.50 | 10/25/2023 | 91.55 | 4,497.35 |
| MW-52B | 4,589.60 | 6/8/2023 | NM ³ | NM ³ | 10/25/2023 | 93.15 | 4,496.45 |
| MW-53B | 4,589.23 | 6/8/2023 | 92.72 | 4,496.51 | 10/25/2023 | 93.57 | 4,495.66 |
| MW-54B | 4,454.80 | 6/7/2023 | NM ³ | NM ³ | 10/25/2023 | 8.82 | 4,445.98 |
| MW-55B | 4,532.37 | 6/7/2023 | NM ³ | NM ³ | 10/25/2023 | 44.29 | 4,488.08 |
| MW-56B | 4,541.95 | 6/7/2023 | NM ³ | NM ³ | 10/25/2023 | 52.80 | 4,489.15 |

Notes:

- ft amsl = feet above mean sea level
- ft btoc = feet below top of casing
- NM¹ = Not measured - lock damaged
- NM² = Not measured - well damaged/bent
- NM³ = Not measured
- TOC = top of casing
- Geodetic Datum: North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83)
- Vertical Datum: North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)

Table 2: Groundwater Analytical Data - Bottom Ash Pond 1
Basin Electric Power Cooperative, Laramie River Station, Wyoming
Sampling and Analysis Report

| Relative Location | Well ID | Sample Date | Sample Type | Appendix III Constituents | | | | | | | | Appendix IV Constituents | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-------|--------------|-----------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | | | Boron mg/L | Calcium mg/L | Chloride mg/L | Fluoride mg/L | pH SU | Sulfate mg/L | TDS mg/L | Antimony mg/L | Arsenic mg/L | Barium mg/L | Beryllium mg/L | Cadmium mg/L | Chromium mg/L | Cobalt mg/L | Lead mg/L | Lithium mg/L | Mercury mg/L | Molybdenum mg/L | Radium 226/228 pCi/L | Selenium mg/L | Thallium mg/L |
| Background | MW-52B | 6/8/2023 | N | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | MW-52B | 10/26/2023 | N | 0.188 | 168 | 46.0 | R | 7.49 | 0.481 | 1.11 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.127 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00807 | 0.00445 | 0.00303 | 0.0602 | < 0.000200 | 0.00405 | 1.14 J+ | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 |
| | MW-53B | 6/8/2023 | N | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | MW-53B | 10/26/2023 | N | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Downgradient | MW-21B | 6/9/2023 | N | 0.167 | 207 | 165 | 0.664 J- | 7.6 | 0.542 | 1.37 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0498 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0126 | < 0.00100 UJ | < 0.00100 | 0.0373 | < 0.000200 | 0.0162 | < 0.651 | 0.0478 | < 0.00100 |
| | MW-21B | 10/26/2023 | N | 0.417 | 895 | 852 | 0.701 J- | 7.55 | 2.19 | 4.76 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0853 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00525 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0840 | < 0.000200 | 0.108 | 0.284 J | 0.0102 | < 0.00100 |
| | MW-38B | 6/9/2023 | N | 3.38 | 503 | 316 | < 0.500 | 7.37 | 6.69 | 8.7 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0145 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00599 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.119 | < 0.000200 | 0.214 | 0.500 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 |
| | MW-38B | 10/26/2023 | N | 3.71 | 494 | 272 | < 10.0 | 7.40 | 6.270 J- | 8.42 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.02 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00726 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.128 | < 0.000200 | 0.222 | 0.849 J+ | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 |
| | MW-38C | 6/9/2023 | N | < 0.1 | 106 | 29.5 | 0.639 | 7.52 | 0.211 | 0.64 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0478 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0233 | < 0.000200 | 0.00599 | < 0.627 UJ | 0.00593 | < 0.00100 |
| | MW-38C | 10/26/2023 | N | < 0.1 | 106 | 28.5 | 0.519 J- | 7.48 | 0.279 J- | 0.63 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0488 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0299 | < 0.000200 | 0.00620 | -0.139 | 0.00585 | < 0.00100 |
| | MW-49B | 6/8/2023 | N | 0.204 | 150 | 28.9 | 0.569 | 7.5 | 0.364 | 0.85 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0659 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0405 | < 0.000200 | 0.00360 | < 0.571 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 |
| MW-49B | 10/26/2023 | N | 0.176 | 149 | 31.4 | 0.548 J- | 7.50 | 0.38 | 0.97 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0636 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0495 | < 0.000200 | 0.00416 | 0.250 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |

Notes:
< = value less than the method detection limit
J = estimated concentration (+ = high bias; - = low bias)
mg/L = milligrams per liter
N = normal (i.e., not a duplicate sample)
NS = not sampled
pCi/L = picoCuries per liter
R = result is rejected
SU = standard units
TDS = total dissolved solids
UJ = results estimated to be non-detect

**Table 3: Groundwater Analytical Data - Bottom Ash Pond 2, Bottom Ash Pond 3, Ash Landfill
Basin Electric Cooperative, Laramie River Station, Wyoming
Sampling and Analysis Report**

| Chemical Name Unit | | | | Appendix III Constituents | | | | | | | Appendix IV Constituents | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| | | | | Boron mg/L | Calcium mg/L | Chloride mg/L | Fluoride mg/L | pH SU | Sulfate mg/L | TDS mg/L | Antimony mg/L | Arsenic mg/L | Barium mg/L | Beryllium mg/L | Cadmium mg/L | Chromium mg/L | Cobalt mg/L | Lead mg/L | Lithium mg/L | Mercury mg/L | Molybdenum mg/L | Radium 226/228 pCi/L | Selenium mg/L | Thallium mg/L | |
| Relative Location | Well ID | Sample Date | Sample Type | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Background | MW-32B | 06/08/2023 | N | 0.324 | 229 | 99.7 | < 0.500 | 7.23 | 0.926 | 1.88 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0313 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0790 | < 0.000200 | 0.00332 | 1.48 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-32B | 10/27/2023 | N | 0.313 | 218 | 107 | R | 7.20 | 0.93 | 2.02 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 UJ | 0.0362 J- | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 UJ | < 0.00300 UJ | < 0.00100 UJ | < 0.00100 UJ | 0.0773 | < 0.000200 | 0.00311 | 0.144 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 UJ | |
| | MW-39B | 06/08/2023 | N | 0.192 | 202 | 47.3 | 0.545 | 7.86 | 0.584 | 1.29 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0308 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0588 | < 0.000200 | 0.00357 | < 0.964 UJ | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-39B | 10/26/2023 | N | 0.184 | 190 | 45.5 | 0.539 J- | 7.71 | 0.536 | 1.31 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0316 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0538 | < 0.000200 | 0.00353 | 0.578 J+ | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| Downgradient | MW-14BR | 06/08/2023 | N | 0.169 | 196 | 87.7 | < 0.500 | 7.67 | 0.415 | 1.06 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0494 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00650 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0313 | < 0.000200 | 0.0108 | < 0.698 | 0.0175 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-14BR | 10/26/2023 | N | 0.156 | 237 | 107 | < 0.500 | 7.65 | 0.464 | 1.1 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0537 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00836 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0405 | < 0.000200 | 0.0146 | -0.0918 | 0.0245 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-20B | 06/09/2023 | N | 0.247 | 152 | 47.1 | 0.727 | 7.75 | 0.366 | 0.932 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0556 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0363 | < 0.000200 | 0.00897 | < 0.601 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-20B | 06/09/2023 | FD | 0.24 | 142 | 46.9 | 0.723 | 7.75 | 0.371 | 0.919 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0544 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0345 | < 0.000200 | 0.00879 | < 0.636 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-20B | 10/26/2023 | N | 0.236 | 141 | 46.3 | 0.714 | 7.74 | 0.364 | 0.891 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.057 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0396 | < 0.000200 | 0.00885 | -0.327 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-36B | 06/08/2023 | N | 0.116 | 146 | 44.9 | 0.680 | 7.64 | 0.392 | 0.902 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0646 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0352 | < 0.000200 | 0.00660 | 0.981 | 0.00702 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-36B | 10/27/2023 | N | 0.114 | 130 | 46.0 | 0.739 J- | 7.59 | 0.353 | 0.893 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0724 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00542 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0281 | < 0.000200 | 0.00848 | 0.946 J+ | 0.00808 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-36B | 10/27/2023 | FD | 0.114 | 130 | 45.9 | 0.710 J- | 7.59 | 0.348 | 0.885 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0702 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00561 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0284 | < 0.000200 | 0.00857 | 0.868 J+ | 0.00816 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-37B | 06/08/2023 | N | 0.179 | 229 | 97.9 | < 0.500 | 7.47 | 0.531 | 1.28 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0633 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0414 | < 0.000200 | 0.0662 | < 0.592 UJ | 0.0104 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-37B | 10/27/2023 | N | 0.182 | 197 | 87.4 | 0.654 J- | 7.42 | 0.521 | 1.24 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.105 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0106 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0373 | < 0.000200 | 0.0547 | 0.771 J+ | 0.00837 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-40B | 06/08/2023 | N | 0.187 | 146 | 31.4 | 0.901 | 7.56 | 0.323 | 0.875 | < 0.00200 | 0.00528 | 0.0305 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0560 | < 0.000200 | 0.00660 | 0.691 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-40B | 10/26/2023 | N | 0.175 | 140 | 33.8 | 1.21 J- | 7.48 | 0.314 | 0.854 | < 0.00200 | 0.00512 | 0.0283 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0492 | < 0.000200 | 0.00622 | 0.253 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-52B | 6/8/2023 | N | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | |
| | MW-52B | 10/26/2023 | N | 0.188 | 168 | 46.0 | R | 7.49 | 0.481 | 1.11 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.127 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00807 | 0.00445 | 0.00303 | 0.0602 | < 0.000200 | 0.00405 | 1.14 J+ | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-53B | 6/8/2023 | N | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | |
| | MW-53B | 10/26/2023 | N | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | |

Notes:
 < = value less than the method detection limit
 FD = field duplicate
 J = estimated concentration (+ = high bias; - = low bias)
 mg/L = milligrams per liter
 N = normal (i.e., not a duplicate sample)
 NS = not sampled
 pCi/L = picoCuries per liter
 R = result is rejected
 SU = standard units
 TDS = total dissolved solids
 UJ = undetected, reporting limit is estimated

Table 4: Groundwater Analytical Data - Emergency Holding Ponds
Basin Electric Cooperative, Laramie River Station, Wyoming
Sampling and Analysis Report

| Chemical Name Unit | | | | Appendix III Constituents | | | | | | | | Appendix IV Constituents | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| | | | | Boron mg/L | Calcium mg/L | Chloride mg/L | Fluoride mg/L | pH SU | Sulfate mg/L | TDS mg/L | Antimony mg/L | Arsenic mg/L | Barium mg/L | Beryllium mg/L | Cadmium mg/L | Chromium mg/L | Cobalt mg/L | Lead mg/L | Lithium mg/L | Mercury mg/L | Molybdenum mg/L | Radium 226/228 pCi/L | Selenium mg/L | Thallium mg/L | |
| Relative Location | Well ID | Sample Date | Sample Type | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Background | MW-41B | 06/08/2023 | N | 0.954 | 124 | 74.0 | < 0.500 | 7.63 | 0.613 | 1.34 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0199 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00601 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0388 | < 0.000200 | 0.116 | 0.818 | 0.00592 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-41B | 10/27/2023 | N | 0.9 | 108 | 66.3 | 0.525 J- | 7.60 | 0.564 | 1.26 | < 0.00200 | 0.00635 | 0.126 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0169 | 0.00297 | 0.00167 | 0.0412 | < 0.000200 | 0.107 | 1.53 J+ | 0.00571 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-42B | 06/07/2023 | N | 0.664 | 119 | 76.4 | < 0.500 | 7.68 | 0.514 | 1.23 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0195 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0381 | < 0.000200 | 0.0335 | 0.824 | 0.0186 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-42B | 10/27/2023 | N | 0.553 | 129 | 83.2 | 0.605 J- | 7.60 | 0.614 | 1.31 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.04 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00470 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0373 | < 0.000200 | 0.0368 | 1.16 J+ | 0.0148 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-43B | 06/07/2023 | N | 0.305 | 147 | 76.4 | < 0.500 | 7.12 | 0.52 | 1.17 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0416 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0359 | < 0.000200 | 0.0119 | < 0.575 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-43B | 10/27/2023 | N | 0.263 | 161 | 94.6 | 0.584 J- | 7.20 | 0.621 | 1.33 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0652 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00441 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0360 | < 0.000200 | 0.0106 | 0.612 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| Downgradient | MW-44B | 06/07/2023 | N | 0.113 | 190 | 60.0 | < 0.500 | 7.59 | 0.409 | 1.1 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0501 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00422 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0381 | < 0.000200 | 0.00743 | < 0.722 | 0.00533 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-44B | 10/27/2023 | N | 0.107 | 181 | 59.6 | 0.777 J- | 7.51 | 0.435 | 1.12 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0714 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00802 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0308 | < 0.000200 | 0.00788 | 0.910 J+ | 0.00522 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-45B | 06/07/2023 | N | 0.211 | 173 | 49.2 | 0.718 | 7.71 | 0.366 | 0.93 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0449 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00300 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0383 | < 0.000200 | 0.00730 | < 0.574 | 0.00865 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-45B | 10/27/2023 | N | 0.19 | 153 | 48.4 | 0.714 J- | 7.71 | 0.351 | 0.9 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0973 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00710 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0313 | < 0.000200 | 0.00827 | 2.58 J+ | 0.00831 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-46B | 06/07/2023 | N | < 0.1 | 121 | 47.5 | < 0.500 | 7.51 | 0.271 | 0.77 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0756 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0122 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0295 | < 0.000200 | 0.00429 | < 0.646 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-46B | 10/27/2023 | N | < 0.1 | 122 | 53.1 | 0.630 J- | 7.48 | 0.305 | 0.76 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0876 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0202 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0232 | < 0.000200 | 0.00519 | 0.876 J+ | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-47B | 06/07/2023 | N | 0.16 | 139 | 31.0 | < 0.500 | 7.57 | 0.292 | 0.78 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0816 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.00488 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0305 | < 0.000200 | 0.00620 | 0.981 | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |
| | MW-47B | 10/27/2023 | N | 0.139 | 128 | 33.8 | 0.791 J- | 7.44 | 0.297 | 0.77 | < 0.00200 | < 0.00500 | 0.0848 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0111 | < 0.00100 | < 0.00100 | 0.0266 | < 0.000200 | 0.00625 | 1.34 J+ | < 0.00500 | < 0.00100 | |

Notes:
< = value less than the method detection limit
J = estimated concentration (+ = high bias; - = low bias)
mg/L = milligrams per liter
N = normal (i.e., not a duplicate sample)
pCi/L = picoCuries per liter
SU = standard units
TDS = total dissolved solids

Table 5: Groundwater Analytical Data - Field Blanks
Basin Electric Cooperative, Laramie River Station, Wyoming
Sampling and Analysis Report

| Chemical Name Unit | | | Appendix III Constituents | | | | | | | Appendix IV Constituents | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | | Boron mg/L | Calcium mg/L | Chloride mg/L | Fluoride mg/L | Sulfate mg/L | TDS mg/L | Antimony mg/L | Arsenic mg/L | Barium mg/L | Beryllium mg/L | Cadmium mg/L | Chromium mg/L | Cobalt mg/L | Lead mg/L | Lithium mg/L | Mercury mg/L | Molybdenum mg/L | Radium 226/228 pCi/L | Selenium mg/L | Thallium mg/L |
| Sample ID | Sample Date | Sample Type | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Field Blank | 10/27/2023 | FB | < 0.1 | < 0.2 | < 3.0 | < 0.500 | < 5.00 | < 10.0 | < 0.002 | < 0.005 | < 0.003 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.02 | < 0.0002 | < 0.002 | 0.968 | < 0.005 | < 0.001 |

Notes:
 FB = field blank
 mg/L = milligrams per liter
 pCi/L = picoCuries per liter
 TDS = Total dissolved solids
 < = value less than the method detection limit

Appendix I

Groundwater Flow Calculations

Project: **Laramie River Station**
Calculations by: **Emily Conkling**
Date: **1/12/2024**

CCR Unit: **Emergency Holding Ponds**
Checked by: **Kara Hoppes**
Date: **1/16/2024**

Background Wells: **MW-41B, MW-42B, MW-43B**
Downgradient Wells: **MW-44B, MW-45B, MW-46B, MW-47B**

Hydraulic Gradient (i, ft/ft)

Governing Equation:
(Hydraulic Gradient)

$$i = -dh/dl$$

where, i= hydraulic gradient

dh= change in hydraulic head between upgradient and downgradient locations

dl= horizontal distance between upgradient and downgradient locations, parallel to flow (perpendicular to potentiometric contours)

Calculation Table

| Date | Vector | Upgradient WL elevation (ft MSL) | Downgradient WL Elevation (ft MSL) | dh (ft) | dl (ft) | i (ft/ft) | Average i (ft/ft) |
|------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------------|-------------------|
| June 7, 2023 | Vector 1 (42B) | 4470.33 | 4455 | 15.33 | 810 | 0.0189 | 0.0240 |
| | Vector 2 (43B) | 4476.92 | 4450 | 26.92 | 925 | 0.0291 | |
| October 25, 2023 | Vector 1 (42B) | 4472.40 | 4455 | 17.40 | 770 | 0.0226 | 0.0203 |
| | Vector 2 (45B) | 4470 | 4454.01 | 15.99 | 885 | 0.0181 | |
| | | | | | | Minimum | 0.0203 |
| | | | | | | Maximum | 0.0240 |
| | | | | | | Average | 0.0222 |

Hydraulic Conductivity (K, ft/d)

| K from slug and pumping tests conducted at site | | |
|---|------|--------|
| Minimum | 0.75 | ft/day |
| Maximum | 3.12 | ft/day |
| Average (geomean) | 1.31 | ft/day |

Specific Yield, Effective Porosity

| Specific Yield, Effective Porosity | |
|------------------------------------|------|
| Minimum | 0.02 |
| Maximum | 0.3 |
| Average | 0.15 |

Note: Effective porosity/specific yield is based on literature values from Arikaree Formation literature and textbook values for sandstone

Seepage Velocity

$$v_s = -K * i / n_e$$

where,

v_s= seepage velocity, feet per day (ft/d)

K= hydraulic conductivity, feet per day (ft/d)

i= hydraulic gradient, feet per foot (ft/ft)

n_e= effective porosity/specific yield, unitless

| Calculated Seepage Velocities (ft/day) | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | K (ft/day) | i (ft/ft) | n _e | v _s (ft/day) |
| Minimum | 0.75 | 0.0203 | 0.30 | 0.05 |
| Maximum | 3.12 | 0.0240 | 0.02 | 3.7 |
| Geometric Mean | | | | 0.44 |

Project: Laramie River Station
Calculations by: Emily Conkling
Date: 1/12/2024

CCR Unit: Bottom Ash Ponds 2&3 and Ash Landfill
Checked by: Kara Hoppes
Date: 1/16/2024

Background Wells: MW-39B, MW-32B
Downgradient Wells: MW-36B, MW-37B, MW-20B, MW-148R, MW-40B, MW-52B, MW-53B

Hydraulic Gradient (i, ft/ft)

$$i = -dh/dl$$

where, i= hydraulic gradient
dh= change in hydraulic head between upgradient and downgradient locations
dl= horizontal distance between upgradient and downgradient locations, parallel to flow (perpendicular to potentiometric contours)

Summary Table - Hydraulic Gradient

| Date | Vector | Upgradient WL elevation (ft MSL) | Downgradient WL Elevation (ft MSL) | dh (ft) | dl (ft) | i (ft/ft) | Average i (ft/ft) |
|------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|-------------------|
| June 8, 2023 | Vector 1 (36B) | 4505 | 4473.34 | 31.66 | 4075 | 0.0078 | 0.0080 |
| | Vector 2 (48B) | 4505 | 4491.16 | 13.84 | 1770 | 0.0078 | |
| | Vector 3 (37B) | 4505 | 4469.83 | 35.17 | 4230 | 0.0083 | |
| October 25, 2023 | Vector 1 (36B) | 4505 | 4473.05 | 31.95 | 4040 | 0.0079 | 0.0084 |
| | Vector 2 (48B) | 4500 | 4491.16 | 8.84 | 1040 | 0.0085 | |
| | Vector 3 (37B) | 4500 | 4469.57 | 30.43 | 3460 | 0.0088 | |
| | | | | | | Minimum | 0.0080 |
| | | | | | | Maximum | 0.0084 |
| | | | | | | Average | 0.0082 |

Hydraulic Conductivity (K, ft/d)

| K from slug and pumping tests conducted at site | | |
|---|------|--------|
| Minimum | 0.50 | ft/day |
| Maximum | 6.16 | ft/day |
| Average (geomean) | 1.54 | ft/day |

Specific Yield, Effective Porosity

| Specific Yield, Effective Porosity | |
|------------------------------------|------|
| Minimum | 0.02 |
| Maximum | 0.3 |
| Average | 0.15 |

Note: Effective porosity/specific yield is based on literature values from Arikaree Formation literature and textbook values for sandstone

Seepage Velocity

$$v_s = -K * i / n_e$$

where, v_s= seepage velocity, feet per day (ft/d)
K= hydraulic conductivity, feet per day (ft/d)
i= hydraulic gradient, feet per foot (ft/ft)
n_e= effective porosity/specific yield, unitless

| Calculated Seepage Velocities (ft/day) | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | K (ft/day) | i (ft/ft) | n _e | v _s (ft/day) |
| Minimum | 0.50 | 0.0080 | 0.30 | 0.013 |
| Maximum | 6.16 | 0.0084 | 0.02 | 2.6 |
| Geometric Mean | | | | 0.18 |

Project: Laramie River Station
Calculations by: Emily Conkling
Date: 1/12/2024

CCR Unit: Bottom Ash Pond 1
Checked by: Kara Hoppes
Date: 1/16/2024

Background Wells: MW-52B, MW-53B
Downgradient Wells: MW-49B, MW-21B, MW-38B

Hydraulic Gradient (i, ft/ft)

$$i = -dh/dl$$

where, i= hydraulic gradient
dh= change in hydraulic head between upgradient and downgradient locations
dl= horizontal distance between upgradient and downgradient locations, parallel to flow (perpendicular to potentiometric contours)

| Date | Upgradient WL elevation (ft MSL) | Downgradient WL Elevation (ft MSL) | dh (ft) | dl (ft) | i (ft/ft) |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| June 8, 2023 (49B) | 4495 | 4487.91 | 7.09 | 810 | 0.00875 |
| October 25, 2023 (49B) | 4495 | 4488.66 | 6.34 | 810 | 0.00783 |
| | | | | Minimum | 0.00783 |
| | | | | Maximum | 0.00875 |
| | | | | Average | 0.00829 |

Hydraulic Conductivity (K, ft/d)

| K, from slug and pumping tests | | |
|--------------------------------|------|--------|
| Minimum | 1.04 | ft/day |
| Maximum | 1.04 | ft/day |
| Average (geomean) | 1.04 | ft/day |

Specific Yield, Effective Porosity

| Specific Yield, Effective Porosity | |
|------------------------------------|------|
| Minimum | 0.02 |
| Maximum | 0.3 |
| Average | 0.15 |

Note: Effective porosity/specific yield is based on literature values from Arikaree Formation literature and textbook values for sandstone

Seepage Velocity

$$v_s = -K * i / n_e$$

where, v_s= seepage velocity, feet per day (ft/d)
K= hydraulic conductivity, feet per day (ft/d)
i= hydraulic gradient, feet per foot (ft/ft)
n_e= effective porosity/specific yield, unitless

| Calculated Seepage Velocities (ft/day) | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | K (ft/day) | i (ft/ft) | n _e | v _s (ft/day) |
| Minimum | 1.04 | 0.0078 | 0.30 | 0.027 |
| Maximum | 1.04 | 0.0088 | 0.02 | 0.46 |
| | | Geometric Mean | | 0.11 |

Appendix II

Analytical Laboratory and Data Validation Reports



ANALYTICAL REPORT

PREPARED FOR

Attn: Ms. Katie Abbott
AECOM Technical Services Inc.
6200 S. Quebec Street
Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111

Generated 7/13/2023 10:55:04 AM

JOB DESCRIPTION

Basin 2023 Support

JOB NUMBER

280-177698-1


Eurofins Denver

Job Notes

This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. The results relate only to the samples tested. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

The test results in this report relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory and will meet all requirements of the methodology, with any exceptions noted. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the express written approval of the laboratory. All questions should be directed to the Eurofins TestAmerica Project Manager.

Authorization



Generated
7/13/2023 10:55:04 AM

Authorized for release by
Patrick McEntee, Client Service Manager
Patrick.McEntee@et.eurofinsus.com
(303)736-0107



Table of Contents

| | |
|----------------------------------|----|
| Cover Page | 1 |
| Table of Contents | 3 |
| Definitions | 4 |
| Case Narrative | 5 |
| Detection Summary | 7 |
| Method Summary | 9 |
| Sample Summary | 10 |
| Client Sample Results | 11 |
| QC Sample Results | 23 |
| QC Association | 26 |
| Chronicle | 28 |
| Certification Summary | 33 |
| Chain of Custody | 34 |
| Receipt Checklists | 40 |
| Tracer Carrier Summary | 42 |

Definitions/Glossary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Qualifiers

Rad

| Qualifier | Qualifier Description |
|-----------|---|
| U | Result is less than the sample detection limit. |

Glossary

| Abbreviation | These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. |
|----------------|---|
| α | Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis |
| %R | Percent Recovery |
| CFL | Contains Free Liquid |
| CFU | Colony Forming Unit |
| CNF | Contains No Free Liquid |
| DER | Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) |
| Dil Fac | Dilution Factor |
| DL | Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) |
| DL, RA, RE, IN | Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample |
| DLC | Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| EDL | Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) |
| LOD | Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) |
| LOQ | Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE) |
| MCL | EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level" |
| MDA | Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) |
| MDC | Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| MDL | Method Detection Limit |
| ML | Minimum Level (Dioxin) |
| MPN | Most Probable Number |
| MQL | Method Quantitation Limit |
| NC | Not Calculated |
| ND | Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) |
| NEG | Negative / Absent |
| POS | Positive / Present |
| PQL | Practical Quantitation Limit |
| PRES | Presumptive |
| QC | Quality Control |
| RER | Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry) |
| RL | Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points |
| TEF | Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) |
| TEQ | Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin) |
| TNTC | Too Numerous To Count |

Case Narrative

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Project: Basin 2023 Support

Report Number: 280-177698-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 6/9/2023 4:15 PM. Unless otherwise noted below, the samples arrived in good condition, and where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperatures of the 5 coolers at receipt time were 0.1° C, 0.5° C, 1.0° C, 1.1° C and 1.2° C.

Receipt Exceptions

Per client request, samples RW-1 (280-177698-21) and RW-2 (280-177698-22) and the radiochemistry are reported under separate cover.

The combined Ra226/Ra228 method was canceled for sample EB-01 (280-177698-20) due to insufficient volume for Ra226.

RADIUM-226 (GFPC)

Samples MW-42B (280-177698-1), MW-43B (280-177698-2), MW-47B (280-177698-3), MW-46B (280-177698-4), MW-45B (280-177698-5), MW-44B (280-177698-6), MW-41B (280-177698-7), MW-37B (280-177698-8), MW-36B (280-177698-9), MW-32B (280-177698-10), MW-39B (280-177698-11), MW-40B (280-177698-12), MW-49B (280-177698-13), MW-14B (280-177698-14), MW-20B (280-177698-15), Dup-01 (280-177698-16), MW-38B (280-177698-17), MW-21B (280-177698-18) and MW-38C (280-177698-19) were analyzed for Radium-226 (GFPC) in accordance with SW 846 9315. The samples were prepared on 06/16/2023 and analyzed on 07/11/2023 and 07/12/2023.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

RADIUM-228

Samples MW-42B (280-177698-1), MW-43B (280-177698-2), MW-47B (280-177698-3), MW-46B (280-177698-4), MW-45B (280-177698-5), MW-44B (280-177698-6), MW-41B (280-177698-7), MW-37B (280-177698-8), MW-36B (280-177698-9), MW-32B (280-177698-10), MW-39B (280-177698-11), MW-40B (280-177698-12), MW-49B (280-177698-13), MW-14B (280-177698-14), MW-20B (280-177698-15), Dup-01 (280-177698-16), MW-38B (280-177698-17), MW-21B (280-177698-18), MW-38C (280-177698-19) and EB-01 (280-177698-20) were analyzed for Radium-228 in accordance with 9320. The samples were prepared on 06/16/2023 and 06/20/2023 and analyzed on 06/26/2023 and 06/30/2023.

Radium-228 batch 616848: Any minimum detectable concentration (MDC), critical value (DLC), or Safe Drinking Water Act detection limit (SDWA DL) is sample-specific unless otherwise stated elsewhere in this narrative. Radiochemistry sample results are reported with the count date/time applied as the Activity Reference Date. 280-177698-20, LCS 160-616848/2-A, LCSD 160-616848/3-A and MB 160-616848/1-A

Case Narrative

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Job ID: 280-177698-1 (Continued)

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver (Continued)

Radium-228 batch 616378: Any minimum detectable concentration (MDC), critical value (DLC), or Safe Drinking Water Act detection limit (SDWA DL) is sample-specific unless otherwise stated elsewhere in this narrative. Radiochemistry sample results are reported with the count date/time applied as the Activity Reference Date. 280-177698-1, 280-177698-2, 280-177698-3, 280-177698-4, 280-177698-5, 280-177698-6, 280-177698-7, 280-177698-8, 280-177698-9, 280-177698-10, 280-177698-11, 280-177698-12, 280-177698-13, 280-177698-14, 280-177698-15, 280-177698-16, 280-177698-17, 280-177698-18, 280-177698-18[MS], 280-177698-18[MSD], 280-177698-19, LCS 160-616378/2-A and MB 160-616378/1-A

Radium-228 Prep Batch 160-616848L: Insufficient sample volume was available to perform a sample duplicate for the following samples: 280-177698-20. A laboratory control sample/ laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD) were prepared instead to demonstrate batch precision.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

RADIUM-226/RADIUM-228 (GFPC)

Samples MW-42B (280-177698-1), MW-43B (280-177698-2), MW-47B (280-177698-3), MW-46B (280-177698-4), MW-45B (280-177698-5), MW-44B (280-177698-6), MW-41B (280-177698-7), MW-37B (280-177698-8), MW-36B (280-177698-9), MW-32B (280-177698-10), MW-39B (280-177698-11), MW-40B (280-177698-12), MW-49B (280-177698-13), MW-14B (280-177698-14), MW-20B (280-177698-15), Dup-01 (280-177698-16), MW-38B (280-177698-17), MW-21B (280-177698-18) and MW-38C (280-177698-19) were analyzed for Radium-226/Radium-228 (GFPC) in accordance with 9315/9320. The samples were analyzed on 07/12/2023.

Any minimum detectable concentration (MDC), critical value (DLC), or Safe Drinking Water Act detection limit (SDWA DL) is sample-specific unless otherwise stated elsewhere in this narrative. Radiochemistry sample results are reported with the count date/time applied as the Activity Reference Date. 280-177698-1, 280-177698-2, 280-177698-3, 280-177698-4, 280-177698-5, 280-177698-6, 280-177698-7, 280-177698-8, 280-177698-9, 280-177698-10, 280-177698-11, 280-177698-12, 280-177698-13, 280-177698-14, 280-177698-15, 280-177698-16, 280-177698-17, 280-177698-18, 280-177698-18[MS], 280-177698-18[MSD], 280-177698-19, LCS 160-616377/2-A and MB 160-616377/1-A

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Client Sample ID: MW-42B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-1 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-43B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-2 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-47B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-3 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-46B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-4 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-45B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-5 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-44B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-6 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-41B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-7 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-37B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-8 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-36B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-9 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-32B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-10 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-39B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-11 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-40B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-12 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-49B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-14B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-14 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: MW-20B | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-15 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |
| Client Sample ID: Dup-01 | Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-16 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Detections. | |

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Client Sample ID: MW-38B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-17

No Detections.

Client Sample ID: MW-21B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18

No Detections.

Client Sample ID: MW-38C

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-19

No Detections.

Client Sample ID: EB-01

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-20

No Detections.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Method Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

| Method | Method Description | Protocol | Laboratory |
|-------------|--|----------|------------|
| 9315 | Radium-226 (GFPC) | SW846 | EET SL |
| 9320 | Radium-228 (GFPC) | SW846 | EET SL |
| Ra226_Ra228 | Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228 | TAL-STL | EET SL |
| PrecSep_0 | Preparation, Precipitate Separation | None | EET SL |
| PrecSep-21 | Preparation, Precipitate Separation (21-Day In-Growth) | None | EET SL |

Protocol References:

None = None

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

TAL-STL = TestAmerica Laboratories, St. Louis, Facility Standard Operating Procedure.

Laboratory References:

EET SL = Eurofins St. Louis, 13715 Rider Trail North, Earth City, MO 63045, TEL (314)298-8566

Sample Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Matrix | Collected | Received |
|---------------|------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | Water | 06/07/23 10:47 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | Water | 06/07/23 11:12 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | Water | 06/07/23 11:54 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | Water | 06/07/23 12:52 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | Water | 06/07/23 14:00 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | Water | 06/07/23 15:15 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | Water | 06/08/23 09:42 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Water | 06/08/23 10:32 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | Water | 06/08/23 11:12 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | Water | 06/08/23 12:42 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | Water | 06/08/23 13:32 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | Water | 06/08/23 14:22 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | Water | 06/08/23 17:32 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | Water | 06/08/23 18:12 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | Water | 06/09/23 08:24 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | Water | 06/09/23 00:00 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Water | 06/09/23 09:12 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Water | 06/09/23 09:47 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | Water | 06/09/23 10:30 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-20 | EB-01 | Water | 06/09/23 12:30 | 06/09/23 16:15 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: SW846 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 10:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | -0.00375 | U | 0.0589 | 0.0589 | 1.00 | 0.121 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/11/23 22:55 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 85.0 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/11/23 22:55 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0375 | U | 0.0523 | 0.0524 | 1.00 | 0.0886 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/11/23 22:55 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 88.8 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/11/23 22:55 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:54
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0834 | U | 0.0656 | 0.0661 | 1.00 | 0.0934 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:23 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 86.8 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:23 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 12:52
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0285 | U | 0.0545 | 0.0545 | 1.00 | 0.0979 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:23 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 88.0 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:23 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 14:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0200 | U | 0.0492 | 0.0492 | 1.00 | 0.0921 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:23 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 89.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:23 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: SW846 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 15:15
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0462 | U | 0.0847 | 0.0848 | 1.00 | 0.148 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:23 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 80.9 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:23 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 09:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0206 | U | 0.0612 | 0.0612 | 1.00 | 0.114 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:24 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 85.0 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:24 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 10:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0284 | U | 0.0580 | 0.0581 | 1.00 | 0.106 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:25 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 87.0 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:25 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0428 | U | 0.0540 | 0.0541 | 1.00 | 0.0891 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:25 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 87.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:25 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 12:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0212 | U | 0.0526 | 0.0526 | 1.00 | 0.0999 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:25 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 70.0 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:25 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: SW846 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 13:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0352 | U | 0.0595 | 0.0596 | 1.00 | 0.105 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:25 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 78.9 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:25 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 14:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0324 | U | 0.0556 | 0.0557 | 1.00 | 0.0980 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:25 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 86.8 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:25 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 17:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0613 | U | 0.0659 | 0.0662 | 1.00 | 0.105 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:25 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 84.7 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:25 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 18:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | -0.0456 | U | 0.0536 | 0.0538 | 1.00 | 0.134 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:26 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 76.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:26 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 08:24
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0111 | U | 0.0407 | 0.0407 | 1.00 | 0.0822 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:26 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 91.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:26 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: SW846 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 06/09/23 00:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.00924 | U | 0.0419 | 0.0419 | 1.00 | 0.0848 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:26 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 87.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:26 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0881 | | 0.0612 | 0.0617 | 1.00 | 0.0812 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:26 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 96.7 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:26 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.00367 | U | 0.0461 | 0.0461 | 1.00 | 0.0959 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:26 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 86.8 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:26 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 06/09/23 10:30
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | -0.0274 | U | 0.0501 | 0.0502 | 1.00 | 0.119 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:28 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 89.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:28 | 1 |

Method: SW846 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 10:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.828 | | 0.459 | 0.465 | 1.00 | 0.661 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:44 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 85.0 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:44 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: SW846 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 10:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-1
Matrix: Water

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits |
|-----------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Y Carrier | 84.5 | | 30 - 110 |

| Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------------|----------------|---------|
| 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:44 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.0270 | U | 0.309 | 0.309 | 1.00 | 0.575 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:44 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | 88.8 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | |
| Y Carrier | 80.7 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:54
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.898 | | 0.416 | 0.424 | 1.00 | 0.546 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:44 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | 86.8 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | |
| Y Carrier | 80.7 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 12:52
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | -0.171 | U | 0.319 | 0.320 | 1.00 | 0.646 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:45 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | 88.0 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | |
| Y Carrier | 78.9 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 14:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.485 | U | 0.374 | 0.377 | 1.00 | 0.574 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:46 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | 89.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | |
| Y Carrier | 82.6 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: SW846 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 15:15
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.450 | U | 0.448 | 0.450 | 1.00 | 0.722 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:46 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 80.9 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:46 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 81.5 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:46 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 09:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.797 | | 0.403 | 0.409 | 1.00 | 0.551 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:46 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 85.0 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:46 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 86.4 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:46 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 10:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.277 | U | 0.356 | 0.357 | 1.00 | 0.592 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:46 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 87.0 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:46 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 85.2 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:46 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.939 | | 0.434 | 0.442 | 1.00 | 0.583 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:46 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 87.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:46 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 82.2 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:46 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 12:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 1.45 | | 0.561 | 0.577 | 1.00 | 0.697 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:48 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: SW846 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC) (Continued)

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 70.0 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:48 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 83.7 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:48 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 13:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.464 | U | 0.581 | 0.583 | 1.00 | 0.964 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:48 | 1 |

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 78.9 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:48 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 69.5 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:48 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 14:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.658 | U | 0.441 | 0.446 | 1.00 | 0.668 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:49 | 1 |

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 86.8 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:49 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 81.1 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:49 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 17:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.339 | U | 0.353 | 0.355 | 1.00 | 0.571 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:49 | 1 |

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 84.7 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:49 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 84.5 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:49 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 18:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | -0.00832 | U | 0.373 | 0.373 | 1.00 | 0.698 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 76.1 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 86.0 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: SW846 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 08:24
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.533 | U | 0.392 | 0.395 | 1.00 | 0.601 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 91.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 84.5 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 06/09/23 00:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.618 | U | 0.423 | 0.427 | 1.00 | 0.636 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 87.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 76.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.412 | U | 0.324 | 0.326 | 1.00 | 0.498 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 96.7 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 80.7 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.0652 | U | 0.358 | 0.358 | 1.00 | 0.651 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 86.8 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 77.8 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 06/09/23 10:30
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.375 | U | 0.388 | 0.390 | 1.00 | 0.627 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: SW846 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC) (Continued)

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 89.1 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 76.6 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: EB-01
Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:30
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.0101 | U | 0.359 | 0.359 | 1.00 | 0.688 | pCi/L | 06/20/23 10:07 | 06/26/23 14:55 | 1 |

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 86.3 | | 30 - 110 | 06/20/23 10:07 | 06/26/23 14:55 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 84.5 | | 30 - 110 | 06/20/23 10:07 | 06/26/23 14:55 | 1 |

Method: TAL-STL Ra226_Ra228 - Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 10:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.824 | | 0.463 | 0.469 | 5.00 | 0.661 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.0645 | U | 0.313 | 0.313 | 5.00 | 0.575 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:54
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.981 | | 0.421 | 0.429 | 5.00 | 0.546 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 12:52
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | -0.142 | U | 0.324 | 0.325 | 5.00 | 0.646 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: TAL-STL Ra226_Ra228 - Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 14:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.505 | U | 0.377 | 0.380 | 5.00 | 0.574 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 15:15
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.496 | U | 0.456 | 0.458 | 5.00 | 0.722 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 09:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.818 | | 0.408 | 0.414 | 5.00 | 0.551 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 10:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.305 | U | 0.361 | 0.362 | 5.00 | 0.592 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.981 | | 0.437 | 0.445 | 5.00 | 0.583 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 12:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 1.48 | | 0.563 | 0.579 | 5.00 | 0.697 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: TAL-STL Ra226_Ra228 - Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 13:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.500 | U | 0.584 | 0.586 | 5.00 | 0.964 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 14:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.691 | | 0.444 | 0.449 | 5.00 | 0.668 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 17:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.400 | U | 0.359 | 0.361 | 5.00 | 0.571 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 18:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | -0.0539 | U | 0.377 | 0.377 | 5.00 | 0.698 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 08:24
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.544 | U | 0.394 | 0.397 | 5.00 | 0.601 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 06/09/23 00:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.628 | U | 0.425 | 0.429 | 5.00 | 0.636 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
 Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: TAL-STL Ra226_Ra228 - Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.500 | | 0.330 | 0.332 | 5.00 | 0.498 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.0688 | U | 0.361 | 0.361 | 5.00 | 0.651 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 06/09/23 10:30
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.348 | U | 0.391 | 0.393 | 5.00 | 0.627 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-616377/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 619818

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616377

| Analyte | MB | | Count | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | Result | Qualifier | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | | |
| Radium-226 | -0.02526 | U | 0.0556 | 0.0557 | 1.00 | 0.126 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/11/23 22:53 | 1 |
| Carrier | MB | | Limits | | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | |
| | 87.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| | | | | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/11/23 22:53 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 160-616377/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 619761

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616377

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qual | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits | |
|------------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------------|--|
| | | | | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | | |
| Radium-226 | 11.3 | 9.777 | | 1.02 | 1.00 | 0.101 | pCi/L | 86 | 75 - 125 | |
| Carrier | LCS | LCS | | | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | | |
| | 92.9 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 620005

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616377

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | Spike Added | MS | MS | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits | |
|------------|---------|-----------|-------------|--------|------|-----------------|------|--------|-------|------|-------------|--|
| | Result | Qual | | Result | Qual | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | | |
| Radium-226 | 0.00367 | U | 11.3 | 9.913 | | 1.04 | 1.00 | 0.0954 | pCi/L | 87 | 60 - 140 | |
| Carrier | MS | MS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | | | | |
| | 87.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | | | |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 619836

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616377

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | Spike Added | MSD | MSD | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RER | RER Limit |
|------------|---------|-----------|-------------|--------|------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------------|------|-----------|
| | Result | Qual | | Result | Qual | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | | | |
| Radium-226 | 0.00367 | U | 11.4 | 10.32 | | 1.09 | 1.00 | 0.118 | pCi/L | 90 | 60 - 140 | 0.19 | 1 |
| Carrier | MSD | MSD | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 83.2 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | | | | |

Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-616378/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 618388

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616378

| Analyte | MB | | Count | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | Result | Qualifier | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | | |
| Radium-228 | 0.4350 | U | 0.341 | 0.344 | 1.00 | 0.522 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:44 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC) (Continued)

| Carrier | MB %Yield | MB Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|-----------|--------------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 87.8 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:44 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 82.6 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:44 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 160-616378/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 618388

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616378

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qual | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------------|
| Radium-228 | 8.08 | 9.296 | | 1.28 | 1.00 | 0.543 | pCi/L | 115 | 75 - 125 |

| Carrier | LCS %Yield | LCS Qualifier | Limits |
|------------|------------|---------------|----------|
| Ba Carrier | 92.9 | | 30 - 110 |
| Y Carrier | 84.1 | | 30 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 618387

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616378

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qual | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qual | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|---------|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------------|
| Radium-228 | 0.0652 | U | 8.07 | 7.476 | | 1.20 | 1.00 | 0.699 | pCi/L | 92 | 60 - 140 |

| Carrier | MS %Yield | MS Qualifier | Limits |
|------------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| Ba Carrier | 87.3 | | 30 - 110 |
| Y Carrier | 71.0 | | 30 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 618387

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616378

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qual | Spike Added | MSD Result | MSD Qual | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RER | RER Limit |
|------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------------|------|-----------|
| Radium-228 | 0.0652 | U | 8.14 | 7.215 | | 1.15 | 1.00 | 0.602 | pCi/L | 88 | 60 - 140 | 0.11 | 1 |

| Carrier | MSD %Yield | MSD Qualifier | Limits |
|------------|------------|---------------|----------|
| Ba Carrier | 83.2 | | 30 - 110 |
| Y Carrier | 81.5 | | 30 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-616848/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617733

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616848

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | -0.1221 | U | 0.336 | 0.336 | 1.00 | 0.663 | pCi/L | 06/20/23 10:07 | 06/26/23 14:55 | 1 |

| Carrier | MB %Yield | MB Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|-----------|--------------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 93.0 | | 30 - 110 | 06/20/23 10:07 | 06/26/23 14:55 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 74.8 | | 30 - 110 | 06/20/23 10:07 | 06/26/23 14:55 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
 Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 160-616848/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617733

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616848

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qual | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------------|
| Radium-228 | 8.09 | 7.279 | | 1.18 | 1.00 | 0.633 | pCi/L | 90 | 75 - 125 |
| LCS LCS | | | | | | | | | |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | 83.8 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | |
| Y Carrier | 81.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 160-616848/3-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617733

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616848

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qual | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RER | RER Limit |
|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------------|------|-----------|
| Radium-228 | 8.09 | 7.604 | | 1.07 | 1.00 | 0.436 | pCi/L | 94 | 75 - 125 | 0.14 | 1 |
| LCSD LCSD | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | 94.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | | |
| Y Carrier | 102 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | | |

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Rad

Prep Batch: 616377

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|------------|------------|
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| MB 160-616377/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| LCS 160-616377/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-18 MS | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-177698-18 MSD | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |

Prep Batch: 616378

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------------|
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| MB 160-616378/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| LCS 160-616378/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-18 MS | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-177698-18 MSD | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Rad

Prep Batch: 616848

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------------|
| 280-177698-20 | EB-01 | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| MB 160-616848/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| LCS 160-616848/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| LCSD 160-616848/3-A | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Client Sample ID: MW-42B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-1

Date Collected: 06/07/23 10:47

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 978.76 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 619761 | 07/11/23 22:55 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 978.76 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618388 | 06/30/23 14:44 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-2

Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:12

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 993.44 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 619761 | 07/11/23 22:55 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 993.44 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618388 | 06/30/23 14:44 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-3

Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:54

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 999.60 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:23 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 999.60 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618388 | 06/30/23 14:44 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-4

Date Collected: 06/07/23 12:52

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 971.93 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:23 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 971.93 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618388 | 06/30/23 14:45 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Client Sample ID: MW-45B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-5

Date Collected: 06/07/23 14:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 986.86 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:23 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 986.86 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618388 | 06/30/23 14:46 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-6

Date Collected: 06/07/23 15:15

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 993.82 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:23 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 993.82 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618388 | 06/30/23 14:46 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-7

Date Collected: 06/08/23 09:42

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 984.91 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:24 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 984.91 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618388 | 06/30/23 14:46 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-8

Date Collected: 06/08/23 10:32

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 968.50 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:25 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 968.50 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618388 | 06/30/23 14:46 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Client Sample ID: MW-36B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-9

Date Collected: 06/08/23 11:12

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 994.87 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:25 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 994.87 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618388 | 06/30/23 14:46 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-10

Date Collected: 06/08/23 12:42

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 994.62 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:25 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 994.62 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618386 | 06/30/23 14:48 | MLK | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-11

Date Collected: 06/08/23 13:32

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 980.22 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:25 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 980.22 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618386 | 06/30/23 14:48 | MLK | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-12

Date Collected: 06/08/23 14:22

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 997.67 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:25 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 997.67 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618387 | 06/30/23 14:49 | MLK | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Client Sample ID: MW-49B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13

Date Collected: 06/08/23 17:32

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 975.19 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:25 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 975.19 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618387 | 06/30/23 14:49 | MLK | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-14B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-14

Date Collected: 06/08/23 18:12

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 959.73 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:26 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 959.73 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618387 | 06/30/23 14:50 | MLK | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-15

Date Collected: 06/09/23 08:24

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 957.14 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:26 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 957.14 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618387 | 06/30/23 14:50 | MLK | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-16

Date Collected: 06/09/23 00:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 1004.26 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:26 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 1004.26 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618387 | 06/30/23 14:50 | MLK | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Client Sample ID: MW-38B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-17

Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:12

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 1003.61 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:26 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 1003.61 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618387 | 06/30/23 14:50 | MLK | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18

Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:47

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 997.53 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 620005 | 07/12/23 11:26 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 997.53 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618387 | 06/30/23 14:50 | MLK | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-38C

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-19

Date Collected: 06/09/23 10:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 916.97 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 619836 | 07/12/23 11:28 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 916.97 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618387 | 06/30/23 14:50 | MLK | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: EB-01

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-20

Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 756.49 mL | 1.0 g | 616848 | 06/20/23 10:07 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 617733 | 06/26/23 14:55 | FLC | EET SL |

Laboratory References:

EET SL = Eurofins St. Louis, 13715 Rider Trail North, Earth City, MO 63045, TEL (314)298-8566

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
 Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Laboratory: Eurofins St. Louis

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

| Authority | Program | Identification Number | Expiration Date |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Alaska (UST) | State | 20-001 | 05-06-25 |
| ANAB | Dept. of Defense ELAP | L2305 | 04-06-25 |
| ANAB | Dept. of Energy | L2305.01 | 04-06-25 |
| ANAB | ISO/IEC 17025 | L2305 | 04-06-25 |
| Arizona | State | AZ0813 | 12-08-23 |
| California | Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts | 10259 | 06-30-22 * |
| California | State | 2886 | 06-30-23 * |
| Connecticut | State | PH-0241 | 03-31-25 |
| Florida | NELAP | E87689 | 06-30-24 |
| HI - RadChem Recognition | State | n/a | 06-30-23 * |
| Illinois | NELAP | 200023 | 11-30-23 |
| Iowa | State | 373 | 12-01-24 |
| Kansas | NELAP | E-10236 | 10-31-23 |
| Kentucky (DW) | State | KY90125 | 12-31-23 |
| Kentucky (WW) | State | KY90125 (Permit KY0004049) | 12-31-23 |
| Louisiana | NELAP | 04080 | 06-30-22 * |
| Louisiana (All) | NELAP | 04080 | 06-30-24 |
| Louisiana (DW) | State | LA011 | 12-31-23 |
| Maryland | State | 310 | 09-30-23 |
| MI - RadChem Recognition | State | 9005 | 06-30-23 * |
| Missouri | State | 780 | 06-30-25 |
| Nevada | State | MO000542020-1 | 07-31-23 |
| New Jersey | NELAP | MO002 | 06-30-24 |
| New York | NELAP | 11616 | 03-31-24 |
| North Carolina (DW) | State | 29700 | 07-31-23 |
| North Dakota | State | R-207 | 06-30-23 * |
| Oklahoma | NELAP | 9997 | 08-31-23 |
| Oregon | NELAP | 4157 | 09-01-23 |
| Pennsylvania | NELAP | 68-00540 | 02-28-24 |
| South Carolina | State | 85002001 | 06-30-23 * |
| Texas | NELAP | T104704193 | 07-31-23 |
| US Fish & Wildlife | US Federal Programs | 058448 | 07-31-23 |
| USDA | US Federal Programs | P330-17-00028 | 05-18-26 |
| Utah | NELAP | MO000542021-14 | 07-31-23 |
| Virginia | NELAP | 10310 | 06-15-25 |
| Washington | State | C592 | 08-30-23 |
| West Virginia DEP | State | 381 | 10-31-23 |

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

Chain of Custody Record

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Client Information | | Lab PM: McEntee, Patrick J | Carrier Tracking No(s): | COC No: 280-120021-33729.1 |
| Company: AECOM Technical Services Inc. | | E-Mail: Patrick.McEntee@et.eurofins.com | State of Origin: CO | Page: Page 1 of 5 |
| Address: 7595 Technology Way | | Job #: | | |
| City: Denver | | Analysis Requested | | |
| State, Zip: CO, 80237 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | |
| Phone: | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | |
| PO #: 136447 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | |
| WO #: AECOM Project# 60632474 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tl) | | |
| Project #: 28020759 | | 9315_Ra226 | | |
| Site: CCR - Basin Electric 2022 | | 9320_Ra228 | | |
| Due Date Requested: | | Combined Radium226 and Radium228 | | |
| TAT Requested (days): | | Total Number of Containers | | |
| Compliance Project: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | Special Instructions/Note: | | |
| Sample Date | | 280-177698 Chain of Custody | | |
| Sample Time | | Preservation Codes: | | |
| Sample Date | | A - HCL | | |
| Sample Time | | M - Hexane | | |
| Sample Date | | N - None | | |
| Sample Time | | O - NaOH | | |
| Sample Date | | P - Na2O4S | | |
| Sample Time | | Q - Zn Acetate | | |
| Sample Date | | R - Nitric Acid | | |
| Sample Time | | S - H2SO4 | | |
| Sample Date | | T - TSP Dodecahydrate | | |
| Sample Time | | U - Acetone | | |
| Sample Date | | V - MCAA | | |
| Sample Time | | W - pH 4-5 | | |
| Sample Date | | X - EDTA | | |
| Sample Time | | Y - Trizma | | |
| Sample Date | | Z - other (specify) | | |
| Sample Time | | Other: | | |
| Sample Date | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | |
| Sample Time | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | |
| Sample Date | | Matrix | | |
| Sample Time | | Sample Type | | |
| Sample Date | | Sample Time | | |
| Sample Time | | Preservation Code: | | |
| Sample Date | | MW-42B | | |
| Sample Time | | MW-43B | | |
| Sample Date | | MW-47B | | |
| Sample Time | | MW-46B | | |
| Sample Date | | MW-45B | | |
| Sample Time | | MW-44B | | |
| Sample Date | | MW-41B | | |
| Sample Time | | MW-37B | | |
| Sample Date | | MW-36B | | |
| Sample Time | | MW-32B | | |
| Sample Date | | MW-39B | | |
| Sample Time | | MW-39B | | |
| Sample Date | | Possible Hazard Identification | | |
| Sample Time | | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Hazard <input type="checkbox"/> Flammable <input type="checkbox"/> Skin Irritant <input type="checkbox"/> Poison B <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> Radiological | | |
| Sample Date | | Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify) | | |
| Sample Time | | Empty Kit Relinquished by: | | |
| Sample Date | | Date: | | |
| Sample Time | | Time: | | |
| Sample Date | | Relinquished by: <i>[Signature]</i> | | |
| Sample Time | | Date/Time: 6/9/23 1615 | | |
| Sample Date | | Company: AECOM | | |
| Sample Time | | Date/Time: 6/9/23 1615 | | |
| Sample Date | | Company: ESTADEN | | |
| Sample Time | | Date/Time: | | |
| Sample Date | | Company: | | |
| Sample Time | | Date/Time: | | |
| Sample Date | | Custody Seal No.: | | |
| Sample Time | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | |
| Sample Date | | Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks: <i>CS, 16.1°C, 1.0°C, 1.0°C</i> | | |

Chain of Custody Record



Environmental Testing
 Division

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Client Information | | Lab PIM: McEntee, Patrick J | | Carrier Tracking No(s): | | COC No: 280-120021-33729.1 | |
| Client Contact: Ms. Katie Abbott | | E-Mail: Patrick.McEntee@det.eurofins.com | | State of Origin: CO | | Page: Page 1 of 5 | |
| Company: AECOM Technical Services Inc. | | PWSID: | | Analysis Requested | | Job #: | |
| Address: 7595 Technology Way | | Due Date Requested: | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | Preservation Codes: | |
| City: Denver | | TAT Requested (days): | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | A - HCL B - NaOH C - Zn Acetate D - Nitric Acid E - NaHSO4 F - MeOH G - Anchlor H - Ascorbic Acid I - Ice J - DI Water K - EDTA L - EDA Other: | |
| State: Zip: CO, 80237 | | Compliance Project: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | M - Hexane N - None O - AsNaO2 P - Na2OAS Q - Na2SO3 R - Na2SO3 S - H2SO4 T - TSP Dodecahydrate U - Acetone V - MCAA W - pH 4.5 Y - Trizma Z - other (specify) | |
| Phone: | | PO #: 136447 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | Special Instructions/Note: | |
| Email: katie.abbott@aecom.com | | WO #: AECOM Project# 60632474 | | 9320_Ra228 | | Total Number of containers | |
| Project Name: CCR - Basin Electric 2022 | | Project #: 28020759 | | 9315_Ra226 | | 5 | |
| Site: | | SSOW#: | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | 5 | |
| Sample Identification | | Sample Date | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| RW-21 | | 6/9/23 | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| -RW-2 | | 6/9/23 | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| Sample Type (C=comp, G=grab) | | Sample Time | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| G | | 1122 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| G | | 1202 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| Matrix (W=water, S=solid, O=waste/oil, BT=Tissue, A=Air) | | Sample Time | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| W | | 1122 | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| W | | 1202 | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| Preservation Code: | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| | | N | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| | | N | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| | | N | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| | | N | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| | | N | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | N | | 6 | | | |

Chain of Custody Record



Environment Testing

Client Information (Sub Contract Lab)
 Client Contact: **McEntee, Patrick J**
 Shipping/Receiving: **Patrick McEntee@et.eurofins.com**
 Company: **TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.**
 Address: **13715 Rider Trail North, Colorado**
 City: **Colorado**
 State, Zip: **MO, 63045**
 Phone: **314-298-8566(Tel) 314-298-8757(Fax)**
 Email: **NELAP - Oregon**

Sampler: **McEntee, Patrick J**
Phone: **Patrick McEntee@et.eurofins.com**
Carrier Tracking No(s): **280-660071.1**
State of Origin: **Colorado**
Page: **Page 1 of 3**
Job #: **280-177698-1**

Due Date Requested: **7/11/2023**
TAT Requested (days):
PO #:
WO #:
Project #: **28020759**
SSOW#:

| Sample Identification - Client ID (Lab ID) | Sample Date | Sample Time | Sample Type (C=Comp, G=grab) | Matrix (W=water, S=solid, O=soil, G=gas, A=air) | Analysis Requested | | Special Instructions/Note: |
|--|-------------|----------------|------------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | |
| MW-42B (280-177698-1) | 6/7/23 | 10:47 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | |
| MW-43B (280-177698-2) | 6/7/23 | 11:12 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | |
| MW-47B (280-177698-3) | 6/7/23 | 11:54 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | |
| MW-46B (280-177698-4) | 6/7/23 | 12:52 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | |
| MW-45B (280-177698-5) | 6/7/23 | 14:00 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | |
| MW-44B (280-177698-6) | 6/7/23 | 15:15 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | |
| MW-41B (280-177698-7) | 6/8/23 | 09:42 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | |
| MW-37B (280-177698-8) | 6/8/23 | 10:32 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | |
| MW-36B (280-177698-9) | 6/8/23 | 11:12 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | |

Note: Since laboratory accreditations are subject to change, Eurofins TestAmerica places the ownership of method, analyte & accreditation compliance upon our subcontract laboratories. This sample shipment is forwarded under chain-of-custody. If the laboratory does not currently maintain accreditation in the State of Origin listed above for analysis/test/matrix being analyzed, the samples must be shipped back to the Eurofins TestAmerica laboratory or other instructions will be provided. Any changes to accreditation status should be brought to Eurofins TestAmerica attention immediately. If all requested accreditations are current to date, return the signed Chain of Custody attesting to said compliance to Eurofins TestAmerica

Possible Hazard Identification
 Unconfirmed
 Deliverable Requested: **I, II, III, IV, Other (specify)**
 Primary Deliverable Rank: **2**

Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)
 Return To Client Disposal By Lab Archive For **Months**

Special Instructions/QC Requirements:

Empty Kit Relinquished by: **William Cameron** **Date:** **6/13/23 16:40** **Company:** **ETA RA**

Relinquished by: **felix** **Date/Time:** **6/14/23 09:00** **Company:** **ETA RA**

Relinquished by: **felix** **Date/Time:** **6/14/23 09:00** **Company:** **ETA RA**

Custody Seals Intact: **Yes** **Seal No:**

Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks:

Chain of Custody Record



| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--|--|--|---|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Client Information (Sub Contract Lab) | | Lab PM McEntee, Patrick J | Carrier Tracking No(s) 280-660071.2 | | | | | |
| Client Contact Patrick McEntee@et.eurofins.com | | E-Mail Patrick McEntee@et.eurofins.com | Page Page 2 of 3 | | | | | |
| Shipping/Receiving TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. | | State of Origin Colorado | Job # 280-177698-1 | | | | | |
| Address 13715 Rider Trail North, City Earth City State Zip MO, 63045 Phone 314-298-8566(Tel) 314-298-8757(Fax) Email: | | Accreditations Required (See note) NELAP - Oregon | Preservation Codes: A - HCL B - NaOH C - Zn Acetate D - Nitric Acid E - NaHSO4 F - MeOH G - Amchlor H - Ascorbic Acid I - Ice J - DI Water K - EDTA L - EDA Other: M - Hexane N - None O - AsNaO2 P - Na2O4S Q - Na2SO3 R - Na2S2O3 S - H2SO4 T - TSP Dodecahydrate U - Acetone V - MCAA W - pH 4-5 Y - Trizma Z - other (specify) | | | | | |
| Due Date Requested: 7/11/2023 TAT Requested (days): | | Analysis Requested | | | | | | |
| PO #: WO #: Project # 28020759 SSOW# | | | | | | | | |
| Sample Date | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | 9320_Ra226/Precep_0 Standard Target List | 9315_Ra226/Precep_21 Standard Target List | Ra226Ra228_GFPc | Total Number of Containers | Special Instructions/Note: |
| Sample Identification - Client ID (Lab ID) | Sample Time | Sample Type (C=Comp, G=grab) | Matrix (W=water, S=solid, O=soils, BT=BIOTISSUE, AA=Air) | Preservation Code: | Sample Date | Sample Time | Sample Type | Matrix |
| MW-32B (280-177698-10) | 6/8/23 | Mountain | 12:42 | Water | 6/8/23 | Mountain | X | X |
| MW-39B (280-177698-11) | 6/8/23 | Mountain | 13:32 | Water | 6/8/23 | Mountain | X | X |
| MW-40B (280-177698-12) | 6/8/23 | Mountain | 14:22 | Water | 6/8/23 | Mountain | X | X |
| MW-49B (280-177698-13) | 6/8/23 | Mountain | 17:32 | Water | 6/8/23 | Mountain | X | X |
| MW-14B (280-177698-14) | 6/8/23 | Mountain | 18:12 | Water | 6/8/23 | Mountain | X | X |
| MW-20B (280-177698-15) | 6/9/23 | Mountain | 08:24 | Water | 6/9/23 | Mountain | X | X |
| Dup-01 (280-177698-16) | 6/9/23 | Mountain | 09:12 | Water | 6/9/23 | Mountain | X | X |
| MW-38B (280-177698-17) | 6/9/23 | Mountain | 09:47 | Water | 6/9/23 | Mountain | X | X |
| MW-21B (280-177698-18) | 6/9/23 | Mountain | 09:47 | Water | 6/9/23 | Mountain | X | X |

Note: Since laboratory accreditations are subject to change, Eurofins TestAmerica places the ownership of method, analyte & accreditation compliance upon our subcontract laboratories. This sample shipment is forwarded under chain-of-custody. If the laboratory does not currently maintain accreditation in the State of Origin listed above for analysis/test/matrix being analyzed, the samples must be shipped back to the Eurofins TestAmerica laboratory or other instructions will be provided. Any changes to accreditation status should be brought to Eurofins TestAmerica attention immediately. If all requested accreditations are current to date, return the signed Chain of Custody attesting to said compliance to Eurofins TestAmerica

Possible Hazard Identification
 Unconfirmed
 Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify) Primary Deliverable Rank: 2
 Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)
 Return To Client Disposal By Lab Archive For _____ Months
 Special Instructions/QC Requirements:

Empty Kit Relinquished by: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____ Method of Shipment: _____
 Relinquished by: *Willow Cameron* Date/Time: *9/12/23 16:30* Company: *RA*
 Relinquished by: *fedex* Date/Time: *9/12/23 16:30* Company: *RA*
 Relinquished by: *Dariusz Shorobay - Shorobay* Date/Time: *6/14/23 09:20* Company: *STARI*

Custody Seals Intact: Yes No Δ No Δ No
 Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks:

Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Job Number: 280-177698-1

Login Number: 177698

List Number: 1

Creator: Naylis, Patrick J

List Source: Eurofins Denver

| Question | Answer | Comment |
|--|--------|---------|
| Radioactivity wasn't checked or is </= background as measured by a survey meter. | True | |
| The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact. | True | |
| Sample custody seals, if present, are intact. | True | |
| The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with. | True | |
| Samples were received on ice. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is acceptable. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is recorded. | True | |
| COC is present. | True | |
| COC is filled out in ink and legible. | True | |
| COC is filled out with all pertinent information. | True | |
| Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC? | True | |
| There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC. | True | |
| Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs) | True | |
| Sample containers have legible labels. | True | |
| Containers are not broken or leaking. | True | |
| Sample collection date/times are provided. | True | |
| Appropriate sample containers are used. | True | |
| Sample bottles are completely filled. | True | |
| Sample Preservation Verified. | N/A | |
| There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs | True | |
| Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4"). | N/A | |
| Multiphasic samples are not present. | True | |
| Samples do not require splitting or compositing. | True | |
| Residual Chlorine Checked. | N/A | |



Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Job Number: 280-177698-1

Login Number: 177698

List Number: 2

Creator: Sharkey-Gonzalez, Briana L

List Source: Eurofins St. Louis

List Creation: 06/14/23 12:33 PM

| Question | Answer | Comment |
|--|--------|---------|
| Radioactivity wasn't checked or is </= background as measured by a survey meter. | True | |
| The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact. | True | |
| Sample custody seals, if present, are intact. | True | |
| The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with. | True | |
| Samples were received on ice. | N/A | |
| Cooler Temperature is acceptable. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is recorded. | True | |
| COC is present. | True | |
| COC is filled out in ink and legible. | True | |
| COC is filled out with all pertinent information. | True | |
| Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC? | True | |
| There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC. | True | |
| Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs) | True | |
| Sample containers have legible labels. | True | |
| Containers are not broken or leaking. | True | |
| Sample collection date/times are provided. | True | |
| Appropriate sample containers are used. | True | |
| Sample bottles are completely filled. | True | |
| Sample Preservation Verified. | True | |
| There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs | True | |
| Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4"). | True | |
| Multiphasic samples are not present. | True | |
| Samples do not require splitting or compositing. | True | |
| Residual Chlorine Checked. | N/A | |

Tracer/Carrier Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Percent Yield (Acceptance Limits) | |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | | Ba (30-110) | Y (30-110) |
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | 85.0 | |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | 88.8 | |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | 86.8 | |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | 88.0 | |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | 89.3 | |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | 80.9 | |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | 85.0 | |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | 87.0 | |
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | 87.3 | |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | 70.0 | |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | 78.9 | |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | 86.8 | |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | 84.7 | |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | 76.1 | |
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | 91.3 | |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | 87.3 | |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | 96.7 | |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | 86.8 | |
| 280-177698-18 MS | MW-21B | 87.3 | |
| 280-177698-18 MSD | MW-21B | 83.2 | |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | 89.1 | |
| LCS 160-616377/2-A | Lab Control Sample | 92.9 | |
| MB 160-616377/1-A | Method Blank | 87.8 | |

Tracer/Carrier Legend

Ba = Ba Carrier

Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Percent Yield (Acceptance Limits) | |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | | Ba (30-110) | Y (30-110) |
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | 85.0 | 84.5 |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | 88.8 | 80.7 |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | 86.8 | 80.7 |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | 88.0 | 78.9 |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | 89.3 | 82.6 |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | 80.9 | 81.5 |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | 85.0 | 86.4 |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | 87.0 | 85.2 |
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | 87.3 | 82.2 |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | 70.0 | 83.7 |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | 78.9 | 69.5 |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | 86.8 | 81.1 |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | 84.7 | 84.5 |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | 76.1 | 86.0 |
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | 91.3 | 84.5 |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | 87.3 | 76.3 |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | 96.7 | 80.7 |

Tracer/Carrier Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
 Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-1

Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC) (Continued)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Percent Yield (Acceptance Limits)

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Ba (30-110) | Y (30-110) |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | 86.8 | 77.8 |
| 280-177698-18 MS | MW-21B | 87.3 | 71.0 |
| 280-177698-18 MSD | MW-21B | 83.2 | 81.5 |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | 89.1 | 76.6 |
| 280-177698-20 | EB-01 | 86.3 | 84.5 |
| LCS 160-616378/2-A | Lab Control Sample | 92.9 | 84.1 |
| LCS 160-616848/2-A | Lab Control Sample | 83.8 | 81.1 |
| LCSD 160-616848/3-A | Lab Control Sample Dup | 94.1 | 102 |
| MB 160-616378/1-A | Method Blank | 87.8 | 82.6 |
| MB 160-616848/1-A | Method Blank | 93.0 | 74.8 |

Tracer/Carrier Legend

Ba = Ba Carrier

Y = Y Carrier

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15

 **ANALYTICAL REPORT****PREPARED FOR**

Attn: Ms. Katie Abbott
AECOM Technical Services Inc.
6200 S. Quebec Street
Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111

Generated 6/23/2023 3:09:57 PM

JOB DESCRIPTION

Basin 2023 Support

JOB NUMBER

280-177698-2

Eurofins Denver

Job Notes

This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. The results relate only to the samples tested. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

The test results in this report relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory and will meet all requirements of the methodology, with any exceptions noted. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the express written approval of the laboratory. All questions should be directed to the Eurofins TestAmerica Project Manager.

Authorization



Generated
6/23/2023 3:09:57 PM

Authorized for release by
Megan McElheny, Project Manager I
Megan.Mcelheny@et.eurofinsus.com
Designee for
Patrick McEntee, Client Service Manager
Patrick.McEntee@et.eurofinsus.com
(303)736-0107



Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------------------|----|
| Cover Page | 1 |
| Table of Contents | 3 |
| Definitions | 4 |
| Case Narrative | 5 |
| Detection Summary | 7 |
| Method Summary | 12 |
| Sample Summary | 13 |
| Client Sample Results | 14 |
| QC Sample Results | 30 |
| QC Association | 38 |
| Chronicle | 44 |
| Certification Summary | 52 |
| Chain of Custody | 53 |
| Receipt Checklists | 56 |

Definitions/Glossary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Qualifiers

Metals

| Qualifier | Qualifier Description |
|-----------|---|
| 4 | MS, MSD: The analyte present in the original sample is greater than 4 times the matrix spike concentration; therefore, control limits are not applicable. |
| F1 | MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits. |

General Chemistry

| Qualifier | Qualifier Description |
|-----------|--|
| ^- | Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) is outside acceptance limits, low biased. |
| E | Result exceeded calibration range. |
| F1 | MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits. |
| J | Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value. |

Glossary

| Abbreviation | These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. |
|----------------|---|
| α | Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis |
| %R | Percent Recovery |
| CFL | Contains Free Liquid |
| CFU | Colony Forming Unit |
| CNF | Contains No Free Liquid |
| DER | Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) |
| Dil Fac | Dilution Factor |
| DL | Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) |
| DL, RA, RE, IN | Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample |
| DLC | Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| EDL | Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) |
| LOD | Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) |
| LOQ | Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE) |
| MCL | EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level" |
| MDA | Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) |
| MDC | Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| MDL | Method Detection Limit |
| ML | Minimum Level (Dioxin) |
| MPN | Most Probable Number |
| MQL | Method Quantitation Limit |
| NC | Not Calculated |
| ND | Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) |
| NEG | Negative / Absent |
| POS | Positive / Present |
| PQL | Practical Quantitation Limit |
| PRES | Presumptive |
| QC | Quality Control |
| RER | Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry) |
| RL | Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points |
| TEF | Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) |
| TEQ | Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin) |
| TNTC | Too Numerous To Count |

Case Narrative

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Project: Basin 2023 Support

Report Number: 280-177698-2

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 6/9/2023 4:15 PM. Unless otherwise noted below, the samples arrived in good condition, and where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperatures of the 5 coolers at receipt time were 0.1° C, 0.5° C, 1.0° C, 1.1° C and 1.2° C.

Receipt Exceptions

Per client request, the results for samples RW-1 (280-177698-21) and RW-2 (280-177698-22) are reported under 280-177698-3 (chemical) and 280-177698-4 (radiochemical).

TOTAL METALS (ICP)

Samples MW-42B (280-177698-1), MW-43B (280-177698-2), MW-47B (280-177698-3), MW-46B (280-177698-4), MW-45B (280-177698-5), MW-44B (280-177698-6), MW-41B (280-177698-7), MW-37B (280-177698-8), MW-36B (280-177698-9), MW-32B (280-177698-10), MW-39B (280-177698-11), MW-40B (280-177698-12), MW-49B (280-177698-13), MW-14B (280-177698-14), MW-20B (280-177698-15), Dup-01 (280-177698-16), MW-38B (280-177698-17), MW-21B (280-177698-18), MW-38C (280-177698-19), and EB-01 (280-177698-20) were analyzed for Total Metals (ICP) in accordance with EPA SW846 6010D. The samples were prepared on 06/16/2023 and analyzed on 06/19/2023 and 06/22/2023.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

TOTAL METALS (ICP/MS)

Samples MW-42B (280-177698-1), MW-43B (280-177698-2), MW-47B (280-177698-3), MW-46B (280-177698-4), MW-45B (280-177698-5), MW-44B (280-177698-6), MW-41B (280-177698-7), MW-37B (280-177698-8), MW-36B (280-177698-9), MW-32B (280-177698-10), MW-39B (280-177698-11), MW-40B (280-177698-12), MW-49B (280-177698-13), MW-14B (280-177698-14), MW-20B (280-177698-15), Dup-01 (280-177698-16), MW-38B (280-177698-17), MW-21B (280-177698-18), MW-38C (280-177698-19), and EB-01 (280-177698-20) were analyzed for Total Metals (ICP/MS) in accordance with SW846 6020B. The samples were prepared on 06/16/2023 and analyzed on 06/16/2023 and 06/19/2023.

The matrix spike / matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) recoveries for preparation batch 280-615723 and analytical batch 280-616529 were outside control limits for one or more analytes. See QC Sample Results for detail. Sample matrix interference and/or non-homogeneity are suspected because the associated laboratory control sample (LCS) recovery is within acceptance limits.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

TOTAL MERCURY

Case Narrative

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Job ID: 280-177698-2 (Continued)

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver (Continued)

Samples MW-42B (280-177698-1), MW-43B (280-177698-2), MW-47B (280-177698-3), MW-46B (280-177698-4), MW-45B (280-177698-5), MW-44B (280-177698-6), MW-41B (280-177698-7), MW-37B (280-177698-8), MW-36B (280-177698-9), MW-32B (280-177698-10), MW-39B (280-177698-11), MW-40B (280-177698-12), MW-49B (280-177698-13), MW-14B (280-177698-14), MW-20B (280-177698-15), Dup-01 (280-177698-16), MW-38B (280-177698-17), MW-21B (280-177698-18), MW-38C (280-177698-19), and EB-01 (280-177698-20) were analyzed for total mercury in accordance with EPA SW-846 Methods 7470A. The samples were prepared on 06/20/2023 and analyzed on 06/21/2023.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS

Samples MW-42B (280-177698-1), MW-43B (280-177698-2), MW-47B (280-177698-3), MW-46B (280-177698-4), MW-45B (280-177698-5), MW-44B (280-177698-6), MW-41B (280-177698-7), MW-37B (280-177698-8), MW-36B (280-177698-9), MW-32B (280-177698-10), MW-39B (280-177698-11), MW-40B (280-177698-12), MW-49B (280-177698-13), MW-14B (280-177698-14), MW-20B (280-177698-15), Dup-01 (280-177698-16), MW-38B (280-177698-17), MW-21B (280-177698-18), MW-38C (280-177698-19), and EB-01 (280-177698-20) were analyzed for total dissolved solids in accordance with SM20 2540C. The samples were analyzed on 06/14/2023 and 06/15/2023.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

ANIONS (28 DAYS)

Samples MW-42B (280-177698-1), MW-43B (280-177698-2), MW-47B (280-177698-3), MW-46B (280-177698-4), MW-45B (280-177698-5), MW-44B (280-177698-6), MW-41B (280-177698-7), MW-37B (280-177698-8), MW-36B (280-177698-9), MW-32B (280-177698-10), MW-39B (280-177698-11), MW-40B (280-177698-12), MW-49B (280-177698-13), MW-14B (280-177698-14), MW-20B (280-177698-15), Dup-01 (280-177698-16), MW-38B (280-177698-17), MW-21B (280-177698-18), MW-38C (280-177698-19), and EB-01 (280-177698-20) were analyzed for anions (28 days) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 9056A (28 Days). The samples were analyzed on 06/21/2023.

The concentration of chloride and sulfate in the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) parent sample is higher than the highest point of the calibration range. The recoveries for the MS/MSD are still within the recovery limits of 80-120%. 280-177698-18[MS] and 280-177698-18[MSD]

The concentration of the matrix spike reagent caused the results for the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) to be above the highest point of the calibration range for fluoride. The results for the MS/MSD are still within the standard control limits of 80-120%. 280-177698-18[MS] and 280-177698-18[MSD]

The matrix spike duplicate (MSD) recoveries for analytical batch 280-616840 were outside control limits for fluoride. See QC Sample Results for detail. Sample matrix interference and/or non-homogeneity are suspected because the associated laboratory control sample (LCS) recovery is within acceptance limits.

Samples MW-42B (280-177698-1)[10X], MW-43B (280-177698-2)[10X], MW-47B (280-177698-3)[5X], MW-46B (280-177698-4)[5X], MW-45B (280-177698-5)[5X], MW-44B (280-177698-6)[10X], MW-41B (280-177698-7)[10X], MW-37B (280-177698-8)[10X], MW-36B (280-177698-9)[5X], MW-32B (280-177698-10)[20X], MW-39B (280-177698-11)[10X], MW-40B (280-177698-12)[5X], MW-49B (280-177698-13)[5X], MW-14B (280-177698-14)[5X], MW-20B (280-177698-15)[10X], Dup-01 (280-177698-16)[10X], MW-38B (280-177698-17)[10X], MW-38B (280-177698-17)[100X], MW-21B (280-177698-18)[10X], and MW-38C (280-177698-19)[10X] required dilution prior to analysis. The reporting limits have been adjusted accordingly.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Client Sample ID: MW-42B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-1

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 664 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 119000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 38.1 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 19.5 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 33.5 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 18.6 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 76.4 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 514 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1230 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-2

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 305 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 147000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 35.9 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 41.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 11.9 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 76.4 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 520 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1170 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-3

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 160 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 139000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 30.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 81.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 4.88 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 6.20 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 31.0 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 292 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 777 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-4

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Calcium | 121000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 29.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 75.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 12.2 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 4.29 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 47.5 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 271 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 769 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-5

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|--------|-----------|
| Boron | 211 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 173000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 38.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Client Sample ID: MW-45B (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-5

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Barium | 44.9 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 7.30 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 8.65 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 49.2 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride | 0.718 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 366 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 928 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-6

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 113 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 190000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 38.1 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 50.1 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 4.22 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 7.43 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 5.33 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 60.0 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 409 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1100 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-7

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 954 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 124000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 38.8 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 19.9 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 6.01 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 116 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 5.92 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 74.0 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 613 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1340 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-8

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 179 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 229000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 41.4 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 63.3 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 66.2 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 10.4 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 97.9 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 531 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1280 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-9

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|---------|--------|-----------|-----|-----|------|---------|---|--------|-----------|
| Boron | 116 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Client Sample ID: MW-36B (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-9

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Calcium | 146000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 35.2 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 64.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 6.60 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 7.02 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 44.9 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride | 0.680 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 392 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 902 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-10

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 324 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 229000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 79.0 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 31.3 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 3.32 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 99.7 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 926 | | 100 | | mg/L | 20 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1880 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-11

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 192 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 202000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 58.8 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 30.8 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 3.57 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 47.3 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride | 0.545 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 584 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1290 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-12

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 187 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 146000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 56.0 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Arsenic | 5.28 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Barium | 30.5 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 6.60 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 31.4 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride | 0.901 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 323 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 875 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|---------|--------|-----------|-----|-----|------|---------|---|--------|-----------|
| Boron | 204 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Client Sample ID: MW-49B (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Calcium | 150000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 40.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 65.9 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 3.60 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 28.9 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride | 0.569 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 364 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 854 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-14B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-14

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 169 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 196000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 31.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 49.4 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 6.50 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 10.8 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 17.5 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 87.7 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 415 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1060 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-15

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 247 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 152000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 36.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 55.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 8.97 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 47.1 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride | 0.727 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 366 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 932 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-16

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 240 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 142000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 34.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 54.4 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 8.79 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 46.9 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride | 0.723 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 371 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 919 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-17

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|---------|--------|-----------|-----|-----|------|---------|---|--------|-----------|
| Boron | 3380 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Client Sample ID: MW-38B (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-17

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Calcium | 503000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 119 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 14.5 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 5.99 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 214 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 316 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 6690 | | 500 | | mg/L | 100 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 8700 | | 100 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 167 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 207000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 37.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 49.8 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 12.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 16.2 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 47.8 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 165 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride | 0.664 | F1 | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 542 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1370 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-38C

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-19

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Calcium | 106000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 23.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 47.8 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 5.99 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 5.93 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 29.5 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride | 0.639 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 211 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 642 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: EB-01

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-20

No Detections.

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Method Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

| Method | Method Description | Protocol | Laboratory |
|----------|-------------------------------|----------|------------|
| 6010D | Metals (ICP) | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 6020B | Metals (ICP/MS) | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 7470A | Mercury (CVAA) | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 9056A | Anions, Ion Chromatography | SW846 | EET DEN |
| SM 2540C | Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | SM | EET DEN |
| 3010A | Preparation, Total Metals | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 3020A | Preparation, Total Metals | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 7470A | Preparation, Mercury | SW846 | EET DEN |

Protocol References:

SM = "Standard Methods For The Examination Of Water And Wastewater"

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

EET DEN = Eurofins Denver, 4955 Yarrow Street, Arvada, CO 80002, TEL (303)736-0100

Sample Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Matrix | Collected | Received |
|---------------|------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | Water | 06/07/23 10:47 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | Water | 06/07/23 11:12 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | Water | 06/07/23 11:54 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | Water | 06/07/23 12:52 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | Water | 06/07/23 14:00 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | Water | 06/07/23 15:15 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | Water | 06/08/23 09:42 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Water | 06/08/23 10:32 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | Water | 06/08/23 11:12 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | Water | 06/08/23 12:42 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | Water | 06/08/23 13:32 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | Water | 06/08/23 14:22 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | Water | 06/08/23 17:32 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | Water | 06/08/23 18:12 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | Water | 06/09/23 08:24 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | Water | 06/09/23 00:00 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Water | 06/09/23 09:12 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Water | 06/09/23 09:47 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | Water | 06/09/23 10:30 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-20 | EB-01 | Water | 06/09/23 12:30 | 06/09/23 16:15 |



Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: SW846 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 10:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 664 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:04 | 1 |
| Calcium | 119000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:04 | 1 |
| Lithium | 38.1 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:04 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 305 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:09 | 1 |
| Calcium | 147000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:09 | 1 |
| Lithium | 35.9 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:09 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:54
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 160 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:13 | 1 |
| Calcium | 139000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:13 | 1 |
| Lithium | 30.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:13 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 12:52
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | ND | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:17 | 1 |
| Calcium | 121000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:17 | 1 |
| Lithium | 29.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:17 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 14:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 211 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:21 | 1 |
| Calcium | 173000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:21 | 1 |
| Lithium | 38.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:21 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 15:15
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 113 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:25 | 1 |
| Calcium | 190000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:25 | 1 |
| Lithium | 38.1 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:25 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 09:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 954 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:29 | 1 |
| Calcium | 124000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:29 | 1 |
| Lithium | 38.8 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:29 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: SW846 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 10:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 179 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:33 | 1 |
| Calcium | 229000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:33 | 1 |
| Lithium | 41.4 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:33 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 116 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:53 | 1 |
| Calcium | 146000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:53 | 1 |
| Lithium | 35.2 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:53 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 12:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 324 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:57 | 1 |
| Calcium | 229000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:57 | 1 |
| Lithium | 79.0 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 13:57 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 13:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 192 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:01 | 1 |
| Calcium | 202000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:01 | 1 |
| Lithium | 58.8 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:01 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 14:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 187 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:05 | 1 |
| Calcium | 146000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:05 | 1 |
| Lithium | 56.0 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 17:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 204 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:09 | 1 |
| Calcium | 150000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:09 | 1 |
| Lithium | 40.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:09 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 18:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 169 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:13 | 1 |
| Calcium | 196000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:13 | 1 |
| Lithium | 31.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:13 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: SW846 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 08:24
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 247 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:17 | 1 |
| Calcium | 152000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:17 | 1 |
| Lithium | 36.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:17 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 06/09/23 00:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 240 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:21 | 1 |
| Calcium | 142000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:21 | 1 |
| Lithium | 34.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 14:21 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 3380 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 16:06 | 1 |
| Calcium | 503000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 16:06 | 1 |
| Lithium | 119 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 16:06 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 167 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 16:10 | 1 |
| Calcium | 207000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 16:10 | 1 |
| Lithium | 37.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 16:10 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 06/09/23 10:30
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | ND | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 16:26 | 1 |
| Calcium | 106000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 16:26 | 1 |
| Lithium | 23.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 16:26 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: EB-01
Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:30
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | ND | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 16:30 | 1 |
| Calcium | ND | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 16:30 | 1 |
| Lithium | ND | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 16:30 | 1 |

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS)

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 10:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:36 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 10:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Barium | 19.5 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:36 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:39 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:36 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:36 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:36 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 33.5 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:36 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:36 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:36 | 1 |
| Selenium | 18.6 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:36 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:36 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:38 | 1 |
| Barium | 41.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:38 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:41 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:38 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:38 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:38 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 11.9 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:38 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:38 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:38 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:38 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:38 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:54
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:40 | 1 |
| Barium | 81.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:40 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:43 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:40 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:40 | 1 |
| Chromium | 4.88 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:40 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 6.20 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:40 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:40 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:40 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:40 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:40 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 12:52
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:42 | 1 |
| Barium | 75.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:42 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:45 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 12:52
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:42 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:42 | 1 |
| Chromium | 12.2 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:42 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 4.29 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:42 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:42 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:42 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:42 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:42 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 14:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:44 | 1 |
| Barium | 44.9 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:44 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:47 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:44 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:44 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:44 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 7.30 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:44 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:44 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:44 | 1 |
| Selenium | 8.65 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:44 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:44 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 15:15
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:46 | 1 |
| Barium | 50.1 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:46 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:48 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:46 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:46 | 1 |
| Chromium | 4.22 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:46 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 7.43 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:46 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:46 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:46 | 1 |
| Selenium | 5.33 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:46 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:46 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 09:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:47 | 1 |
| Barium | 19.9 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:47 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:50 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:47 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:47 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 09:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Chromium | 6.01 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:47 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 116 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:47 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:47 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:47 | 1 |
| Selenium | 5.92 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:47 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:47 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 10:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:49 | 1 |
| Barium | 63.3 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:49 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:52 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:49 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:49 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:49 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 66.2 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:49 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:49 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:49 | 1 |
| Selenium | 10.4 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:49 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:49 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:55 | 1 |
| Barium | 64.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:55 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:58 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:55 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:55 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:55 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 6.60 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:55 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:55 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:55 | 1 |
| Selenium | 7.02 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:55 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:55 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 12:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:56 | 1 |
| Barium | 31.3 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:56 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:59 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:56 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:56 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:56 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 3.32 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:56 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 12:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:56 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:56 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:56 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:56 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 13:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:58 | 1 |
| Barium | 30.8 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:58 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 20:01 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:58 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:58 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:58 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 3.57 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:58 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:58 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:58 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:58 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:58 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 14:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | 5.28 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:00 | 1 |
| Barium | 30.5 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:00 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 20:03 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:00 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:00 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:00 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 6.60 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:00 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:00 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:00 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:00 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:00 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 17:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:02 | 1 |
| Barium | 65.9 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:02 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 20:05 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:02 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:02 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:02 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 3.60 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:02 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:02 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:02 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 17:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:02 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:02 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 18:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:04 | 1 |
| Barium | 49.4 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:04 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 20:07 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:04 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:04 | 1 |
| Chromium | 6.50 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:04 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 10.8 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:04 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:04 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:04 | 1 |
| Selenium | 17.5 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:04 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:04 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 08:24
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:05 | 1 |
| Barium | 55.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:05 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 20:09 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:05 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:05 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:05 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 8.97 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:05 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:05 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:05 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:05 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 06/09/23 00:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:07 | 1 |
| Barium | 54.4 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:07 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 20:11 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:07 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:07 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:07 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 8.79 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:07 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:07 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:07 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:07 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:07 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS)

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:09 | 1 |
| Barium | 14.5 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:09 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 20:13 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:09 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:09 | 1 |
| Chromium | 5.99 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:09 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 214 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:09 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:09 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:09 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:09 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:09 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:14 | 1 |
| Barium | 49.8 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:14 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 20:20 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:14 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | F1 | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:14 | 1 |
| Chromium | 12.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:14 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 16.2 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:14 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:14 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:14 | 1 |
| Selenium | 47.8 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:14 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:14 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 06/09/23 10:30
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:23 | 1 |
| Barium | 47.8 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:23 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 20:29 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:23 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:23 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:23 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 5.99 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:23 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:23 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:23 | 1 |
| Selenium | 5.93 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:23 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:23 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: EB-01
Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:30
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:25 | 1 |
| Barium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:25 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: EB-01
Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:30
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 20:31 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:25 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:25 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:25 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:25 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:25 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:25 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:25 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 23:25 | 1 |

Method: SW846 7470A - Mercury (CVAA)

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 10:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 16:11 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 16:14 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:54
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 16:16 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 12:52
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 16:19 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 14:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 16:21 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 15:15
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 16:24 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: SW846 7470A - Mercury (CVAA)

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 09:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 16:26 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 10:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 16:29 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 16:32 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 12:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 14:24 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 13:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 14:26 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 14:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 14:30 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 17:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 14:32 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 18:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 14:35 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 08:24
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 14:37 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: SW846 7470A - Mercury (CVAA)

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 06/09/23 00:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 14:40 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 14:42 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 14:50 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 06/09/23 10:30
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 14:58 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: EB-01
Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:30
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 15:00 | 1 |

General Chemistry

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 10:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 76.4 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 03:38 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 03:38 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 514 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 03:50 | 10 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1230 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/14/23 12:30 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 76.4 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:02 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:02 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 520 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:14 | 10 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1170 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/14/23 12:30 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

General Chemistry

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:54
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 31.0 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:26 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:26 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 292 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:38 | 5 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 777 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/14/23 12:30 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 12:52
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 47.5 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 05:26 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 05:26 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 271 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 05:38 | 5 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 769 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/14/23 12:30 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 14:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 49.2 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 05:50 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.718 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 05:50 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 366 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 06:02 | 5 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 928 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/14/23 12:30 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 06/07/23 15:15
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 60.0 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 06:14 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 06:14 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 409 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 06:26 | 10 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1100 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/14/23 12:30 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 09:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 74.0 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 06:38 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 06:38 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 613 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 06:50 | 10 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1340 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:00 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 10:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 97.9 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 01:25 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 01:03 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

General Chemistry (Continued)

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 10:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 531 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 01:15 | 10 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1280 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:00 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 11:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 44.9 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 01:36 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.680 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 01:36 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 392 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:06 | 5 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 902 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:00 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 12:42
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 99.7 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 01:47 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 01:47 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 926 | | 100 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:40 | 20 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1880 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:00 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 13:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 47.3 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 02:41 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.545 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 02:41 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 584 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 05:14 | 10 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1290 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:00 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 14:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 31.4 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 02:52 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.901 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 02:52 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 323 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 06:22 | 5 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 875 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:00 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 17:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 28.9 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 03:03 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.569 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 03:03 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 364 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 06:56 | 5 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

General Chemistry (Continued)

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 17:32
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 854 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:00 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14B
Date Collected: 06/08/23 18:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 87.7 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 03:35 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 03:35 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 415 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 07:13 | 5 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1060 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:01 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 08:24
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 47.1 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 03:46 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.727 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 03:46 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 366 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 19:46 | 10 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 932 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:01 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 06/09/23 00:00
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 46.9 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 03:57 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.723 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 03:57 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 371 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 19:57 | 10 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 919 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:01 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:12
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 316 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 09:46 | 10 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:08 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 6690 | | 500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 10:03 | 100 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 8700 | | 100 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:01 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:47
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 165 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 07:47 | 10 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.664 | F1 | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 01:57 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 542 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 07:47 | 10 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1370 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:01 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

General Chemistry

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 06/09/23 10:30
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 29.5 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:19 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.639 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:19 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 211 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 20:08 | 10 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 642 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:01 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: EB-01
Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:30
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:30 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:30 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 5.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 04:30 | 1 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | ND | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:01 | 1 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-615723/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616684

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615723

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | ND | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 12:56 | 1 |
| Calcium | ND | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 12:56 | 1 |
| Lithium | ND | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 12:56 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-615723/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616684

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615723

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|---------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Boron | 2000 | 2134 | | ug/L | | 107 | 86 - 110 |
| Calcium | 50000 | 52720 | | ug/L | | 105 | 90 - 111 |
| Lithium | 1000 | 1009 | | ug/L | | 101 | 90 - 112 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616684

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615723

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|---------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Boron | 167 | | 2000 | 2317 | | ug/L | | 108 | 87 - 113 |
| Calcium | 207000 | | 50000 | 258800 | 4 | ug/L | | 104 | 75 - 125 |
| Lithium | 37.3 | | 1000 | 1035 | | ug/L | | 100 | 89 - 114 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616684

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615723

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MSD Result | MSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|---------|---------------|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Boron | 167 | | 2000 | 2322 | | ug/L | | 108 | 87 - 113 | 0 | 20 |
| Calcium | 207000 | | 50000 | 263500 | 4 | ug/L | | 114 | 75 - 125 | 2 | 20 |
| Lithium | 37.3 | | 1000 | 1028 | | ug/L | | 99 | 89 - 114 | 1 | 20 |

Method: 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-615723/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616529

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615723

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:33 | 1 |
| Barium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:33 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:33 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:33 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:33 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:33 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:33 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:33 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:33 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 22:33 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-615723/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616716

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615723

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-----------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:36 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-615723/25-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616529

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615723

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Arsenic | 40.0 | 40.45 | | ug/L | | 101 | 85 - 117 |
| Barium | 40.0 | 41.07 | | ug/L | | 103 | 85 - 118 |
| Cadmium | 40.0 | 41.01 | | ug/L | | 103 | 85 - 115 |
| Cobalt | 40.0 | 41.30 | | ug/L | | 103 | 85 - 120 |
| Chromium | 40.0 | 40.84 | | ug/L | | 102 | 84 - 121 |
| Molybdenum | 40.0 | 41.73 | | ug/L | | 104 | 85 - 119 |
| Lead | 40.0 | 40.61 | | ug/L | | 102 | 85 - 118 |
| Antimony | 40.0 | 43.25 | | ug/L | | 108 | 85 - 115 |
| Selenium | 40.0 | 39.77 | | ug/L | | 99 | 77 - 122 |
| Thallium | 40.0 | 40.56 | | ug/L | | 101 | 85 - 118 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-615723/25-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616716

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615723

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|-----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Beryllium | 40.0 | 43.18 | | ug/L | | 108 | 80 - 125 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616529

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615723

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 40.0 | 41.87 | | ug/L | | 99 | 92 - 112 |
| Barium | 49.8 | | 40.0 | 90.88 | | ug/L | | 103 | 92 - 117 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 40.0 | 39.39 | | ug/L | | 98 | 91 - 114 |
| Cobalt | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 37.13 | F1 | ug/L | | 93 | 94 - 115 |
| Chromium | 12.6 | | 40.0 | 49.09 | | ug/L | | 91 | 91 - 114 |
| Molybdenum | 16.2 | | 40.0 | 56.69 | | ug/L | | 101 | 84 - 117 |
| Lead | ND | | 40.0 | 40.00 | | ug/L | | 100 | 95 - 116 |
| Antimony | ND | | 40.0 | 42.48 | | ug/L | | 106 | 80 - 111 |
| Selenium | 47.8 | | 40.0 | 91.39 | | ug/L | | 109 | 90 - 115 |
| Thallium | ND | | 40.0 | 40.02 | | ug/L | | 100 | 94 - 115 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616716

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615723

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|-----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Beryllium | ND | | 40.0 | 43.20 | | ug/L | | 108 | 87 - 118 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616529

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615723

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | Spike | MSD | MSD | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec | RPD | Limit |
|------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|------|---|------|----------|-----|-------|
| | Result | Qualifier | Added | Result | Qualifier | | | | Limits | | |
| Arsenic | ND | | 40.0 | 43.75 | | ug/L | | 103 | 92 - 112 | 4 | 20 |
| Barium | 49.8 | | 40.0 | 93.14 | | ug/L | | 108 | 92 - 117 | 2 | 20 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 40.0 | 40.07 | | ug/L | | 100 | 91 - 114 | 2 | 20 |
| Cobalt | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 37.76 | | ug/L | | 94 | 94 - 115 | 2 | 20 |
| Chromium | 12.6 | | 40.0 | 50.62 | | ug/L | | 95 | 91 - 114 | 3 | 20 |
| Molybdenum | 16.2 | | 40.0 | 58.05 | | ug/L | | 105 | 84 - 117 | 2 | 20 |
| Lead | ND | | 40.0 | 40.44 | | ug/L | | 101 | 95 - 116 | 1 | 20 |
| Antimony | ND | | 40.0 | 43.18 | | ug/L | | 108 | 80 - 111 | 2 | 20 |
| Selenium | 47.8 | | 40.0 | 92.94 | | ug/L | | 113 | 90 - 115 | 2 | 20 |
| Thallium | ND | | 40.0 | 40.11 | | ug/L | | 100 | 94 - 115 | 0 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616716

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615723

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | Spike | MSD | MSD | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec | RPD | Limit |
|-----------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|------|---|------|----------|-----|-------|
| | Result | Qualifier | Added | Result | Qualifier | | | | Limits | | |
| Beryllium | ND | | 40.0 | 39.17 | | ug/L | | 98 | 87 - 118 | 10 | 20 |

Method: 7470A - Mercury (CVAA)

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-616801/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617082

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616801

| Analyte | MB | MB | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | Result | Qualifier | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 14:19 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-616801/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617082

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616801

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec |
|---------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|----------|
| | | | | | | | Limits |
| Mercury | 0.00500 | 0.004776 | | mg/L | | 96 | 84 - 120 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617082

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616801

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | Spike | MS | MS | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec |
|---------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|------|---|------|----------|
| | Result | Qualifier | Added | Result | Qualifier | | | | Limits |
| Mercury | ND | | 0.00500 | 0.004864 | | mg/L | | 97 | 75 - 125 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617082

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616801

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | Spike | MSD | MSD | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec | RPD | Limit |
|---------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|------|---|------|----------|-----|-------|
| | Result | Qualifier | Added | Result | Qualifier | | | | Limits | | |
| Mercury | ND | | 0.00500 | 0.004866 | | mg/L | | 97 | 75 - 125 | 0 | 20 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: 7470A - Mercury (CVAA) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-616804/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617082

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616804

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|-----------|--------------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 15:41 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-616804/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617082

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616804

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|---------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Mercury | 0.00500 | 0.004769 | | mg/L | | 95 | 84 - 120 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-616804/3-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617082

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616804

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|---------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Mercury | 0.00500 | 0.004844 | | mg/L | | 97 | 84 - 120 | 2 | 15 |

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-616789/43
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616789

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------|-----------|--------------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride | ND | ^ | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/20/23 22:04 | 1 |
| Fluoride | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/20/23 22:04 | 1 |
| Sulfate | ND | | 5.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/20/23 22:04 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-616789/39
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616789

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 50.0 | 46.00 | | mg/L | | 92 | 90 - 110 |
| Fluoride | 2.50 | 2.489 | | mg/L | | 100 | 90 - 110 |
| Sulfate | 50.0 | 47.77 | | mg/L | | 96 | 90 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-616789/40
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616789

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 50.0 | 46.40 | | mg/L | | 93 | 90 - 110 | 1 | 10 |
| Fluoride | 2.50 | 2.513 | | mg/L | | 101 | 90 - 110 | 1 | 10 |
| Sulfate | 50.0 | 48.12 | | mg/L | | 96 | 90 - 110 | 1 | 10 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MRL 280-616789/3
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616789

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | MRL Result | MRL Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 2.50 | 2.119 | J | mg/L | | 85 | 50 - 150 |
| Fluoride | 0.250 | 0.2007 | J | mg/L | | 80 | 50 - 150 |
| Sulfate | 2.50 | 2.215 | J | mg/L | | 89 | 50 - 150 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-616806/39
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616806

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride | ND | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 01:33 | 1 |
| Sulfate | ND | | 5.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 01:33 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-616806/37
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616806

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 50.0 | 52.03 | | mg/L | | 104 | 90 - 110 |
| Sulfate | 50.0 | 48.77 | | mg/L | | 98 | 90 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-616806/38
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616806

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 50.0 | 51.99 | | mg/L | | 104 | 90 - 110 | 0 | 10 |
| Sulfate | 50.0 | 48.86 | | mg/L | | 98 | 90 - 110 | 0 | 10 |

Lab Sample ID: MRL 280-616806/3
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616806

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | MRL Result | MRL Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 2.50 | 2.388 | J | mg/L | | 96 | 50 - 150 |
| Sulfate | 2.50 | 1.536 | J | mg/L | | 61 | 50 - 150 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616806

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 165 | | 500 | 677.0 | | mg/L | | 102 | 80 - 120 |
| Sulfate | 542 | | 500 | 1080 | E | mg/L | | 108 | 80 - 120 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616806

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MSD Result | MSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 165 | | 500 | 697.1 | | mg/L | | 106 | 80 - 120 | 3 | 20 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616806

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MSD Result | MSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|---------|---------------|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Sulfate | 542 | | 500 | 1107 | E | mg/L | | 113 | 80 - 120 | 2 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616806

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | DU Result | DU Qualifier | Unit | D | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 165 | | 161.5 | | mg/L | | 2 | 15 |
| Sulfate | 542 | | 528.3 | | mg/L | | 3 | 15 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-616840/6
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616840

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------|-----------|--------------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride | ND | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/20/23 23:04 | 1 |
| Fluoride | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/20/23 23:04 | 1 |
| Sulfate | ND | | 5.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/20/23 23:04 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-616840/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616840

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 50.0 | 49.53 | | mg/L | | 99 | 90 - 110 |
| Fluoride | 2.50 | 2.458 | | mg/L | | 98 | 90 - 110 |
| Sulfate | 50.0 | 49.20 | | mg/L | | 98 | 90 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-616840/5
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616840

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 50.0 | 49.31 | | mg/L | | 99 | 90 - 110 | 0 | 10 |
| Fluoride | 2.50 | 2.453 | | mg/L | | 98 | 90 - 110 | 0 | 10 |
| Sulfate | 50.0 | 49.05 | | mg/L | | 98 | 90 - 110 | 0 | 10 |

Lab Sample ID: MRL 280-616840/3
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616840

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | MRL Result | MRL Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 2.50 | 2.458 | J | mg/L | | 98 | 50 - 150 |
| Fluoride | 0.250 | 0.2548 | J | mg/L | | 102 | 50 - 150 |
| Sulfate | 2.50 | 2.356 | J | mg/L | | 94 | 50 - 150 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616840

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Fluoride | 0.664 | F1 | 5.00 | 5.305 | E | mg/L | | 93 | 80 - 120 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616840

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MSD Result | MSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Fluoride | 0.664 | F1 | 5.00 | 4.592 | F1 | mg/L | | 79 | 80 - 120 | 14 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616840

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | DU Result | DU Qualifier | Unit | D | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|-----|-----------|
| Fluoride | 0.664 | F1 | 0.6630 | | mg/L | | 0.1 | 15 |

Method: SM 2540C - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-616111/1
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616111

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | ND | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/14/23 12:30 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-616111/2
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616111

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 501 | 498.0 | | mg/L | | 99 | 88 - 114 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-616111/3
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616111

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 501 | 498.0 | | mg/L | | 99 | 88 - 114 | 0 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-616282/1
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616282

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | ND | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:00 | 1 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Method: SM 2540C - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-616282/2
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616282

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 501 | 510.0 | | mg/L | | 102 | 88 - 114 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-616282/3
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616282

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 501 | 509.0 | | mg/L | | 102 | 88 - 114 | 0 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616282

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | DU Result | DU Qualifier | Unit | D | RPD | RPD Limit |
|------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|-----|-----------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 854 | | 861.0 | | mg/L | | 0.8 | 10 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-616283/1
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616283

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | ND | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:01 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-616283/2
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616283

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 501 | 520.0 | | mg/L | | 104 | 88 - 114 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-14 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616283

Client Sample ID: MW-14B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | DU Result | DU Qualifier | Unit | D | RPD | RPD Limit |
|------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|-----|-----------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1060 | | 1068 | | mg/L | | 0.8 | 10 |

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Metals

Prep Batch: 615723

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 3010A | |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-20 | EB-01 | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| MB 280-615723/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| LCS 280-615723/25-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| LCS 280-615723/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-18 MS | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 3010A | |
| 280-177698-18 MS | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-18 MSD | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 3010A | |
| 280-177698-18 MSD | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |

Analysis Batch: 616529

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-20 | EB-01 | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Metals (Continued)

Analysis Batch: 616529 (Continued)

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| MB 280-615723/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| LCS 280-615723/25-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-18 MS | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-18 MSD | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |

Analysis Batch: 616684

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-20 | EB-01 | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| MB 280-615723/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| LCS 280-615723/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-18 MS | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |
| 280-177698-18 MSD | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615723 |

Analysis Batch: 616716

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Metals (Continued)

Analysis Batch: 616716 (Continued)

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-20 | EB-01 | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| MB 280-615723/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| LCS 280-615723/25-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-18 MS | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |
| 280-177698-18 MSD | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615723 |

Prep Batch: 616801

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-20 | EB-01 | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| MB 280-616801/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| LCS 280-616801/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-18 MS | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-18 MSD | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |

Prep Batch: 616804

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| MB 280-616804/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| LCS 280-616804/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| LCSD 280-616804/3-A | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |

Analysis Batch: 617082

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616804 |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616804 |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616804 |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616804 |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616804 |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616804 |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616804 |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616804 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Metals (Continued)

Analysis Batch: 617082 (Continued)

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616804 |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| 280-177698-20 | EB-01 | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| MB 280-616801/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| MB 280-616804/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616804 |
| LCS 280-616801/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| LCS 280-616804/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616804 |
| LCSD 280-616804/3-A | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616804 |
| 280-177698-18 MS | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| 280-177698-18 MSD | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |

General Chemistry

Analysis Batch: 616111

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|----------|------------|
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| MB 280-616111/1 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| LCS 280-616111/2 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| LCSD 280-616111/3 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |

Analysis Batch: 616282

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|----------|------------|
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| MB 280-616282/1 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| LCS 280-616282/2 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| LCSD 280-616282/3 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-13 DU | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |

Analysis Batch: 616283

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|----------|------------|
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |

Eurofins Denver

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

General Chemistry (Continued)

Analysis Batch: 616283 (Continued)

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|----------|------------|
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-20 | EB-01 | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| MB 280-616283/1 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| LCS 280-616283/2 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-14 DU | MW-14B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |

Analysis Batch: 616789

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-1 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-3 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-4 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-5 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-6 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-7 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MB 280-616789/43 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCS 280-616789/39 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCSD 280-616789/40 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MRL 280-616789/3 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |

Analysis Batch: 616806

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MB 280-616806/39 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCS 280-616806/37 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCSD 280-616806/38 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MRL 280-616806/3 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-18 MS | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-18 MSD | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-18 DU | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

General Chemistry

Analysis Batch: 616840

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-8 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-9 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-10 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-11 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-12 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-13 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-14 | MW-14B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-17 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-18 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-20 | EB-01 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MB 280-616840/6 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCS 280-616840/4 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCSD 280-616840/5 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MRL 280-616840/3 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-18 MS | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-18 MSD | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-18 DU | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |

Analysis Batch: 616928

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-15 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-16 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-19 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Client Sample ID: MW-42B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-1

Date Collected: 06/07/23 10:47

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 13:04 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 22:36 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 19:39 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616804 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 16:11 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 03:38 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 10 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 03:50 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 616111 | 06/14/23 12:30 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-2

Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:12

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 13:09 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 22:38 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 19:41 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616804 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 16:14 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 04:02 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 10 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 04:14 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 616111 | 06/14/23 12:30 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-3

Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:54

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 13:13 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 22:40 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 19:43 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616804 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 16:16 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 04:26 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 5 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 04:38 | MEC | EET DEN |

Eurofins Denver

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Client Sample ID: MW-47B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-3

Date Collected: 06/07/23 11:54

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 616111 | 06/14/23 12:30 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-4

Date Collected: 06/07/23 12:52

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 13:17 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 22:42 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 19:45 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616804 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 16:19 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 05:26 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 5 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 05:38 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 616111 | 06/14/23 12:30 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-5

Date Collected: 06/07/23 14:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 13:21 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 22:44 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 19:47 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616804 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 16:21 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 05:50 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 5 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 06:02 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 616111 | 06/14/23 12:30 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-6

Date Collected: 06/07/23 15:15

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 13:25 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 22:46 | LMT | EET DEN |

Eurofins Denver

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Client Sample ID: MW-44B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-6

Date Collected: 06/07/23 15:15

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 19:48 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616804 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 16:24 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 06:14 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 10 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 06:26 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 616111 | 06/14/23 12:30 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-7

Date Collected: 06/08/23 09:42

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 13:29 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 22:47 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 19:50 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616804 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 16:26 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 06:38 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 10 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 06:50 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 616282 | 06/15/23 13:00 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-8

Date Collected: 06/08/23 10:32

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 13:33 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 22:49 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 19:52 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616804 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 16:29 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 01:03 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 10 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 616789 | 06/21/23 01:15 | MEC | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 01:25 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 616282 | 06/15/23 13:00 | CAI | EET DEN |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Client Sample ID: MW-36B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-9

Date Collected: 06/08/23 11:12

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 13:53 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 22:55 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 19:58 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616804 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 16:32 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 5 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616806 | 06/21/23 04:06 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 01:36 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 616282 | 06/15/23 13:00 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-10

Date Collected: 06/08/23 12:42

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 13:57 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 22:56 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 19:59 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616801 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 14:24 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 20 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616806 | 06/21/23 04:40 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 01:47 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 616282 | 06/15/23 13:00 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-11

Date Collected: 06/08/23 13:32

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 14:01 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 22:58 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 20:01 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616801 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 14:26 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616806 | 06/21/23 05:14 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 02:41 | EJS | EET DEN |

Eurofins Denver

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Client Sample ID: MW-39B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-11

Date Collected: 06/08/23 13:32

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 616282 | 06/15/23 13:00 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-12

Date Collected: 06/08/23 14:22

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 14:05 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 23:00 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 20:03 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616801 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 14:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 5 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616806 | 06/21/23 06:22 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 02:52 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 616282 | 06/15/23 13:00 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-13

Date Collected: 06/08/23 17:32

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 14:09 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 23:02 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 20:05 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616801 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 14:32 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 5 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616806 | 06/21/23 06:56 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 03:03 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 616282 | 06/15/23 13:00 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-14B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-14

Date Collected: 06/08/23 18:12

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 14:13 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 23:04 | LMT | EET DEN |

Eurofins Denver

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Client Sample ID: MW-14B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-14

Date Collected: 06/08/23 18:12

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 20:07 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616801 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 5 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616806 | 06/21/23 07:13 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 03:35 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 616283 | 06/15/23 13:01 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-15

Date Collected: 06/09/23 08:24

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 14:17 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 23:05 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 20:09 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616801 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 14:37 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 03:46 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616928 | 06/21/23 19:46 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 616283 | 06/15/23 13:01 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-16

Date Collected: 06/09/23 00:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 14:21 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 23:07 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 20:11 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616801 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 14:40 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 03:57 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616928 | 06/21/23 19:57 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 616283 | 06/15/23 13:01 | CAI | EET DEN |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Client Sample ID: MW-38B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-17

Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:12

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 16:06 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 23:09 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 20:13 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616801 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 14:42 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616806 | 06/21/23 09:46 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 100 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616806 | 06/21/23 10:03 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 04:08 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 10 mL | 100 mL | 616283 | 06/15/23 13:01 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-18

Date Collected: 06/09/23 09:47

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3010A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 16:10 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 23:14 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 20:20 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616801 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 14:50 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616806 | 06/21/23 07:47 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 01:57 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 616283 | 06/15/23 13:01 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-38C

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-19

Date Collected: 06/09/23 10:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 16:26 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 23:23 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 20:29 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616801 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 14:58 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 04:19 | EJS | EET DEN |

Eurofins Denver

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Client Sample ID: MW-38C

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-19

Date Collected: 06/09/23 10:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616928 | 06/21/23 20:08 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 616283 | 06/15/23 13:01 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: EB-01

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-20

Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616684 | 06/19/23 16:30 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 23:25 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615723 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616716 | 06/19/23 20:31 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616801 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 15:00 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 04:30 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 616283 | 06/15/23 13:01 | CAI | EET DEN |

Laboratory References:

EET DEN = Eurofins Denver, 4955 Yarrow Street, Arvada, CO 80002, TEL (303)736-0100

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-2

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver

The accreditations/certifications listed below are applicable to this report.

| Authority | Program | Identification Number | Expiration Date |
|-----------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Oregon | NELAP | 4025-011 | 01-10-24 |

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

Chain of Custody Record



Environmental Testing
 Division

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Client Information | | Lab P/M: McEntee, Patrick J | | Carrier Tracking No(s): | | COC No: 280-120021-33729.1 | |
| Client Contact: Ms. Katie Abbott | | E-Mail: Patrick.McEntee@det.eurofins.com | | State of Origin: CO | | Page: Page 1 of 5 | |
| Company: AECOM Technical Services Inc. | | PWSID: | | Job #: | | | |
| Address: 7595 Technology Way | | Due Date Requested: | | Analysis Requested | | Preservation Codes: | |
| City: Denver | | TAT Requested (days): | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | M - Hexane N - None O - AsNaO2 P - Na2OAS Q - NaZSO3 R - NaZSO3 S - H2SO4 T - TSP Dodecahydrate U - Acetone V - MCAA W - pH 4.5 Y - Trizma Z - other (specify) | |
| State, Zip: CO, 80237 | | Compliance Project: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | A - HCL B - NaOH C - Zn Acetate D - Nitric Acid E - NaHSO4 F - MeOH G - Anchlor H - Ascorbic Acid I - Ice J - DI Water K - EDTA L - EDA Other: | |
| Phone: | | PO #: 136447 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| Email: katie.abbott@aecom.com | | WO #: AECOM Project# 60632474 | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| Project Name: CCR - Basin Electric 2022 | | Project #: 28020759 | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| Site: | | SSOW#: | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| Sample Identification | | Sample Date | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | Total Number of containers | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 60C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9320_Ra228 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9315_Ra226 | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | _____ | |
| _____ | | _____ | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | _____ | |
| _____ | | | | | | | |

Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Job Number: 280-177698-2

Login Number: 177698

List Number: 1

Creator: Naylis, Patrick J

List Source: Eurofins Denver

| Question | Answer | Comment |
|---|--------|---------|
| Radioactivity wasn't checked or is \leq background as measured by a survey meter. | True | |
| The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact. | True | |
| Sample custody seals, if present, are intact. | True | |
| The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with. | True | |
| Samples were received on ice. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is acceptable. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is recorded. | True | |
| COC is present. | True | |
| COC is filled out in ink and legible. | True | |
| COC is filled out with all pertinent information. | True | |
| Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC? | True | |
| There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC. | True | |
| Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs) | True | |
| Sample containers have legible labels. | True | |
| Containers are not broken or leaking. | True | |
| Sample collection date/times are provided. | True | |
| Appropriate sample containers are used. | True | |
| Sample bottles are completely filled. | True | |
| Sample Preservation Verified. | N/A | |
| There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs | True | |
| Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <math><6\text{mm}</math> (1/4"). | N/A | |
| Multiphasic samples are not present. | True | |
| Samples do not require splitting or compositing. | True | |
| Residual Chlorine Checked. | N/A | |



 **ANALYTICAL REPORT****PREPARED FOR**

Attn: Ms. Katie Abbott
AECOM Technical Services Inc.
6200 S. Quebec Street
Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111

Generated 7/14/2023 3:38:20 PM

JOB DESCRIPTION

Basin 2023 Support

JOB NUMBER

280-177698-3


Eurofins Denver

Job Notes

This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. The results relate only to the samples tested. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

The test results in this report relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory and will meet all requirements of the methodology, with any exceptions noted. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the express written approval of the laboratory. All questions should be directed to the Eurofins TestAmerica Project Manager.

Authorization



Generated
7/14/2023 3:38:20 PM

Authorized for release by
Patrick McEntee, Client Service Manager
Patrick.McEntee@et.eurofinsus.com
(303)736-0107



Table of Contents

| | |
|----------------------------------|----|
| Cover Page | 1 |
| Table of Contents | 3 |
| Definitions | 4 |
| Case Narrative | 5 |
| Detection Summary | 7 |
| Method Summary | 8 |
| Sample Summary | 9 |
| Client Sample Results | 10 |
| QC Sample Results | 12 |
| QC Association | 14 |
| Chronicle | 15 |
| Certification Summary | 16 |
| Chain of Custody | 17 |
| Receipt Checklists | 23 |
| Tracer Carrier Summary | 25 |

Definitions/Glossary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

Qualifiers

Rad

| Qualifier | Qualifier Description |
|-----------|---|
| U | Result is less than the sample detection limit. |

Glossary

| Abbreviation | These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. |
|----------------|---|
| α | Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis |
| %R | Percent Recovery |
| CFL | Contains Free Liquid |
| CFU | Colony Forming Unit |
| CNF | Contains No Free Liquid |
| DER | Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) |
| Dil Fac | Dilution Factor |
| DL | Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) |
| DL, RA, RE, IN | Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample |
| DLC | Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| EDL | Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) |
| LOD | Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) |
| LOQ | Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE) |
| MCL | EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level" |
| MDA | Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) |
| MDC | Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| MDL | Method Detection Limit |
| ML | Minimum Level (Dioxin) |
| MPN | Most Probable Number |
| MQL | Method Quantitation Limit |
| NC | Not Calculated |
| ND | Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) |
| NEG | Negative / Absent |
| POS | Positive / Present |
| PQL | Practical Quantitation Limit |
| PRES | Presumptive |
| QC | Quality Control |
| RER | Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry) |
| RL | Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points |
| TEF | Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) |
| TEQ | Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin) |
| TNTC | Too Numerous To Count |

Case Narrative

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

Job ID: 280-177698-3

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Project: Basin 2023 Support

Report Number: 280-177698-3

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 06/09/2023 4:15PM. Unless otherwise noted below, the samples arrived in good condition, and where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperatures of the 5 coolers at receipt was 0.1°C, 0.5°C, 1.0°C, 1.1°C and 1.2°C.

Receipt Exceptions

Per client request the radiochemical results for RW-1 (280-177698-21) and RW-2 (280-177698-22) are reported here. The chemical results are reported under cover 280-177698-4. All other samples on the Chain of Custody (COC) are reported under cover 280-177698-1 (radiochemical) and 280-177698-2 (chemical).

RADIUM-226 (GFPC)

Samples RW-1 (280-177698-21) and RW-2 (280-177698-22) were analyzed for Radium-226 (GFPC) in accordance with SW 846 9315. The samples were prepared on 06/16/2023 and analyzed on 07/12/2023.

Radium-226 prep batch 160-616377: Any minimum detectable concentration (MDC), critical value (DLC), or Safe Drinking Water Act detection limit (SDWA DL) is sample-specific unless otherwise stated elsewhere in this narrative. Radiochemistry sample results are reported with the count date/time applied as the Activity Reference Date. 280-177698-21, LCS 160-616377/2-A, MB 160-616377/1-A, 280-177698-A-18-A, 280-177698-A-18-B MS and 280-177698-A-18-C MSD

Radium-226 batch 616381: Any minimum detectable concentration (MDC), critical value (DLC), or Safe Drinking Water Act detection limit (SDWA DL) is sample-specific unless otherwise stated elsewhere in this narrative. Radiochemistry sample results are reported with the count date/time applied as the Activity Reference Date.

280-177698-22, LCS 160-616381/2-A, MB 160-616381/1-A, 160-50356-A-26-A and 160-50356-E-26-C DU

Radium-226 exceeded the RPD limit for the duplicate of sample 160-50356-26.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

RADIUM-228

Samples RW-1 (280-177698-21) and RW-2 (280-177698-22) were analyzed for Radium-228 in accordance with 9320. The samples were prepared on 06/16/2023 and analyzed on 06/30/2023 and 07/05/2023.

Case Narrative

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

Job ID: 280-177698-3 (Continued)

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver (Continued)

Radium-228 batch 616378: Any minimum detectable concentration (MDC), critical value (DLC), or Safe Drinking Water Act detection limit (SDWA DL) is sample-specific unless otherwise stated elsewhere in this narrative. Radiochemistry sample results are reported with the count date/time applied as the Activity Reference Date.

280-177698-21, LCS 160-616378/2-A, MB 160-616378/1-A, 280-177698-A-18-D, 280-177698-A-18-E MS and 280-177698-A-18-F MSD

Radium-228 batch 616382: Any minimum detectable concentration (MDC), critical value (DLC), or Safe Drinking Water Act detection limit (SDWA DL) is sample-specific unless otherwise stated elsewhere in this narrative. Radiochemistry sample results are reported with the count date/time applied as the Activity Reference Date.

280-177698-22, LCS 160-616382/2-A, MB 160-616382/1-A, 160-50356-A-26-B and 160-50356-E-26-D DU

Radium-228 exceeded the RPD limit for the duplicate of sample 160-50356-26.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

RADIUM-226/RADIUM-228 (GFPC)

Samples RW-1 (280-177698-21) and RW-2 (280-177698-22) were analyzed for Radium-226/Radium-228 (GFPC) in accordance with 9315/9320. The samples were analyzed on 07/12/2023 and 07/13/2023.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

Client Sample ID: RW-1

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21

No Detections.

Client Sample ID: RW-2

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-22

No Detections.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Method Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

| Method | Method Description | Protocol | Laboratory |
|-------------|--|----------|------------|
| 9315 | Radium-226 (GFPC) | SW846 | EET SL |
| 9320 | Radium-228 (GFPC) | SW846 | EET SL |
| Ra226_Ra228 | Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228 | TAL-STL | EET SL |
| PrecSep_0 | Preparation, Precipitate Separation | None | EET SL |
| PrecSep-21 | Preparation, Precipitate Separation (21-Day In-Growth) | None | EET SL |

Protocol References:

None = None

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

TAL-STL = TestAmerica Laboratories, St. Louis, Facility Standard Operating Procedure.

Laboratory References:

EET SL = Eurofins St. Louis, 13715 Rider Trail North, Earth City, MO 63045, TEL (314)298-8566

Sample Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

| <u>Lab Sample ID</u> | <u>Client Sample ID</u> | <u>Matrix</u> | <u>Collected</u> | <u>Received</u> |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Water | 06/09/23 11:22 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Water | 06/09/23 12:02 | 06/09/23 16:15 |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

Method: SW846 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: RW-1
Date Collected: 06/09/23 11:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0212 | U | 0.0647 | 0.0647 | 1.00 | 0.120 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:28 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 93.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/12/23 11:28 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: RW-2
Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:02
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-22
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0434 | U | 0.0629 | 0.0630 | 1.00 | 0.107 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:30 | 07/12/23 15:01 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 95.2 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:30 | 07/12/23 15:01 | 1 |

Method: SW846 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: RW-1
Date Collected: 06/09/23 11:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.692 | | 0.437 | 0.441 | 1.00 | 0.650 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 93.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 81.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:50 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: RW-2
Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:02
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-22
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.500 | U | 0.365 | 0.368 | 1.00 | 0.555 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:35 | 07/05/23 15:56 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 95.2 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:35 | 07/05/23 15:56 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 86.0 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:35 | 07/05/23 15:56 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

Method: TAL-STL Ra226_Ra228 - Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228

Client Sample ID: RW-1
Date Collected: 06/09/23 11:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.713 | | 0.442 | 0.446 | 5.00 | 0.650 | pCi/L | | 07/12/23 17:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: RW-2
Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:02
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-22
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.544 | U | 0.370 | 0.373 | 5.00 | 0.555 | pCi/L | | 07/13/23 12:01 | 1 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

Method: 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-616377/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 619818

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616377

| Analyte | MB | | Count | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | Result | MB Qualifier | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | | |
| Radium-226 | -0.02526 | U | 0.0556 | 0.0557 | 1.00 | 0.126 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/11/23 22:53 | 1 |
| Carrier | MB | | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 87.8 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:21 | 07/11/23 22:53 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 160-616377/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 619761

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616377

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qual | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------------|
| | | | | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | |
| Radium-226 | 11.3 | 9.777 | | 1.02 | 1.00 | 0.101 | pCi/L | 86 | 75 - 125 |
| Carrier | LCS | LCS | Limits | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | 92.9 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | |

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-616381/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 619836

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616381

| Analyte | MB | | Count | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|---------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | Result | MB Qualifier | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | | |
| Radium-226 | 0.02885 | U | 0.0604 | 0.0605 | 1.00 | 0.110 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:30 | 07/12/23 15:01 | 1 |
| Carrier | MB | | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 95.2 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 06/16/23 10:30 | 07/12/23 15:01 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 160-616381/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 619836

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616381

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qual | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------------|
| | | | | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | |
| Radium-226 | 11.3 | 9.872 | | 1.06 | 1.00 | 0.142 | pCi/L | 87 | 75 - 125 |
| Carrier | LCS | LCS | Limits | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | 94.9 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | | |

Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-616378/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 618388

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616378

| Analyte | MB | | Count | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | Result | MB Qualifier | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | | |
| Radium-228 | 0.4350 | U | 0.341 | 0.344 | 1.00 | 0.522 | pCi/L | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:44 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC) (Continued)

| Carrier | MB MB | | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | %Yield | Qualifier | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | 87.8 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:44 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 82.6 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:25 | 06/30/23 14:44 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 160-616378/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 618388

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616378

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qual | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|---------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------------------|----|-----|------|------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Carrier | LCS LCS | | Limits |
|------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| | %Yield | Qualifier | |
| Ba Carrier | 92.9 | | 30 - 110 |
| Y Carrier | 84.1 | | 30 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-616382/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 618908

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616382

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----|-----|------|----------|----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Carrier | MB MB | | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | %Yield | Qualifier | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | 95.2 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:35 | 07/05/23 15:56 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 78.5 | | 30 - 110 | 06/16/23 10:35 | 07/05/23 15:56 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 160-616382/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 618908

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 616382

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qual | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|---------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------------------|----|-----|------|------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Carrier | LCS LCS | | Limits |
|------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| | %Yield | Qualifier | |
| Ba Carrier | 94.9 | | 30 - 110 |
| Y Carrier | 77.8 | | 30 - 110 |

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

Rad

Prep Batch: 616377

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|------------|------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| MB 160-616377/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| LCS 160-616377/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |

Prep Batch: 616378

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| MB 160-616378/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| LCS 160-616378/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |

Prep Batch: 616381

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|------------|------------|
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| MB 160-616381/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| LCS 160-616381/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |

Prep Batch: 616382

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------------|
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| MB 160-616382/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| LCS 160-616382/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

Client Sample ID: RW-1

Date Collected: 06/09/23 11:22

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21

Matrix: Water

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 942.92 mL | 1.0 g | 616377 | 06/16/23 10:21 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 619836 | 07/12/23 11:28 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 942.92 mL | 1.0 g | 616378 | 06/16/23 10:25 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618387 | 06/30/23 14:50 | MLK | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 619760 | 07/12/23 17:05 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: RW-2

Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:02

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-22

Matrix: Water

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 997.77 mL | 1.0 g | 616381 | 06/16/23 10:30 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 619836 | 07/12/23 15:01 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 997.77 mL | 1.0 g | 616382 | 06/16/23 10:35 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 618908 | 07/05/23 15:56 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 620144 | 07/13/23 12:01 | EMH | EET SL |

Laboratory References:

EET SL = Eurofins St. Louis, 13715 Rider Trail North, Earth City, MO 63045, TEL (314)298-8566

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
 Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

Laboratory: Eurofins St. Louis

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

| Authority | Program | Identification Number | Expiration Date |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Alaska (UST) | State | 20-001 | 05-06-25 |
| ANAB | Dept. of Defense ELAP | L2305 | 04-06-25 |
| ANAB | Dept. of Energy | L2305.01 | 04-06-25 |
| ANAB | ISO/IEC 17025 | L2305 | 04-06-25 |
| Arizona | State | AZ0813 | 12-08-23 |
| California | Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts | 10259 | 06-30-22 * |
| California | State | 2886 | 06-30-23 * |
| Connecticut | State | PH-0241 | 03-31-25 |
| Florida | NELAP | E87689 | 06-30-24 |
| HI - RadChem Recognition | State | n/a | 06-30-23 * |
| Illinois | NELAP | 200023 | 11-30-23 |
| Iowa | State | 373 | 12-01-24 |
| Kansas | NELAP | E-10236 | 10-31-23 |
| Kentucky (DW) | State | KY90125 | 12-31-23 |
| Kentucky (WW) | State | KY90125 (Permit KY0004049) | 12-31-23 |
| Louisiana | NELAP | 04080 | 06-30-22 * |
| Louisiana (All) | NELAP | 04080 | 06-30-24 |
| Louisiana (DW) | State | LA011 | 12-31-23 |
| Maryland | State | 310 | 09-30-23 |
| MI - RadChem Recognition | State | 9005 | 06-30-23 * |
| Missouri | State | 780 | 06-30-25 |
| Nevada | State | MO000542020-1 | 07-31-23 |
| New Jersey | NELAP | MO002 | 06-30-24 |
| New York | NELAP | 11616 | 03-31-24 |
| North Carolina (DW) | State | 29700 | 07-31-23 |
| North Dakota | State | R-207 | 06-30-23 * |
| Oklahoma | NELAP | 9997 | 08-31-23 |
| Oregon | NELAP | 4157 | 09-01-23 |
| Pennsylvania | NELAP | 68-00540 | 02-28-24 |
| South Carolina | State | 85002001 | 06-30-23 * |
| Texas | NELAP | T104704193 | 07-31-23 |
| US Fish & Wildlife | US Federal Programs | 058448 | 07-31-23 |
| USDA | US Federal Programs | P330-17-00028 | 05-18-26 |
| Utah | NELAP | MO000542021-14 | 07-31-23 |
| Virginia | NELAP | 10310 | 06-15-25 |
| Washington | State | C592 | 08-30-23 |
| West Virginia DEP | State | 381 | 10-31-23 |

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

Chain of Custody Record

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| Client Information | | Sampler: | Lab PM: | Carrier Tracking No(s): | COC No: |
| Company: AECOM Technical Services Inc. | | McEntee, Patrick J | McEntee, Patrick J | | 280-120021-33729.1 |
| Address: 7595 Technology Way | | Phone: | E-Mail: | State of Origin: | Page: |
| City: Denver | | | Patrick.McEntee@et.eurofins.com | CO | Page 1 of 5 |
| State, Zip: CO, 80237 | | PWSID: | | Job #: | |
| Phone: | | Due Date Requested: | | Analysis Requested | |
| TAT Requested (days): | | 6/7/23 | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tl) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7470A - Total Mercury <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9315_Ra226 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9320_Ra228 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Combined Radium226 and Radium228 | |
| Compliance Project: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | Sample Date | | Total Number of Containers | |
| PO #: 136447 | | Sample Time | | 5 | |
| WO #: AECOM Project# 60632474 | | Sample Type (C=comp, G=grab) | | Special Instructions/Note: | |
| Project #: 28020759 | | Preservation Code: | |  280-177698 Chain of Custody | |
| SSOW#: | | Matrix (W=water, S=solid, O=wastoli, BT=Tissue, A=sk) | | Preservation Codes: | |
| Email: katie.abbott@aecom.com | | MW-42B | | A - HCL M - Hexane N - None O - NaOH P - Na2O4S Q - Na2SO3 R - Na2SO3 S - H2SO4 T - TSP Dodecahydrate U - Acetone V - MCAA W - pH 4-5 X - EDTA Y - Trizma Z - other (specify) | |
| Project Name: CCR - Basin Electric 2022 | | MW-43B | | | |
| Site: | | MW-47B | | | |
| | | MW-46B | | | |
| | | MW-45B | | | |
| | | MW-44B | | | |
| | | MW-41B | | | |
| | | MW-37B | | | |
| | | MW 36B | | | |
| | | MW-32B | | | |
| | | MW 39B | | | |
| | | 6/8/23 | | | |
| | | 0942 | | | |
| | | 1032 | | | |
| | | 1112 | | | |
| | | 1242 | | | |
| | | 1322 | | | |
| Possible Hazard Identification <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Hazard <input type="checkbox"/> Flammable <input type="checkbox"/> Skin Irritant <input type="checkbox"/> Poison B <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> Radiological | | Deliverable Requested: <input type="checkbox"/> I, <input type="checkbox"/> II, <input type="checkbox"/> III, <input type="checkbox"/> IV, Other (specify) | | Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month) <input type="checkbox"/> Return To Client <input type="checkbox"/> Disposal By Lab <input type="checkbox"/> Archive For _____ Months | |
| Empty Kit Relinquished by: | | Date: | | Special Instructions/QC Requirements: | |
| Relinquished by: <i>[Signature]</i> | | 6/9/23 | | Method of Shipment: | |
| Relinquished by: | | 1615 | | Received by: <i>[Signature]</i> | |
| Relinquished by: | | 1615 | | Date/Time: 6/9/23 | |
| Custody Seals Intact: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | Custody Seal No.: | | Company: ETADEN | |
| | | | | Company: | |
| | | | | Company: | |
| | | | | Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks: <i>[Handwritten]</i> | |



Chain of Custody Record



| | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| Client Information (Sub Contract Lab) | | Lab PM McEntee, Patrick J | Carrier Tracking No(s) 280-660071.2 |
| Client Contact Shipping/Receiving | | E-Mail Patrick.McEntee@et.eurofins.com | Page Page 2 of 3 |
| Company TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. | | State of Origin Colorado | Job # 280-177698-1 |
| Address 13715 Rider Trail North, | | Preservation Codes: A - HCL B - NaOH C - Zn Acetate D - Nitric Acid E - NaHSO4 F - MeOH G - Amchlor H - Ascorbic Acid I - Ice J - DI Water K - EDTA L - EDA Other: | |
| City Earth City | | M - Hexane N - None O - AsNaO2 P - Na2O4S Q - Na2SO3 R - Na2S2O3 S - H2SO4 T - TSP Dodecahydrate U - Acetone V - MCAA W - pH 4.5 Y - Trizma Z - other (specify) | |
| State, Zip MO, 63045 | | Total Number of Containers | |
| Phone 314-298-8566(Tel) 314-298-8757(Fax) | | Analysis Requested | |
| Email | | 9315_Ra226/Precep_21 Standard Target List | |
| Project Name Basin 2023 Support | | 9320_Ra226/Precep_0 Standard Target List | |
| Site | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | |
| Due Date Requested: 7/11/2023 | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | |
| TAT Requested (days): | | Preservation Code: | |
| PO #: | | Water | |
| WO #: | | Water | |
| Project # 28020759 | | Water | |
| SSOW# | | Water | |
| Sample Date | | Water | |
| Sample Time | | Water | |
| Sample Type (C=Comp, G=grab) | | Water | |
| Matrix (W=water, S=solid, O=overseal, BT=BIOSUB, AA=) | | Water | |
| Sample Identification - Client ID (Lab ID) | | Water | |
| MW-32B (280-177698-10) | 6/8/23 12:42 Mountain | X | X |
| MW-39B (280-177698-11) | 6/8/23 13:32 Mountain | X | X |
| MW-40B (280-177698-12) | 6/8/23 14:22 Mountain | X | X |
| MW-49B (280-177698-13) | 6/8/23 17:32 Mountain | X | X |
| MW-14B (280-177698-14) | 6/8/23 18:12 Mountain | X | X |
| MW-20B (280-177698-15) | 6/9/23 08:24 Mountain | X | X |
| Dup-01 (280-177698-16) | 6/9/23 Mountain | X | X |
| MW-38B (280-177698-17) | 6/9/23 09:12 Mountain | X | X |
| MW-21B (280-177698-18) | 6/9/23 09:47 Mountain | X | X |
| <p>Note: Since laboratory accreditations are subject to change, Eurofins TestAmerica places the ownership of method, analyte & accreditation compliance upon our subcontract laboratories. This sample shipment is forwarded under chain-of-custody. If the laboratory does not currently maintain accreditation in the State of Origin listed above for analysis/test/matrix being analyzed, the samples must be shipped back to the Eurofins TestAmerica laboratory or other instructions will be provided. Any changes to accreditation status should be brought to Eurofins TestAmerica attention immediately. If all requested accreditations are current to date, return the signed Chain of Custody attesting to said compliance to Eurofins TestAmerica</p> | | | |
| Possible Hazard Identification | | | |
| Unconfirmed | | | |
| Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify) _____ Primary Deliverable Rank: 2 | | | |
| Empty Kit Relinquished by: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____ | | | |
| Relinquished by: <i>Willow Cameron</i> Date/Time: <i>7/12/23 16:30</i> Company: <i>RA</i> | | | |
| Relinquished by: <i>fedex</i> Date/Time: _____ Company: _____ | | | |
| Relinquished by: _____ Date/Time: _____ Company: _____ | | | |
| Custody Seals Intact: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | | | |
| Custody Seal No.: _____ Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks: _____ | | | |



Chain of Custody Record



| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Client Information (Sub Contract Lab) | | Lab PM McEntee, Patrick J | Carrier Tracking No(s) 280-660071.3 |
| Shipping/Receiving | | E-Mail Patrick McEntee@eurofins.com | Page Page 3 of 3 |
| Company TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. | | Accreditations Required (See note) NELAP - Oregon | |
| Address 13715 Rider Trail North, | | Job # 280-177698-1 | |
| City Earth City | | Preservation Codes: | |
| State Zip MO, 63045 | | A - HCL M - Hexane N - None O - AsNaO2 P - Na2O4S Q - Na2SO3 R - Na2SO3 S - H2SO4 T - TSP Dodecalhydrate U - Acetone V - MCAA W - pH 4-5 Y - Trizma Z - other (specify) | |
| Phone 314-298-8566(Tel) 314-298-8757(Fax) | | Other: | |
| Email: | | | |
| Project Name Basin 2023 Support | | | |
| Site 28020759 | | | |
| SSOW# | | | |
| Due Date Requested: 7/11/2023 | | Analysis Requested | |
| TAT Requested (days): | | | |
| PO #: | | | |
| WO #: | | | |
| Sample Date | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | |
| Sample Time | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | |
| Sample Type (C=comp, G=grab) | | 9320_Ra228/PreSep_0 Standard Target List | |
| Preservation Code: | | 9315_Ra226/PreSep_21 Standard Target List | |
| Matrix (W=water, S=solid, O=wastewat, A=Air) | | Ra226Ra228_GFPc | |
| Sample Time | | Total Number of Containers | |
| 6/9/23 | | 2 | |
| 09:47 Mountain | | 2 | |
| 6/9/23 | | 2 | |
| 09:47 Mountain | | 1 | |
| 6/9/23 | | 2 | |
| 10:30 Mountain | | 2 | |
| 6/9/23 | | 2 | |
| 12:30 Mountain | | 2 | |
| 6/9/23 | | 2 | |
| 11:22 Mountain | | 2 | |
| 6/9/23 | | 2 | |
| 12:02 Mountain | | 2 | |
| 6/9/23 | | 2 | |
| | | 177 | |

Note: Since laboratory accreditations are subject to change, Eurofins TestAmerica places the ownership of method, analysis & accreditation compliance upon our subcontract laboratories. This sample shipment is forwarded under chain-of-custody. If the laboratory does not currently maintain accreditation in the State of Origin listed above for analysis/matrix being analyzed, the samples must be shipped back to the Eurofins TestAmerica laboratory or other instructions will be provided. Any changes to accreditation status should be brought to Eurofins TestAmerica attention immediately. If all requested accreditations are current to date, return the signed Chain of Custody attesting to said compliance to Eurofins TestAmerica

Possible Hazard Identification
 Unconfirmed
 Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify) _____
 Primary Deliverable Rank: 2
 Empty Kit Relinquished by: _____ Date: _____
 Relinquished by: _____ Date/Time: _____
 Relinquished by: _____ Date/Time: _____
 Relinquished by: _____ Date/Time: _____
 Custody Seals Intact: _____
 Custody Seal No.: _____
 Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks: _____

Special Instructions/QC Requirements:
 Return To Client Disposal By Lab Archive For _____ Months
 Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)



Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Job Number: 280-177698-3

Login Number: 177698

List Number: 1

Creator: Naylis, Patrick J

List Source: Eurofins Denver

| Question | Answer | Comment |
|--|--------|---------|
| Radioactivity wasn't checked or is </= background as measured by a survey meter. | True | |
| The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact. | True | |
| Sample custody seals, if present, are intact. | True | |
| The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with. | True | |
| Samples were received on ice. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is acceptable. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is recorded. | True | |
| COC is present. | True | |
| COC is filled out in ink and legible. | True | |
| COC is filled out with all pertinent information. | True | |
| Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC? | True | |
| There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC. | True | |
| Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs) | True | |
| Sample containers have legible labels. | True | |
| Containers are not broken or leaking. | True | |
| Sample collection date/times are provided. | True | |
| Appropriate sample containers are used. | True | |
| Sample bottles are completely filled. | True | |
| Sample Preservation Verified. | N/A | |
| There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs | True | |
| Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4"). | N/A | |
| Multiphasic samples are not present. | True | |
| Samples do not require splitting or compositing. | True | |
| Residual Chlorine Checked. | N/A | |

Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Job Number: 280-177698-3

Login Number: 177698

List Number: 2

Creator: Sharkey-Gonzalez, Briana L

List Source: Eurofins St. Louis

List Creation: 06/14/23 12:33 PM

| Question | Answer | Comment |
|---|--------|---------|
| Radioactivity wasn't checked or is \leq background as measured by a survey meter. | True | |
| The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact. | True | |
| Sample custody seals, if present, are intact. | True | |
| The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with. | True | |
| Samples were received on ice. | N/A | |
| Cooler Temperature is acceptable. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is recorded. | True | |
| COC is present. | True | |
| COC is filled out in ink and legible. | True | |
| COC is filled out with all pertinent information. | True | |
| Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC? | True | |
| There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC. | True | |
| Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs) | True | |
| Sample containers have legible labels. | True | |
| Containers are not broken or leaking. | True | |
| Sample collection date/times are provided. | True | |
| Appropriate sample containers are used. | True | |
| Sample bottles are completely filled. | True | |
| Sample Preservation Verified. | True | |
| There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs | True | |
| Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <math><6\text{mm}</math> (1/4"). | True | |
| Multiphasic samples are not present. | True | |
| Samples do not require splitting or compositing. | True | |
| Residual Chlorine Checked. | N/A | |

Tracer/Carrier Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-3

Method: 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Percent Yield (Acceptance Limits)

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Ba (30-110) |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | 93.1 |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | 95.2 |
| LCS 160-616377/2-A | Lab Control Sample | 92.9 |
| LCS 160-616381/2-A | Lab Control Sample | 94.9 |
| MB 160-616377/1-A | Method Blank | 87.8 |
| MB 160-616381/1-A | Method Blank | 95.2 |

Tracer/Carrier Legend

Ba = Ba Carrier

Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

Percent Yield (Acceptance Limits)

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Ba (30-110) | Y (30-110) |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | 93.1 | 81.1 |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | 95.2 | 86.0 |
| LCS 160-616378/2-A | Lab Control Sample | 92.9 | 84.1 |
| LCS 160-616382/2-A | Lab Control Sample | 94.9 | 77.8 |
| MB 160-616378/1-A | Method Blank | 87.8 | 82.6 |
| MB 160-616382/1-A | Method Blank | 95.2 | 78.5 |

Tracer/Carrier Legend

Ba = Ba Carrier

Y = Y Carrier



ANALYTICAL REPORT

PREPARED FOR

Attn: Ms. Katie Abbott
AECOM Technical Services Inc.
6200 S. Quebec Street
Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111

Generated 6/23/2023 3:32:37 PM

JOB DESCRIPTION

Basin 2023 Support

JOB NUMBER

280-177698-4

Eurofins Denver

Job Notes

This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. The results relate only to the samples tested. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

The test results in this report relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory and will meet all requirements of the methodology, with any exceptions noted. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the express written approval of the laboratory. All questions should be directed to the Eurofins TestAmerica Project Manager.

Authorization



Generated
6/23/2023 3:32:37 PM

Authorized for release by
Megan McElheny, Project Manager I
Megan.Mcelheny@et.eurofinsus.com
Designee for
Patrick McEntee, Client Service Manager
Patrick.McEntee@et.eurofinsus.com
(303)736-0107



Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------------------|----|
| Cover Page | 1 |
| Table of Contents | 3 |
| Definitions | 4 |
| Case Narrative | 5 |
| Detection Summary | 7 |
| Method Summary | 8 |
| Sample Summary | 9 |
| Client Sample Results | 10 |
| QC Sample Results | 12 |
| QC Association | 16 |
| Chronicle | 18 |
| Certification Summary | 19 |
| Chain of Custody | 20 |
| Receipt Checklists | 23 |

Definitions/Glossary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Qualifiers

General Chemistry

| Qualifier | Qualifier Description |
|-----------|--|
| E | Result exceeded calibration range. |
| J | Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value. |

Glossary

| Abbreviation | These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. |
|----------------|---|
| α | Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis |
| %R | Percent Recovery |
| CFL | Contains Free Liquid |
| CFU | Colony Forming Unit |
| CNF | Contains No Free Liquid |
| DER | Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) |
| Dil Fac | Dilution Factor |
| DL | Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) |
| DL, RA, RE, IN | Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample |
| DLC | Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| EDL | Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) |
| LOD | Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) |
| LOQ | Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE) |
| MCL | EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level" |
| MDA | Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) |
| MDC | Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| MDL | Method Detection Limit |
| ML | Minimum Level (Dioxin) |
| MPN | Most Probable Number |
| MQL | Method Quantitation Limit |
| NC | Not Calculated |
| ND | Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) |
| NEG | Negative / Absent |
| POS | Positive / Present |
| PQL | Practical Quantitation Limit |
| PRES | Presumptive |
| QC | Quality Control |
| RER | Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry) |
| RL | Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points |
| TEF | Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) |
| TEQ | Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin) |
| TNTC | Too Numerous To Count |

Case Narrative

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Project: Basin 2023 Support

Report Number: 280-177698-4

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 6/9/2023 4:15 PM. Unless otherwise noted below, the samples arrived in good condition, and where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperatures of the 5 coolers at receipt time were 0.1° C, 0.5° C, 1.0° C, 1.1° C and 1.2° C.

Receipt Exceptions

Per client request, the results for samples RW-1 (280-177698-21) and RW-2 (280-177698-22) are reported under 280-177698-3 (chemical) and 280-177698-4 (radiochemical).

TOTAL METALS (ICP)

Samples RW-1 (280-177698-21) and RW-2 (280-177698-22) were analyzed for Total Metals (ICP) in accordance with EPA SW846 6010D. The samples were prepared on 06/16/2023 and analyzed on 06/19/2023 and 06/22/2023.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

TOTAL METALS (ICP/MS)

Samples RW-1 (280-177698-21) and RW-2 (280-177698-22) were analyzed for Total Metals (ICP/MS) in accordance with SW846 6020B. The samples were prepared on 06/16/2023 and analyzed on 06/16/2023 and 06/19/2023.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

TOTAL MERCURY

Samples RW-1 (280-177698-21) and RW-2 (280-177698-22) were analyzed for total mercury in accordance with EPA SW-846 Methods 7470A. The samples were prepared on 06/20/2023 and analyzed on 06/21/2023.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS

Samples RW-1 (280-177698-21) and RW-2 (280-177698-22) were analyzed for total dissolved solids in accordance with SM20 2540C. The samples were analyzed on 06/14/2023 and 06/15/2023.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

ANIONS (28 DAYS)

Case Narrative

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Job ID: 280-177698-4 (Continued)

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver (Continued)

Samples RW-1 (280-177698-21) and RW-2 (280-177698-22) were analyzed for anions (28 days) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 9056A (28 Days). The samples were analyzed on 06/21/2023.

Samples RW-1 (280-177698-21)[10X] and RW-2 (280-177698-22)[10X] required dilution prior to analysis. The reporting limits have been adjusted accordingly.

The concentration of the matrix spike reagent caused the results for the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) to be above the highest point of the calibration range for fluoride. The results for the MS/MSD are still within the standard control limits of 80-120%.
280-177698-D-21[MS] and 280-177698-D-21[MSD]

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Client Sample ID: RW-1

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 281 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 88900 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 28.8 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 50.0 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 14.2 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 18.8 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 38.2 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride | 0.970 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 181 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 608 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: RW-2

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-22

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 159 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 93000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 32.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 47.0 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 18.4 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 60.2 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 43.8 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride | 0.920 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate | 188 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 596 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Method Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

| Method | Method Description | Protocol | Laboratory |
|----------|-------------------------------|----------|------------|
| 6010D | Metals (ICP) | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 6020B | Metals (ICP/MS) | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 7470A | Mercury (CVAA) | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 9056A | Anions, Ion Chromatography | SW846 | EET DEN |
| SM 2540C | Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | SM | EET DEN |
| 3010A | Preparation, Total Metals | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 3020A | Preparation, Total Metals | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 7470A | Preparation, Mercury | SW846 | EET DEN |

Protocol References:

SM = "Standard Methods For The Examination Of Water And Wastewater"

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

EET DEN = Eurofins Denver, 4955 Yarrow Street, Arvada, CO 80002, TEL (303)736-0100

Sample Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

| <u>Lab Sample ID</u> | <u>Client Sample ID</u> | <u>Matrix</u> | <u>Collected</u> | <u>Received</u> |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Water | 06/09/23 11:22 | 06/09/23 16:15 |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Water | 06/09/23 12:02 | 06/09/23 16:15 |

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Method: SW846 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Client Sample ID: RW-1
Date Collected: 06/09/23 11:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 281 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:12 | 1 |
| Calcium | 88900 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:12 | 1 |
| Lithium | 28.8 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/22/23 17:27 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: RW-2
Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:02
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-22
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 159 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:16 | 1 |
| Calcium | 93000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 19:16 | 1 |
| Lithium | 32.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/22/23 17:31 | 1 |

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS)

Client Sample ID: RW-1
Date Collected: 06/09/23 11:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:37 | 1 |
| Barium | 50.0 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:37 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 12:43 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:37 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:37 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:37 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 14.2 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:37 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:37 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:37 | 1 |
| Selenium | 18.8 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:37 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:37 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: RW-2
Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:02
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-22
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:39 | 1 |
| Barium | 47.0 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:39 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 12:45 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:39 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:39 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:39 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 18.4 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:39 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:39 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:39 | 1 |
| Selenium | 60.2 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:39 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:39 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Method: SW846 7470A - Mercury (CVAA)

Client Sample ID: RW-1
Date Collected: 06/09/23 11:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 15:03 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: RW-2
Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:02
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-22
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 06/20/23 16:30 | 06/21/23 15:05 | 1 |

General Chemistry

Client Sample ID: RW-1
Date Collected: 06/09/23 11:22
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 38.2 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 05:13 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.970 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 18:30 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 181 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 20:40 | 10 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 608 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:01 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: RW-2
Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:02
Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-22
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 43.8 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 06:18 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.920 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 19:13 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 188 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 20:51 | 10 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 596 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 06/15/23 13:01 | 1 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Method: 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-615736/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616774

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615736

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|-----------|--------------|-----|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | ND | | 100 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 18:56 | 1 |
| Calcium | ND | | 200 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 18:56 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-615736/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617247

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615736

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Lithium | ND | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/22/23 17:11 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-615736/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616800

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615736

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|---------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Boron | 2000 | 2180 | | ug/L | | 109 | 86 - 110 |
| Calcium | 50000 | 52550 | | ug/L | | 105 | 90 - 111 |
| Lithium | 1000 | 1069 | | ug/L | | 107 | 90 - 112 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-615736/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617247

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615736

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|---------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Lithium | 1000 | 994.5 | | ug/L | | 99 | 90 - 112 |

Method: 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-615736/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616529

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615736

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:29 | 1 |
| Barium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:29 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:29 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:29 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:29 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:29 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:29 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:29 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:29 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/16/23 21:29 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-615736/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616715

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615736

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-----------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 06/16/23 06:31 | 06/19/23 12:39 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Method: 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-615736/25-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616529

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615736

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Arsenic | 40.0 | 40.34 | | ug/L | | 101 | 85 - 117 |
| Barium | 40.0 | 40.53 | | ug/L | | 101 | 85 - 118 |
| Cadmium | 40.0 | 40.60 | | ug/L | | 102 | 85 - 115 |
| Cobalt | 40.0 | 40.51 | | ug/L | | 101 | 85 - 120 |
| Chromium | 40.0 | 41.46 | | ug/L | | 104 | 84 - 121 |
| Molybdenum | 40.0 | 41.14 | | ug/L | | 103 | 85 - 119 |
| Lead | 40.0 | 40.12 | | ug/L | | 100 | 85 - 118 |
| Antimony | 40.0 | 41.02 | | ug/L | | 103 | 85 - 115 |
| Selenium | 40.0 | 42.29 | | ug/L | | 106 | 77 - 122 |
| Thallium | 40.0 | 40.58 | | ug/L | | 101 | 85 - 118 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-615736/25-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616715

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 615736

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|-----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Beryllium | 40.0 | 42.86 | | ug/L | | 107 | 80 - 125 |

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-616840/39
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616840

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride | ND | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 05:02 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-616840/37
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616840

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 50.0 | 49.19 | | mg/L | | 98 | 90 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-616840/38
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616840

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 50.0 | 45.21 | | mg/L | | 90 | 90 - 110 | 8 | 10 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616840

Client Sample ID: RW-1
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 38.2 | | 50.0 | 96.56 | | mg/L | | 117 | 80 - 120 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616840

Client Sample ID: RW-1
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MSD Result | MSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 38.2 | | 50.0 | 91.26 | | mg/L | | 106 | 80 - 120 | 6 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616840

Client Sample ID: RW-1
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | DU Result | DU Qualifier | Unit | D | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 38.2 | | 38.30 | | mg/L | | 0.1 | 15 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-616928/6
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616928

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------|-----------|--------------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride | ND | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 18:19 | 1 |
| Fluoride | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 18:19 | 1 |
| Sulfate | ND | | 5.00 | | mg/L | | | 06/21/23 18:19 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-616928/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616928

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 50.0 | 50.17 | | mg/L | | 100 | 90 - 110 |
| Fluoride | 2.50 | 2.492 | | mg/L | | 100 | 90 - 110 |
| Sulfate | 50.0 | 49.83 | | mg/L | | 100 | 90 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-616928/5
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616928

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 50.0 | 50.76 | | mg/L | | 102 | 90 - 110 | 1 | 10 |
| Fluoride | 2.50 | 2.507 | | mg/L | | 100 | 90 - 110 | 1 | 10 |
| Sulfate | 50.0 | 50.73 | | mg/L | | 101 | 90 - 110 | 2 | 10 |

Lab Sample ID: MRL 280-616928/3
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616928

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | MRL Result | MRL Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 2.50 | 2.434 | J | mg/L | | 97 | 50 - 150 |
| Fluoride | 0.250 | 0.2390 | J | mg/L | | 96 | 50 - 150 |
| Sulfate | 2.50 | 2.219 | J | mg/L | | 89 | 50 - 150 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
 Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616928

Client Sample ID: RW-1
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Fluoride | 0.970 | | 5.00 | 6.022 | E | mg/L | | 101 | 80 - 120 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616928

Client Sample ID: RW-1
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MSD Result | MSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Fluoride | 0.970 | | 5.00 | 5.368 | E | mg/L | | 88 | 80 - 120 | 11 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 616928

Client Sample ID: RW-1
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | DU Result | DU Qualifier | Unit | D | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|-----|-----------|
| Fluoride | 0.970 | | 0.9778 | | mg/L | | 0.8 | 15 |



QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Metals

Prep Batch: 615736

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| MB 280-615736/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| LCS 280-615736/25-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| LCS 280-615736/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |

Analysis Batch: 616529

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615736 |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615736 |
| MB 280-615736/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615736 |
| LCS 280-615736/25-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615736 |

Analysis Batch: 616715

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615736 |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615736 |
| MB 280-615736/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615736 |
| LCS 280-615736/25-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 615736 |

Analysis Batch: 616774

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615736 |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615736 |
| MB 280-615736/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615736 |

Analysis Batch: 616800

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| LCS 280-615736/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615736 |

Prep Batch: 616801

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |

Analysis Batch: 617082

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 616801 |

Analysis Batch: 617247

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615736 |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615736 |
| MB 280-615736/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615736 |
| LCS 280-615736/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 615736 |

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

General Chemistry

Analysis Batch: 616283

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|----------|------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |

Analysis Batch: 616840

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MB 280-616840/39 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCS 280-616840/37 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCSD 280-616840/38 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-21 MS | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-21 MSD | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-21 DU | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |

Analysis Batch: 616928

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-21 | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-22 | RW-2 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MB 280-616928/6 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCS 280-616928/4 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCSD 280-616928/5 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MRL 280-616928/3 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-21 MS | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-21 MSD | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-177698-21 DU | RW-1 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Client Sample ID: RW-1

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-21

Date Collected: 06/09/23 11:22

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615736 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616774 | 06/19/23 19:12 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615736 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 617247 | 06/22/23 17:27 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615736 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 21:37 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615736 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616715 | 06/19/23 12:43 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616801 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 15:03 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 05:13 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616928 | 06/21/23 18:30 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616928 | 06/21/23 20:40 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 616283 | 06/15/23 13:01 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: RW-2

Lab Sample ID: 280-177698-22

Date Collected: 06/09/23 12:02

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/09/23 16:15

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615736 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 616774 | 06/19/23 19:16 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615736 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 617247 | 06/22/23 17:31 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615736 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616529 | 06/16/23 21:39 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 615736 | 06/16/23 06:31 | LJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 616715 | 06/19/23 12:45 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 616801 | 06/20/23 16:30 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 617082 | 06/21/23 15:05 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616840 | 06/21/23 06:18 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616928 | 06/21/23 19:13 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 616928 | 06/21/23 20:51 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 616283 | 06/15/23 13:01 | CAI | EET DEN |

Laboratory References:

EET DEN = Eurofins Denver, 4955 Yarrow Street, Arvada, CO 80002, TEL (303)736-0100

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-177698-4

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver

The accreditations/certifications listed below are applicable to this report.

| Authority | Program | Identification Number | Expiration Date |
|-----------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Oregon | NELAP | 4025-011 | 01-10-24 |

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

Chain of Custody Record

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| Client Information | | Lab PM: McEntee, Patrick J | Carrier Tracking No(s): | COC No: 280-120021-33729.1 |
| Company: AECOM Technical Services Inc. | | E-Mail: Patrick.McEntee@et.eurofins.com | State of Origin: CO | Page: Page 1 of 5 |
| Address: 7595 Technology Way, Denver, CO, 80237 | | Job #: _____ | | |
| City: Denver | | Analysis Requested | | |
| State, Zip: CO, 80237 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | |
| Phone: _____ | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | |
| Compliance Project: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | |
| PO #: 136447 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tl) | | |
| WO #: AECOM Project# 60632474 | | 9315_Ra226 | | |
| Project #: 28020759 | | 9320_Ra228 | | |
| SSOW#: _____ | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | |
| Due Date Requested: _____ | | Combined Radium226 and Radium228 | | |
| TAT Requested (days): _____ | | Total Number of Containers | | |
| Sample Date | | Special Instructions/Note: | | |
| Sample Identification | Sample Time | Sample Date | Sample Type (C=comp, G=grab) | Matrix (W=water, S=solid, O=wastoliq, BT=Tissue, A=air) |
| MW-42B | 1047 | 6/7/23 | G | W |
| MW-43B | 1112 | | B | W |
| MW-47B | 1154 | | B | W |
| MW-46B | 1252 | | G | W |
| MW-45B | 1400 | | G | W |
| MW-44B | 1515 | | G | W |
| MW-41B | 0942 | 6/8/23 | G | W |
| MW-37B | 1032 | | G | W |
| MW-36B | 1112 | | G | W |
| MW-32B | 1242 | | G | W |
| MW-39B | 1322 | | G | W |

Possible Hazard Identification
 Non-Hazard Flammable Skin Irritant Poison B Unknown Radiological

Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify) _____

Empty Kit Relinquished by: _____ Date: _____

Relinquished by: *[Signature]* Date/Time: 6/9/23 1615 Company: AECOM

Relinquished by: _____ Date/Time: _____ Company: _____

Relinquished by: _____ Date/Time: _____ Company: _____

Custody Seals Intact: Yes No **Custody Seal No.:** _____

Special Instructions/QC Requirements: _____

Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)
 Return To Client Disposal By Lab Archive For _____ Months

Special Instructions/Note:
 280-177698 Chain of Custody

Preservation Codes:
 A - HCL, B - NaOH, C - Zn Acetate, D - Nitric Acid, E - NaHSO4, F - MeOH, G - Amchlor, H - Ascorbic Acid, I - Ice, J - DI Water, K - EDTA, L - EDTA, M - Hexane, N - None, O - AsNaO2, P - Na2O4S, Q - Na2SO3, R - Na2S2O3, S - H2SO4, T - TSP Dodecahydrate, U - Acetone, V - MCAA, W - pH 4-5, X - Trizma, Y - Trizma, Z - other (specify)



Chain of Custody Record



Environmental Testing
 Analytical

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| Client Information Client Contact: Ms. Katie Abbott Company: AECOM Technical Services Inc. Address: 7595 Technology Way City: Denver State, Zip: CO, 80237 Phone: [blank] Email: katie.abbott@aecom.com Project Name: CCR - Basin Electric 2022 Site: [blank] | | Lab PM: McEntee, Patrick J E-Mail: Patrick.McEntee@et.eurofins.com PWSID: [blank] | | Sampler: [blank] Phone: [blank] | | Carrier Tracking No(s): 280-120021-33729.1 State of Origin: CO Page: Page 1 of 5 Job #: [blank] | | Preservation Codes: A - HCl B - NaOH C - Zn Acetate D - Nitric Acid E - NaHSO4 F - MeOH G - Anichlor H - Ascorbic Acid I - Ice J - DI Water K - EDTA L - EDTA Other: [blank] | | Preservation Codes: M - Hexane N - None O - AsNaO2 P - Na2O4S Q - Na2SO3 R - Na2SO3 S - H2SO4 T - TSP Dodecahydrate U - Acetone V - MCAA W - pH 4-5 Y - Trizma Z - other (specify) | |
| Due Date Requested: TAT Requested (days): [blank] Compliance Project: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No PO #: PO# 136447 WO #: AECOM Project# 60632474 Project #: 28020759 SSOV#: [blank] | | Analysis Requested 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) 2540C-Calc'd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) 9056A-28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tl) 7470A - Total Mercury 9315_Ra226 9320_Ra228 Combined Radium226 and Radium228 | | Total Number of Containers: 5 | | Special Instructions/Note: [blank] | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Sample Identification MW-40B MW-49B MW-14B MW-20B Dip-01 MW-38B MW-21B MW-38C EB-01 | | Sample Date 6/12/23 6/9/23 6/9/23 6/9/23 [blank] 6/9/23 6/9/23 6/9/23 6/9/23 | | Sample Time 1422 1732 1812 0824 - 0912 0947 1030 1230 | | Sample Type (C=Comp, G=grab) G G G G G G G G G | | Preservation Code: W W W W W W W W W | | Matrix (W=water, S=solid, O=wastewater, BT=Tissue, A=Air) W W W W W W W W W | |
| Possible Hazard Identification <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Hazard <input type="checkbox"/> Flammable <input type="checkbox"/> Skin Irritant <input type="checkbox"/> Poison B <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> Radiological | | Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify) [blank] | | Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month) <input type="checkbox"/> Return To Client <input type="checkbox"/> Disposal By Lab <input type="checkbox"/> Archive For _____ Months | | Special Instructions/QC Requirements: [blank] | | Method of Shipment: [blank] | | Empty Kit Relinquished by: [Signature] Relinquished by: [Signature] Relinquished by: [Signature] Relinquished by: [Signature] | |
| Date/Time: 6/9/23 1615 Date/Time: [blank] Date/Time: [blank] | | Date/Time: 6/9/23 1615 Date/Time: [blank] Date/Time: [blank] | | Date/Time: 6/9/23 1615 Date/Time: [blank] Date/Time: [blank] | | Date/Time: 6/9/23 1615 Date/Time: [blank] Date/Time: [blank] | | Date/Time: 6/9/23 1615 Date/Time: [blank] Date/Time: [blank] | | Date/Time: 6/9/23 1615 Date/Time: [blank] Date/Time: [blank] | |
| Relinquished by: [Signature] Company: AECOM | | Relinquished by: [Signature] Company: AECOM | | Relinquished by: [Signature] Company: AECOM | | Relinquished by: [Signature] Company: AECOM | | Relinquished by: [Signature] Company: AECOM | | Relinquished by: [Signature] Company: AECOM | |
| Custody Seals Intact: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | | Custody Seal No.: [blank] | | Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks: 8.5, 9.1, 10.2, 11.2, 12, 13, 14 | | Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks: [blank] | | Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks: [blank] | | Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks: [blank] | |



Chain of Custody Record



Environmental Testing
 Division

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Client Information | | Lab PIM: McEntee, Patrick J | | Carrier Tracking No(s): | | COC No: 280-120021-33729.1 | |
| Client Contact: Ms. Katie Abbott | | E-Mail: Patrick.McEntee@det.eurofins.com | | State of Origin: CO | | Page: Page 1 of 5 | |
| Company: AECOM Technical Services Inc. | | PWSID: | | Analysis Requested | | Job #: | |
| Address: 7595 Technology Way | | Due Date Requested: | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | Preservation Codes: | |
| City: Denver | | TAT Requested (days): | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | A - HCL B - NaOH C - Zn Acetate D - Nitric Acid E - NaHSO4 F - MeOH G - Anchlor H - Ascorbic Acid I - Ice J - DI Water K - EDTA L - EDA Other: | |
| State: Zip: CO, 80237 | | Compliance Project: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | M - Hexane N - None O - AsNaO2 P - Na2OAS Q - Na2SO3 R - Na2SO3 S - H2SO4 T - TSP Dodecahydrate U - Acetone V - MCAA W - pH 4.5 Y - Trizma Z - other (specify) | |
| Phone: | | PO #: 136447 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | Total Number of containers | |
| Email: katie.abbott@aecom.com | | WO #: AECOM Project# 60632474 | | 9320_Ra228 | | Special Instructions/Note: | |
| Project Name: CCR - Basin Electric 2022 | | Project #: 28020759 | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| Site: | | SSOW#: | | 7470A - Total Mercury | | | |
| Sample Identification | | Sample Date | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| RW-21 | | 6/9/23 | | 9320_Ra228 | | | |
| -RW-2 | | 6/9/23 | | 9315_Ra226 | | | |
| Sample Type (C=comp, G=grab) | | Sample Time | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| G | | 1122 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| G | | 1202 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| Matrix (W=water, S=solid, O=waste/oil, BT=Tissue, A=Air) | | Sample Time | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| W | | 1122 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| W | | 1202 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| Preservation Code: | | Sample Time | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| W | | 1122 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| W | | 1202 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | Sample Date | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6020A-11 Total Metals (Sb,As,Ba,Be,Cd,Cr,Co,Pb,Mo,Se,Tr) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 6010C-3 Total Metals (B, Ca & Li) | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 9056A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | 6/9/23 | | 2540C_Calcd - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |

Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Job Number: 280-177698-4

Login Number: 177698

List Number: 1

Creator: Naylis, Patrick J

List Source: Eurofins Denver

| Question | Answer | Comment |
|--|--------|---------|
| Radioactivity wasn't checked or is </= background as measured by a survey meter. | True | |
| The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact. | True | |
| Sample custody seals, if present, are intact. | True | |
| The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with. | True | |
| Samples were received on ice. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is acceptable. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is recorded. | True | |
| COC is present. | True | |
| COC is filled out in ink and legible. | True | |
| COC is filled out with all pertinent information. | True | |
| Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC? | True | |
| There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC. | True | |
| Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs) | True | |
| Sample containers have legible labels. | True | |
| Containers are not broken or leaking. | True | |
| Sample collection date/times are provided. | True | |
| Appropriate sample containers are used. | True | |
| Sample bottles are completely filled. | True | |
| Sample Preservation Verified. | N/A | |
| There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs | True | |
| Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4"). | N/A | |
| Multiphasic samples are not present. | True | |
| Samples do not require splitting or compositing. | True | |
| Residual Chlorine Checked. | N/A | |





Environment

Submitted to:
Basin Electric Laramie River Station

Submitted by:
AECOM
Denver, CO

August 10, 2023
Inorganic and Radiochemistry
Limited Data Validation Report

Basin Electric Laramie, Wyoming
Groundwater Sampling – June 2023
Analyzed by Eurofins TestAmerica Laboratories

Prepared By Lillia Shub
Data Validator

Overview

The samples analyzed for the June 2023 sampling event are listed in the Table of Samples Validated (page 3). Limited data validation was performed on a total of nineteen groundwater samples, one field duplicate sample, and one field blank Quality Control (QC) sample.

Samples were submitted to Eurofins TestAmerica Laboratories of Denver, Colorado.

The reviewed analyses were Select Total Metals by SW-846 Methods 6010C, 6020A, and 7470A, Chloride, Fluoride, and Sulfate by SW-846 Method 9056A, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) by SM2540C, Radium-226 by SW-846 Method 9315, Radium-228 by SW-846 Method 9320, and combined Radium-226 and Radium-228 by calculation.

The Analytical Limited Data Validation Checklist is presented as pages 4-8. Data were evaluated using guidance set forth in the *United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) contract Laboratory Program (CLP) National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Data Review*, document number EPA-542-R-20-006, November 2020; method requirements, and laboratory criteria.

The following data components were reviewed during the data validation procedure:

| Submitted Deliverables |
|---|
| Case Narratives (including any assigned laboratory flags) |
| Chain-of-Custody form(s) and sample integrity |
| Sample results, reporting limits, dilution factors |
| Holding times |
| Method (preparation) blank results |
| Field blank results |
| Laboratory control sample (LCS), laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) results |
| Matrix spike (MS), matrix spike duplicate (MSD) results |
| Laboratory duplicate (or spiked duplicate) results |
| Field duplicate (FD) results (calculated Relative Percent Differences [RPD]) |
| Electronic data deliverables (EDDs) – EQulS format |

Data Validation Qualifiers Assigned During this Review

- J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
- UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.

Assigned qualifiers are detailed in the Analytical Data Validation Checklist and are summarized in the Table of Qualified Analytical Results (page 9).

Overall Data Assessment

Field and laboratory precision, field and laboratory accuracy, method compliance, and data set completeness are determined to be acceptable based on the data reported. No data were missing or rejected. All reported data are suitable for their intended use with the qualifications and clarifications noted.

**Table of Samples Validated
Basin Electric – Laramie, Wyoming
June 2023 Sampling
Eurofins TestAmerica Laboratories**

| Matrix | Sample ID | Lab SDG | Lab ID | Sample Type |
|--------|-----------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| WG | MW-42B | 280-177698-1 280-177698-2 | 280-177698-1 | N |
| WG | MW-43B | | 280-177698-2 | N |
| WG | MW-47B | | 280-177698-3 | N |
| WG | MW-46B | | 280-177698-4 | N |
| WG | MW-45B | | 280-177698-5 | N |
| WG | MW-44B | | 280-177698-6 | N |
| WG | MW-41B | | 280-177698-7 | N |
| WG | MW-37B | | 280-177698-8 | N |
| WG | MW-36B | | 280-177698-9 | N |
| WG | MW-32B | | 280-177698-10 | N |
| WG | MW-39B | | 280-177698-11 | N |
| WG | MW-40B | | 280-177698-12 | N |
| WG | MW-49B | | 280-177698-13 | N |
| WG | MW-14B | | 280-177698-14 | N |
| WG | MW-20B | | 280-177698-15 | N |
| WG | DUP-01 | | 280-177698-16 | FD |
| WG | MW-38B | | 280-177698-17 | N |
| WG | MW-21B | | 280-177698-18 | N |
| WG | MW-38C | | 280-177698-19 | N |
| WQ | EB-01 | | 280-177698-20 | EB |

EB- Equipment Blank
 FD – Field Duplicate Sample
 ID – Identification
 N – Normal Investigative Sample

SDG – Sample Delivery Group
 WG – Ground Water
 WQ – Water Quality Sample

| | |
|--|---|
| Project Name: Basin Electric Laramie River Station, Wyoming | Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica Denver, Colorado (6010C and 6020A Metals, 7470A Mercury, 9056A Anions, and TDS) Eurofins TestAmerica Saint Louis, Missouri (Radiochemistry) |
| Project Reference: Sampling – June 2023 | Sample Matrix: Groundwater |
| AECOM Project: 60632474 Task 9.2 | Sample Start Date: 06/07/2023 |
| Validator/Date Validated: Lillia Shub August 10, 2023 | Sample End Date: 06/09/2023 |
| Secondary Review by: Katie Abbott | Secondary Review Date: August 11, 2023 |
| Samples Analyzed: See Table of Samples Validated (page 3). | |
| Parameters Validated: Total Metals by SW-846 Method 6010C Total Metals by SW-846 Method 6020A Total Mercury by SW-846 Method 7470A Anions (Chloride, Fluoride, and Sulfate) by SW-846 Method 9056A TDS by SM2540C Radium-226 by SW-846 Method 9315 Radium-228 by SW-846 Method 9320 Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228 | |
| Laboratory Project IDs/Sample Delivery Groups (SDGs): 280-177698-1 and 280-177698-2 | |

| PRECISION, ACCURACY, METHOD COMPLIANCE, AND COMPLETENESS ASSESSMENT | | | | | | |
|--|----------|------------|--|--------------|-----|----------|
| Precision: | X | Acceptable | | Unacceptable | LMS | Initials |
| <p>Comments: Precision is the measure of variability of individual sample measurements. Field precision was evaluated by reviewing the field duplicate results, and laboratory precision was evaluated by reviewing method duplicate sample results, laboratory control sample (LCS) to laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) results, and matrix spike (MS) to matrix spike duplicate (MSD) results.</p> <p>The following criteria was used to evaluate the field duplicate results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For results where both reported values were greater than five times the reporting limit (RL), the relative percent difference between the samples and its field duplicate were compared against a criterion of 30%. • For results where either value reported was less than five times the RL, the absolute difference between the results was compared to a criterion of agreement within ± 2 times the RL. • The replicate error ratio (RER) for radiochemical parameters was ≤ 2. <p>Laboratory criteria was used to evaluate laboratory precision.</p> <p>Field and laboratory precision is acceptable because no data are rejected. Precision measurements are reviewed in items 17 and 21.</p> | | | | | | |
| Accuracy: | X | Acceptable | | Unacceptable | LMS | Initials |
| <p>Comments: Field accuracy, a measure of the sampling bias, can be determined by reviewing field and equipment blank results for evidence of sample contamination stemming from sampling activities and/or field conditions. Laboratory accuracy is a measure of the system bias, and was measured by evaluating LCS, MS, and MSD percent recoveries (%Rs). LCS %Rs demonstrated the overall performance of the analysis. MS, MSD %Rs provided information on sample matrix interferences. Accuracy measurements were evaluated using laboratory control limits. Overall field and laboratory accuracy is acceptable because the majority of the results are unqualified based on accuracy and no data are rejected. Accuracy measurements are reviewed in items 12, 14, 15, 16, and 20.</p> | | | | | | |
| Method Compliance: | X | Acceptable | | Unacceptable | LMS | Initials |
| <p>Comments: For this data set, method compliance was determined by evaluating sample integrity, holding time, reporting limits, and laboratory blanks against method specified requirements. Overall method compliance is acceptable because the majority of the results were unqualified based on the method compliance parameters reviewed. Method compliance measurements are also reviewed in items 4, 6, 8, 11, 13, 18, 19, 20, and 22.</p> | | | | | | |
| Completeness: | X | Acceptable | | Unacceptable | LMS | Initials |
| <p>Comments: Completeness is the overall ratio of the number of samples planned versus the number of samples with valid analyses. Determination of completeness included a review of chain of custody records, laboratory analytical methods and reporting limits, laboratory case narratives, and project requirements. Completeness also included 100% review of the laboratory sample data results, QC summary reports, and electronic data deliverables (EDDs). Any EDD modifications were made as documented in item 23.</p> <p>No data are missing or rejected; therefore, the completeness of the data set was calculated to be 100% and is acceptable. All reported data are suitable for their intended use as reported with the clarifications and qualifications noted.</p> | | | | | | |

VALIDATION CRITERIA CHECK

Data validation qualifiers potentially assigned during this review:

- J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
- UJ The result is an estimated non detect.

The following comments identifying sample results requiring qualification are in bold type. The other comments are of interest, but qualification of the sample results is not necessary.

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----|--|----|-----|----------|
| 1. Did the laboratory identify any non-conformances related to the analytical results? | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
|--|----------|-----|--|----|-----|----------|

Comments: Data qualification, if any, related to the narrative comments and/or assigned laboratory flags contained in the analytical reports are discussed in the following sections.

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|-----|----------|
| 2. Were sample Chain-of-Custody (COC) forms complete? | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|-----|----------|

Comments: No issues were observed, and custody was maintained as evidenced by field and laboratory personnel signatures, dates, and times of receipt.

Data Packages 280-177698-1 and 280-177698-2

Samples RW-1 and RW-2 listed on the COC are included in separate data packages (280-177698-3 and 280-177698-4) did not require validation.

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----|----------|----|-----|----------|
| 3. Were all the analyses requested for the samples on the COCs completed by the laboratory? | | Yes | X | No | LMS | Initials |
|---|--|-----|----------|----|-----|----------|

Comments: With the exception noted below, all requested analyses were completed by the laboratory.

Data Package 280-177698-1

The radium-226 combined radium-226/radium-228 method was canceled for sample EB-01 due to insufficient volume.

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----|--|----|-----|----------|
| 4. Were samples received in good condition and at the appropriate temperature? | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
|--|----------|-----|--|----|-----|----------|

Comments: All samples were received intact and within the recommended <6°C temperature for the applicable methods.

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|-----|----------|
| 5. Were the reported analytical methods in compliance with WP/QAPP, permit, or COC? | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|-----|----------|

Comments: The reported target analytes and methods are in compliance with parameters and methods listed on the COC.

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----|----------|----|-----|----------|
| 6. Were detection limits in accordance with WP/QAPP, permit, or method? | | Yes | X | No | LMS | Initials |
|---|--|-----|----------|----|-----|----------|

Comments: No results were reported as non-detect at elevated reporting limits.

Radiological parameters, if the associated uncertainty was greater than the reported result, the 2 sigma (σ) uncertainty multiplied by 1.65 was less than or equal to the specified detection limit, with the exception noted below.

| Samples | Analyte | Result (pCi/L) | 2 Sigma (σ) Uncertainty | MDC (pCi/L) | Qualification |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Data Package 280-177698-1 | | | | | |
| MW-36B | Radium-226 | 0.0428 | ± 0.0541 | 0.0891 | As the 2σ uncertainty multiplied by 1.65 was greater than the reported minimum detectable concentration (MDC), the associated results were qualified as estimated (UJ v). |
| MW-37B | Combined Radium | 0.305 | ± 0.362 | 0.592 | |
| MW-38C | Combined Radium | 0.348 | ± 0.393 | 0.627 | |
| | Radium-228 | 0.375 | ± 0.39 | 0.627 | |
| MW-39B | Combined Radium | 0.5 | ± 0.586 | 0.964 | |
| MW-49B | Radium-226 | 0.0613 | ± 0.0662 | 0.105 | |
| | Radium-228 | 0.339 | ± 0.355 | 0.571 | |

σ – Sigma
 \pm – Plus or Minus

pCi/L – Picocuries per Liter
 UJ – Estimated Non Detect

| VALIDATION CRITERIA CHECK | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-----|----------|
| MDC – Minimum Detectable Concentration | | | v – Compound Identification Issue | | | |
| 7. Do the laboratory reports include only those constituents requested to be reported for a specific analytical method? | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: Only the requested target analytes were reported. | | | | | | |
| 8. Were sample holding times met? | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: Sample preparation and analytical holding times were within the method requirements. | | | | | | |
| 9. Were correct concentration units reported? | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: Metals were reported as mg/L (ppm), TDS and anions were reported as ug/L (ppb), and radiochemistry data was reported in picocuries per liter (pCi/L). | | | | | | |
| 10. Were the reporting requirements for flagged data met? | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: Laboratory flags were reviewed and considered during the data validation procedure. Data validation qualifiers override assigned laboratory flags. | | | | | | |
| 11. Were laboratory blank samples free of target analyte contamination? | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: The laboratory blanks were free of target analyte contamination. | | | | | | |
| 12. Were trip blank, field blank, and/or equipment rinse blank samples free of target analyte contamination? | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: A trip blank and field blank were not required for this sampling event. One equipment blank was collected. The field blank was free of target analyte contamination. | | | | | | |
| 13. Were instrument calibrations within method or data validation control limits? | NA | Yes | NA | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: Not applicable for the analytical methods reported. | | | | | | |
| 14. Were surrogate/tracer recoveries within control limits? | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: The associated tracer recoveries were within control limits. | | | | | | |
| 15. Were laboratory control sample recoveries and relative percent difference (RPDs) within control limits? | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: LCS and/or LCSD percent recoveries and RPDs were within laboratory control limits. | | | | | | |
| 16. Were matrix spike recoveries and RPDs within control limits? | | Yes | X | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: A matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) was performed on sample MW-21B for all analyses | | | | | | |
| Sample | Analyte | %R (Limits) | RPD (Limits) | Comment | | |
| MW-21B | Cobalt | 93/94 (94-115) | 2 (20) | As the potential bias was considered to be low, the associated results were qualified as estimated (UJ/J- m). | | |
| | Fluoride | 93/79 (80-120) | 14 (20) | | | |
| Bold indicates a recovery or RPD outside acceptance limit. | | | | m – Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate recovery failure | | |
| %R – Percent Recovery | | | | RPD – Relative Percent Difference | | |
| J- – Estimated, with a potential los bias | | | | UJ – Estimated non detect | | |
| Refer to the Table of Qualified Analytical Results for a listing of the samples, analytes, and concentrations qualified (page 9). | | | | | | |
| 17. Were laboratory duplicate RPDs and/or serial dilution %Ds within control limits? | X | Yes | X | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: A laboratory duplicate was not performed for anions and total dissolved solids. Serial dilutions were not evaluated for this level of validation. | | | | | | |

| VALIDATION CRITERIA CHECK | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--------------------|-----------|----|-----|----------|
| 18. Were organic system performance criteria met? | NA | Yes | NA | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: Not applicable for this level of limited data validation or for the methods reported. | | | | | | |
| 19. Were internal standards within method criteria for ICP-MS sample analyses? | NA | Yes | NA | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: Not evaluated for this level of data validation. No internal standard issues were noted in the case narrative. | | | | | | |
| 20. Were system performance criteria met? | NA | Yes | NA | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: Not evaluated for this level of data validation. Inorganic system performance data were not supplied in the analytical laboratory reports and are therefore not included in this data review. | | | | | | |
| 21. Were field duplicates collected? If so, discuss the precision (RPD and/or RER) of the results. | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
| Duplicate Sample No. | DUP-01 | Primary Sample No. | MW-20B | | | |
| Comments: The duplicate sample pair met the acceptance criteria. | | | | | | |
| 22. Were qualitative criteria for organic target analyte identification met? | NA | Yes | NA | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: Not applicable for this level of limited data validation or for the methods reported. | | | | | | |
| 23. Were 10% of the EDD concentrations and reporting limits compared to the hardcopy data reports? | X | Yes | | No | LMS | Initials |
| Comments: During the validation procedure, 10% of the positive sample concentrations and 100% of the RLs for project samples were compared to hardcopy laboratory reports. The data validator made sure that RLs were entered into the correct EDD fields. | | | | | | |
| 24. General Comments: Data were also evaluated using guidance set forth in the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) contract Laboratory Program (CLP) National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Data Review, document number EPA-542-R-20-006, November 2020; method requirements, and laboratory criteria. All data is considered usable. | | | | | | |

**Table of Qualified Analytical Results
Basin Electric – Laramie, Wyoming
June 2023 Sampling
Eurofins TestAmerica Laboratories**

| Laboratory Group | Sample ID | Lab Sample ID | Analytical Method | Analyte | Flag | Reason Code |
|------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------|-------------|
| 280-177698-1 | MW-37B | 280-177698-8 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | UJ | v |
| 280-177698-1 | MW-36B | 280-177698-9 | SW9315 | Radium-226 | UJ | v |
| 280-177698-1 | MW-39B | 280-177698-11 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | UJ | v |
| 280-177698-1 | MW-49B | 280-177698-13 | SW9315 | Radium-226 | UJ | v |
| 280-177698-1 | MW-49B | 280-177698-13 | SW9320 | Radium-228 | UJ | v |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-21B | 280-177698-18 | SW6020B | Cobalt | UJ | m |
| 280-177698-2 | MW-21B | 280-177698-18 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | m |
| 280-177698-1 | MW-38C | 280-177698-19 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | UJ | v |
| 280-177698-1 | MW-38C | 280-177698-19 | SW9320 | Radium-228 | UJ | v |

ID – Identification

J- – The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.

m – Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate recovery failure

UJ - The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.

v – Compound Identification Issue

| |
|----|
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |

ANALYTICAL REPORT

PREPARED FOR

Attn: Ms. Katie Abbott
AECOM Technical Services Inc.
6200 S. Quebec Street
Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111

Generated 12/5/2023 6:16:40 AM

JOB DESCRIPTION

Basin 2023 Support

JOB NUMBER

280-183794-1


Eurofins Denver

Job Notes

This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. The results relate only to the samples tested. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

The test results in this report relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory and will meet all requirements of the methodology, with any exceptions noted. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the express written approval of the laboratory. All questions should be directed to the Eurofins TestAmerica Project Manager.

Authorization



Generated
12/5/2023 6:16:40 AM

Authorized for release by
Patrick McEntee, Client Service Manager
Patrick.McEntee@et.eurofinsus.com
(303)736-0107



Table of Contents

| | |
|----------------------------------|----|
| Cover Page | 1 |
| Table of Contents | 3 |
| Definitions | 4 |
| Case Narrative | 5 |
| Method Summary | 7 |
| Sample Summary | 8 |
| Client Sample Results | 9 |
| QC Sample Results | 22 |
| QC Association | 25 |
| Chronicle | 27 |
| Certification Summary | 33 |
| Chain of Custody | 34 |
| Receipt Checklists | 39 |
| Tracer Carrier Summary | 41 |

Definitions/Glossary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Qualifiers

Rad

| Qualifier | Qualifier Description |
|-----------|---|
| U | Result is less than the sample detection limit. |

Glossary

| Abbreviation | These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. |
|----------------|---|
| α | Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis |
| %R | Percent Recovery |
| CFL | Contains Free Liquid |
| CFU | Colony Forming Unit |
| CNF | Contains No Free Liquid |
| DER | Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) |
| Dil Fac | Dilution Factor |
| DL | Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) |
| DL, RA, RE, IN | Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample |
| DLC | Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| EDL | Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) |
| LOD | Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) |
| LOQ | Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE) |
| MCL | EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level" |
| MDA | Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) |
| MDC | Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| MDL | Method Detection Limit |
| ML | Minimum Level (Dioxin) |
| MPN | Most Probable Number |
| MQL | Method Quantitation Limit |
| NC | Not Calculated |
| ND | Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) |
| NEG | Negative / Absent |
| POS | Positive / Present |
| PQL | Practical Quantitation Limit |
| PRES | Presumptive |
| QC | Quality Control |
| RER | Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry) |
| RL | Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points |
| TEF | Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) |
| TEQ | Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin) |
| TNTC | Too Numerous To Count |

Case Narrative

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Project: Basin 2023 Support

Report Number: 280-183794-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 10/30/2023 3:50 PM. Unless otherwise noted below, the samples arrived in good condition, and where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperatures of the 5 coolers at receipt time were 0.4° C, 0.7° C, 0.9° C, 2.0° C and 2.1° C.

Receipt Exceptions

Sample MW-45B is listed twice on the chain of custody. The second listing is logged as MW-44B, 280-183794-16, per container labels with matching date and time of 10/27/23 at 12:45.

Radium 226 and Radium 228 data are presented in SDG 280-183794-1. All other requested data are presented in SDG 280-183794-2.

RADIUM-226 (GFPC)

Samples MW-38C (280-183794-1), MW-21B (280-183794-2), MW-14BR (280-183794-3), MW-20B (280-183794-4), MW-38B (280-183794-5), MW-49B (280-183794-6), MW-52B (280-183794-7), MW-40B (280-183794-8), MW-39B (280-183794-9), MW-41B (280-183794-10), MW-42B (280-183794-11), MW-43B (280-183794-12), MW-47B (280-183794-13), MW-46B (280-183794-14), MW-45B (280-183794-15), MW-44B (280-183794-16), MW-37B (280-183794-17), MW-36B (280-183794-18), Dup-01 (280-183794-19), MW-32B (280-183794-20) and Field Blank (280-183794-21) were analyzed for Radium-226 (GFPC) in accordance with SW 846 9315. The samples were prepared on 11/02/2023 and analyzed on 12/01/2023.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

RADIUM-228

Samples MW-38C (280-183794-1), MW-21B (280-183794-2), MW-14BR (280-183794-3), MW-20B (280-183794-4), MW-38B (280-183794-5), MW-49B (280-183794-6), MW-52B (280-183794-7), MW-40B (280-183794-8), MW-39B (280-183794-9), MW-41B (280-183794-10), MW-42B (280-183794-11), MW-43B (280-183794-12), MW-47B (280-183794-13), MW-46B (280-183794-14), MW-45B (280-183794-15), MW-44B (280-183794-16), MW-37B (280-183794-17), MW-36B (280-183794-18), Dup-01 (280-183794-19), MW-32B (280-183794-20) and Field Blank (280-183794-21) were analyzed for Radium-228 in accordance with 9320. The samples were prepared on 11/02/2023 and analyzed on 11/27/2023.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

RADIUM-226/RADIUM-228 (GFPC)

Samples MW-38C (280-183794-1), MW-21B (280-183794-2), MW-14BR (280-183794-3), MW-20B (280-183794-4), MW-38B

Case Narrative

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Job ID: 280-183794-1 (Continued)

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver (Continued)

(280-183794-5), MW-49B (280-183794-6), MW-52B (280-183794-7), MW-40B (280-183794-8), MW-39B (280-183794-9), MW-41B (280-183794-10), MW-42B (280-183794-11), MW-43B (280-183794-12), MW-47B (280-183794-13), MW-46B (280-183794-14), MW-45B (280-183794-15), MW-44B (280-183794-16), MW-37B (280-183794-17), MW-36B (280-183794-18), Dup-01 (280-183794-19), MW-32B (280-183794-20) and Field Blank (280-183794-21) were analyzed for Radium-226/Radium-228 (GFPC) in accordance with 9315/9320. The samples were analyzed on 12/04/2023.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

Method Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

| Method | Method Description | Protocol | Laboratory |
|-------------|--|----------|------------|
| 9315 | Radium-226 (GFPC) | SW846 | EET SL |
| 9320 | Radium-228 (GFPC) | SW846 | EET SL |
| Ra226_Ra228 | Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228 | TAL-STL | EET SL |
| PrecSep_0 | Preparation, Precipitate Separation | None | EET SL |
| PrecSep-21 | Preparation, Precipitate Separation (21-Day In-Growth) | None | EET SL |

Protocol References:

None = None

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

TAL-STL = TestAmerica Laboratories, St. Louis, Facility Standard Operating Procedure.

Laboratory References:


EET SL = Eurofins St. Louis, 13715 Rider Trail North, Earth City, MO 63045, TEL (314)298-8566

Sample Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Matrix | Collected | Received |
|---------------|------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | Water | 10/26/23 08:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | Water | 10/26/23 09:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | Water | 10/26/23 10:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | Water | 10/26/23 11:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-5 | MW-38B | Water | 10/26/23 12:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-6 | MW-49B | Water | 10/26/23 13:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-7 | MW-52B | Water | 10/26/23 15:45 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-8 | MW-40B | Water | 10/26/23 16:30 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-9 | MW-39B | Water | 10/26/23 17:15 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-10 | MW-41B | Water | 10/27/23 08:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-11 | MW-42B | Water | 10/27/23 09:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-12 | MW-43B | Water | 10/27/23 09:45 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-13 | MW-47B | Water | 10/27/23 10:30 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-14 | MW-46B | Water | 10/27/23 11:15 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-15 | MW-45B | Water | 10/27/23 12:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-16 | MW-44B | Water | 10/27/23 12:45 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-17 | MW-37B | Water | 10/27/23 13:15 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-18 | MW-36B | Water | 10/27/23 14:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-19 | Dup-01 | Water | 10/27/23 00:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | Water | 10/27/23 15:20 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | Water | 10/27/23 15:40 | 10/30/23 15:50 |



Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: SW846 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 10/26/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | -0.0209 | U | 0.0727 | 0.0727 | 1.00 | 0.158 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 10:07 | 12/01/23 20:09 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 89.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 10:07 | 12/01/23 20:09 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0129 | U | 0.0801 | 0.0801 | 1.00 | 0.156 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 10:07 | 12/01/23 20:09 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 89.6 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 10:07 | 12/01/23 20:09 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14BR
Date Collected: 10/26/23 10:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0569 | U | 0.0953 | 0.0955 | 1.00 | 0.166 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 10:07 | 12/01/23 20:09 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 94.6 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 10:07 | 12/01/23 20:09 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 11:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0500 | U | 0.0909 | 0.0910 | 1.00 | 0.160 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 10:07 | 12/01/23 20:10 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 91.6 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 10:07 | 12/01/23 20:10 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.284 | | 0.131 | 0.134 | 1.00 | 0.150 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:13 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 81.0 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:13 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: SW846 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 13:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0807 | U | 0.0924 | 0.0927 | 1.00 | 0.149 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:13 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 79.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:13 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-52B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 15:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.346 | | 0.167 | 0.170 | 1.00 | 0.183 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 75.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 16:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0435 | U | 0.0802 | 0.0803 | 1.00 | 0.143 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 84.0 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 17:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.153 | | 0.0954 | 0.0964 | 1.00 | 0.118 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 88.6 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.489 | | 0.169 | 0.175 | 1.00 | 0.148 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 96.5 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: SW846 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.354 | | 0.168 | 0.171 | 1.00 | 0.202 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 89.4 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.167 | U | 0.130 | 0.131 | 1.00 | 0.185 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 85.4 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 10:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.335 | | 0.135 | 0.138 | 1.00 | 0.130 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 81.5 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:14 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 11:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.236 | | 0.145 | 0.146 | 1.00 | 0.191 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:28 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 86.9 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:28 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.495 | | 0.179 | 0.184 | 1.00 | 0.177 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:28 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 92.6 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:28 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: SW846 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.207 | | 0.134 | 0.136 | 1.00 | 0.180 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:28 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 89.6 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:28 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 13:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.142 | U | 0.129 | 0.130 | 1.00 | 0.200 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:28 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 93.6 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:28 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 14:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.171 | | 0.100 | 0.101 | 1.00 | 0.124 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:30 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 87.4 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:30 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 10/27/23 00:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.137 | | 0.0927 | 0.0935 | 1.00 | 0.124 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:30 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 88.9 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:30 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:20
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.0469 | U | 0.0751 | 0.0752 | 1.00 | 0.131 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:30 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 91.9 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:30 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: SW846 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: Field Blank
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:40
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-226 | 0.206 | | 0.102 | 0.104 | 1.00 | 0.114 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:31 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 94.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 22:31 | 1 |

Method: SW846 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 10/26/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | -0.118 | U | 0.319 | 0.319 | 1.00 | 0.635 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:49 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 89.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:49 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 81.5 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:49 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.271 | U | 0.370 | 0.371 | 1.00 | 0.621 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:49 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 89.6 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:49 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 80.4 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:49 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14BR
Date Collected: 10/26/23 10:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | -0.149 | U | 0.272 | 0.272 | 1.00 | 0.568 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:49 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 94.6 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:49 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 79.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:49 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 11:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | -0.377 | U | 0.292 | 0.294 | 1.00 | 0.670 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:49 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: SW846 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 91.6 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:49 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 76.3 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:49 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.565 | | 0.354 | 0.358 | 1.00 | 0.512 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 81.0 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 89.0 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 13:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.169 | U | 0.382 | 0.382 | 1.00 | 0.668 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 79.3 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 80.7 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-52B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 15:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.792 | | 0.531 | 0.536 | 1.00 | 0.781 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 75.1 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 83.7 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 16:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.209 | U | 0.365 | 0.366 | 1.00 | 0.626 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 84.0 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 83.0 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: SW846 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 17:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.425 | U | 0.354 | 0.356 | 1.00 | 0.550 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:00 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 88.6 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:00 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 81.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:00 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 1.04 | | 0.472 | 0.481 | 1.00 | 0.632 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:00 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 96.5 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:00 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 87.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:00 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.802 | | 0.441 | 0.447 | 1.00 | 0.619 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:01 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 89.4 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:01 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 90.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:01 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.445 | U | 0.459 | 0.461 | 1.00 | 0.741 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:01 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 85.4 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:01 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 85.6 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:01 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 10:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 1.00 | | 0.426 | 0.436 | 1.00 | 0.553 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:01 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: SW846 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC) (Continued)

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 81.5 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:01 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 89.3 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:01 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 11:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.640 | U | 0.510 | 0.513 | 1.00 | 0.791 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:10 | 1 |

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 86.9 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:10 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 82.6 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:10 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 2.09 | | 0.615 | 0.644 | 1.00 | 0.714 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:11 | 1 |

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 92.6 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:11 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 87.5 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:11 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.703 | | 0.459 | 0.464 | 1.00 | 0.691 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 89.6 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 98.3 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 13:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.629 | U | 0.464 | 0.467 | 1.00 | 0.706 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |

| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 93.6 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 80.4 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: SW846 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 14:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.775 | | 0.370 | 0.377 | 1.00 | 0.500 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 87.4 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 90.8 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 10/27/23 00:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.731 | | 0.353 | 0.359 | 1.00 | 0.473 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 88.9 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 88.2 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:20
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.0975 | U | 0.300 | 0.300 | 1.00 | 0.535 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 91.9 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 84.1 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:09 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Field Blank
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:40
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.762 | | 0.384 | 0.391 | 1.00 | 0.531 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:10 | 1 |
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | 94.3 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:10 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 82.2 | | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 16:10 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: TAL-STL Ra226_Ra228 - Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 10/26/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | -0.139 | U | 0.327 | 0.327 | 5.00 | 0.635 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:22 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.284 | U | 0.379 | 0.380 | 5.00 | 0.621 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:22 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14BR
Date Collected: 10/26/23 10:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | -0.0918 | U | 0.288 | 0.288 | 5.00 | 0.568 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:22 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 11:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | -0.327 | U | 0.306 | 0.308 | 5.00 | 0.670 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:24 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.849 | | 0.377 | 0.382 | 5.00 | 0.512 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 13:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.250 | U | 0.393 | 0.393 | 5.00 | 0.668 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: TAL-STL Ra226_Ra228 - Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228

Client Sample ID: MW-52B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 15:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 1.14 | | 0.557 | 0.562 | 5.00 | 0.781 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 16:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.253 | U | 0.374 | 0.375 | 5.00 | 0.626 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 17:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.578 | | 0.367 | 0.369 | 5.00 | 0.550 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 1.53 | | 0.501 | 0.512 | 5.00 | 0.632 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 1.16 | | 0.472 | 0.479 | 5.00 | 0.619 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.612 | U | 0.477 | 0.479 | 5.00 | 0.741 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: TAL-STL Ra226_Ra228 - Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 10:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 1.34 | | 0.447 | 0.457 | 5.00 | 0.553 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 11:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.876 | | 0.530 | 0.533 | 5.00 | 0.791 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 2.58 | | 0.641 | 0.670 | 5.00 | 0.714 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.910 | | 0.478 | 0.484 | 5.00 | 0.691 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 13:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.771 | | 0.482 | 0.485 | 5.00 | 0.706 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 14:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.946 | | 0.383 | 0.390 | 5.00 | 0.500 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
 Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: TAL-STL Ra226_Ra228 - Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 10/27/23 00:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.868 | | 0.365 | 0.371 | 5.00 | 0.473 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:20
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.144 | U | 0.309 | 0.309 | 5.00 | 0.535 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Field Blank
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:40
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Combined Radium 226 + 228 | 0.968 | | 0.397 | 0.405 | 5.00 | 0.531 | pCi/L | | 12/04/23 22:20 | 1 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-634830/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638995

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634830

| Analyte | MB MB | | Count | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | Result | Qualifier | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | | |
| Radium-226 | 0.01363 | U | 0.0642 | 0.0642 | 1.00 | 0.128 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:13 | 1 |
| Carrier | MB MB | | Limits | | | | | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Ba Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | 30 - 110 | | | | | 11/02/23 06:21 | 12/01/23 20:13 | 1 |
| | 88.4 | | | | | | | | | |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 160-634830/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638995

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634830

| Analyte | LCS LCS | | Spike | LCS | LCS | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec | Limits |
|------------|---------|-----------|----------|--------|------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|------|----------|--------|
| | Result | Qualifier | Added | Result | Qual | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | | |
| Radium-226 | | | 11.3 | 11.36 | | 1.21 | 1.00 | 0.129 | pCi/L | 100 | 75 - 125 | |
| Carrier | LCS LCS | | Limits | | | | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 94.6 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638995

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634830

| Analyte | Sample Sample | | Spike | MS | MS | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec | Limits |
|------------|---------------|-----------|----------|--------|------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|------|----------|--------|
| | Result | Qual | Added | Result | Qual | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | | |
| Radium-226 | 0.0469 | U | 11.2 | 10.43 | | 1.14 | 1.00 | 0.138 | pCi/L | 92 | 60 - 140 | |
| Carrier | MS MS | | Limits | | | | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 87.7 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638995

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634830

| Analyte | Sample Sample | | Spike | MSD | MSD | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec | Limits | RER | Limit |
|------------|---------------|-----------|----------|--------|------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|------|----------|--------|-----|-------|
| | Result | Qual | Added | Result | Qual | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | | | | |
| Radium-226 | 0.0469 | U | 11.1 | 11.23 | | 1.20 | 1.00 | 0.127 | pCi/L | 101 | 60 - 140 | 0.35 | 1 | |
| Carrier | MSD MSD | | Limits | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ba Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | 30 - 110 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 93.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-634970/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638995

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634970

| Analyte | MB MB | | Count | Total | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | Result | Qualifier | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Uncert. (2σ+/-) | | | | | | |
| Radium-226 | 0.02900 | U | 0.0632 | 0.0633 | 1.00 | 0.117 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 10:07 | 12/01/23 18:13 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-634970/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638995

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634970

| Carrier | MB %Yield | MB Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 90.6 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 10:07 | 12/01/23 18:13 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 160-634970/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638995

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634970

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qual | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|------|----------------|
| Radium-226 | 11.3 | 11.27 | | 1.21 | 1.00 | 0.146 | pCi/L | 99 | 75 - 125 |

| Carrier | LCS %Yield | LCS Qualifier | Limits |
|------------|---------------|------------------|----------|
| Ba Carrier | 96.0 | | 30 - 110 |

Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-634834/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638352

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634834

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Radium-228 | 0.1606 | U | 0.312 | 0.312 | 1.00 | 0.540 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |

| Carrier | MB %Yield | MB Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ba Carrier | 88.4 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 85.6 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 06:55 | 11/27/23 15:59 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 160-634834/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638352

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634834

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qual | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|------|----------------|
| Radium-228 | 7.69 | 9.073 | | 1.41 | 1.00 | 0.705 | pCi/L | 118 | 75 - 125 |

| Carrier | LCS %Yield | LCS Qualifier | Limits |
|------------|---------------|------------------|----------|
| Ba Carrier | 94.6 | | 30 - 110 |
| Y Carrier | 78.1 | | 30 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638362

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634834

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qual | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qual | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|-------|------|----------------|
| Radium-228 | 0.0975 | U | 7.62 | 8.848 | | 1.28 | 1.00 | 0.541 | pCi/L | 115 | 60 - 140 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638362

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634834

| MS MS | | | |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits |
| Ba Carrier | 87.7 | | 30 - 110 |
| Y Carrier | 74.8 | | 30 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638362

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634834

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qual | Spike Added | MSD Result | MSD Qual | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits | | RER | RER Limit |
|------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------------|------|-----|-----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | 60 - 140 | 0.06 | 1 | |
| Radium-228 | 0.0975 | U | 7.55 | 8.692 | | 1.21 | 1.00 | 0.509 | pCi/L | 114 | 60 - 140 | 0.06 | 1 | |

| MSD MSD | | | |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits |
| Ba Carrier | 93.6 | | 30 - 110 |
| Y Carrier | 81.5 | | 30 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-634971/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638352

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634971

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | Count Uncert. (2σ+/-) | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | Prepared | | Analyzed | | Dil Fac |
|------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:37 | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:37 | 1 |
| Radium-228 | 0.3322 | U | 0.399 | 0.400 | 1.00 | 0.659 | pCi/L | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:37 | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:37 | 1 |

| MB MB | | | | Prepared | | Analyzed | | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:37 | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:37 | 1 |
| Ba Carrier | 90.6 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:37 | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:37 | 1 |
| Y Carrier | 76.6 | | 30 - 110 | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:37 | 11/02/23 10:11 | 11/27/23 12:37 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 160-634971/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 638352

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 634971

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qual | Total Uncert. (2σ+/-) | RL | MDC | Unit | %Rec | %Rec Limits | |
|------------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | 75 - 125 | |
| Radium-228 | 7.69 | 8.143 | | 1.21 | 1.00 | 0.560 | pCi/L | 106 | 75 - 125 | |

| LCS LCS | | | |
|------------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Carrier | %Yield | Qualifier | Limits |
| Ba Carrier | 96.0 | | 30 - 110 |
| Y Carrier | 78.5 | | 30 - 110 |

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Rad

Prep Batch: 634830

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|------------|------------|
| 280-183794-5 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-6 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-7 | MW-52B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-8 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-9 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-10 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-11 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-12 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-13 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-14 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-15 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-16 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-17 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-18 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-19 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| MB 160-634830/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| LCS 160-634830/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-20 MS | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-20 MSD | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |

Prep Batch: 634834

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------------|
| 280-183794-5 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-6 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-7 | MW-52B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-8 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-9 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-10 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-11 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-12 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-13 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-14 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-15 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-16 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-17 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-18 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-19 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| MB 160-634834/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| LCS 160-634834/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-20 MS | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-20 MSD | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |

Prep Batch: 634970

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|------------|------------|
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |

Eurofins Denver

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Rad (Continued)

Prep Batch: 634970 (Continued)

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|------------|------------|
| 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| MB 160-634970/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |
| LCS 160-634970/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep-21 | |

Prep Batch: 634971

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------------|
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| MB 160-634971/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |
| LCS 160-634971/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | PrecSep_0 | |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Client Sample ID: MW-38C

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1

Date Collected: 10/26/23 08:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 1001.12 mL | 1.0 g | 634970 | 11/02/23 10:07 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 639150 | 12/01/23 20:09 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 1001.12 mL | 1.0 g | 634971 | 11/02/23 10:11 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638362 | 11/27/23 12:49 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:22 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2

Date Collected: 10/26/23 09:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 996.74 mL | 1.0 g | 634970 | 11/02/23 10:07 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 639150 | 12/01/23 20:09 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 996.74 mL | 1.0 g | 634971 | 11/02/23 10:11 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638362 | 11/27/23 12:49 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:22 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-14BR

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-3

Date Collected: 10/26/23 10:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 991.24 mL | 1.0 g | 634970 | 11/02/23 10:07 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 639150 | 12/01/23 20:09 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 991.24 mL | 1.0 g | 634971 | 11/02/23 10:11 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638362 | 11/27/23 12:49 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:22 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-4

Date Collected: 10/26/23 11:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 992.96 mL | 1.0 g | 634970 | 11/02/23 10:07 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 639150 | 12/01/23 20:10 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 992.96 mL | 1.0 g | 634971 | 11/02/23 10:11 | KAC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638362 | 11/27/23 12:49 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:24 | EMH | EET SL |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Client Sample ID: MW-38B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-5

Date Collected: 10/26/23 12:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 1004.96 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 20:13 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 1004.96 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638352 | 11/27/23 15:59 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-6

Date Collected: 10/26/23 13:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 1000.41 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 20:13 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 1000.41 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638352 | 11/27/23 15:59 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-52B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-7

Date Collected: 10/26/23 15:45

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 758.02 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 20:14 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 758.02 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638352 | 11/27/23 15:59 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-8

Date Collected: 10/26/23 16:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 997.29 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 20:14 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 997.29 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638352 | 11/27/23 15:59 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Client Sample ID: MW-39B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-9

Date Collected: 10/26/23 17:15

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 997.84 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 20:14 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 997.84 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638352 | 11/27/23 16:00 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-10

Date Collected: 10/27/23 08:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 758.45 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 20:14 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 758.45 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638352 | 11/27/23 16:00 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-42B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-11

Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 769.05 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 20:14 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 769.05 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638352 | 11/27/23 16:01 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-12

Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:45

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 747.51 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 20:14 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 747.51 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638352 | 11/27/23 16:01 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Client Sample ID: MW-47B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-13

Date Collected: 10/27/23 10:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 994.94 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 20:14 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 994.94 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638352 | 11/27/23 16:01 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-14

Date Collected: 10/27/23 11:15

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 759.66 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 22:28 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 759.66 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638362 | 11/27/23 16:10 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-15

Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 761.35 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 22:28 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 761.35 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638362 | 11/27/23 16:11 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16

Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:45

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 759.23 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 22:28 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 759.23 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638362 | 11/27/23 16:09 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Client Sample ID: MW-37B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-17

Date Collected: 10/27/23 13:15

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 772.34 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 22:28 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 772.34 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638362 | 11/27/23 16:09 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-18

Date Collected: 10/27/23 14:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 1001.27 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 22:30 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 1001.27 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638362 | 11/27/23 16:09 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-19

Date Collected: 10/27/23 00:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 1012.73 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 22:30 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 1012.73 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638362 | 11/27/23 16:09 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20

Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:20

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 1000.72 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 22:30 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 1000.72 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638362 | 11/27/23 16:09 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Client Sample ID: Field Blank

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-21

Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:40

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep-21 | | | 1000.79 mL | 1.0 g | 634830 | 11/02/23 06:21 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9315 | | 1 | | | 638995 | 12/01/23 22:31 | SCB | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Prep | PrecSep_0 | | | 1000.79 mL | 1.0 g | 634834 | 11/02/23 06:55 | BMW | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9320 | | 1 | | | 638362 | 11/27/23 16:10 | FLC | EET SL |
| Total/NA | Analysis | Ra226_Ra228 | | 1 | | | 639395 | 12/04/23 22:20 | EMH | EET SL |

Laboratory References:

EET SL = Eurofins St. Louis, 13715 Rider Trail North, Earth City, MO 63045, TEL (314)298-8566



Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
 Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Laboratory: Eurofins St. Louis

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

| Authority | Program | Identification Number | Expiration Date |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Alaska (UST) | State | 20-001 | 05-06-25 |
| ANAB | Dept. of Defense ELAP | L2305 | 04-06-25 |
| ANAB | Dept. of Energy | L2305.01 | 04-06-25 |
| ANAB | ISO/IEC 17025 | L2305 | 04-06-25 |
| Arizona | State | AZ0813 | 12-08-23 |
| California | Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts | 10259 | 06-30-22 * |
| California | State | 2886 | 06-30-24 |
| Connecticut | State | PH-0241 | 03-31-25 |
| Florida | NELAP | E87689 | 06-30-24 |
| HI - RadChem Recognition | State | n/a | 06-30-24 |
| Illinois | NELAP | 200023 | 11-30-24 |
| Iowa | State | 373 | 12-01-24 |
| Kansas | NELAP | E-10236 | 10-31-24 |
| Kentucky (DW) | State | KY90125 | 12-31-23 |
| Kentucky (WW) | State | KY90125 (Permit KY0004049) | 12-31-23 |
| Louisiana | NELAP | 04080 | 06-30-22 * |
| Louisiana (All) | NELAP | 04080 | 06-30-24 |
| Louisiana (DW) | State | LA011 | 12-31-23 |
| Maryland | State | 310 | 09-30-24 |
| Massachusetts | State | M-MO054 | 06-30-24 |
| MI - RadChem Recognition | State | 9005 | 06-30-24 |
| Missouri | State | 780 | 06-30-25 |
| Nevada | State | MO000542020-1 | 07-31-24 |
| New Jersey | NELAP | MO002 | 06-30-24 |
| New Mexico | State | MO00054 | 06-30-24 |
| New York | NELAP | 11616 | 03-31-24 |
| North Carolina (DW) | State | 29700 | 07-31-24 |
| North Dakota | State | R-207 | 06-30-24 |
| Oklahoma | NELAP | 9997 | 08-31-24 |
| Oregon | NELAP | 4157 | 09-01-24 |
| Pennsylvania | NELAP | 68-00540 | 02-28-24 |
| South Carolina | State | 85002001 | 06-30-24 |
| Texas | NELAP | T104704193 | 07-31-24 |
| US Fish & Wildlife | US Federal Programs | 058448 | 07-31-24 |
| USDA | US Federal Programs | P330-17-00028 | 05-18-26 |
| Utah | NELAP | MO000542021-14 | 07-31-24 |
| Virginia | NELAP | 10310 | 06-15-25 |
| Washington | State | C592 | 08-30-24 |
| West Virginia DEP | State | 381 | 12-31-23 |

* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

Chain of Custody Record



| | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Client Information | | Lab PM: McEntee, Patrick J | | Carrier Tracking No(s): | | COC No: 280-133855-37095.1 | |
| Company: AECOM Technical Services Inc. | | E-Mail: Patrick.McEntee@et.eurofins.com | | State of Origin: | | Page: _____ of _____ | |
| Address: 6200 S. Quebec Street | | PWSID: | | City: | | Job #: | |
| City: Greenwood Village | | Due Date Requested: | | TAT Requested (days): | | Preservation Codes: | |
| State, Zip: CO, 80111 | | Compliance Project: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | | 9066A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | A - HCL B - NaOH C - Zn Acetate D - Nitric Acid E - NaHSO4 F - MeOH G - Amchlor H - Ascorbic Acid I - Ice J - DI Water K - EDTA L - EDA Other: | |
| Phone: 616-574-8327(Tel) | | Purchase Order No: 147145 | | 9320_Ra228 - Ra228 | | M - Hexane N - None O - AsNaO2 P - Na2O4S Q - Na2SO3 R - Na2SO3 S - H2SO4 T - TSP Dodecahydrate U - Acetone V - MCAA W - pH 4-5 Y - Trizma Z - other (specify) | |
| Email: katie.abbott@aecom.com | | AECOM Project#: 60632474 | | 9315_Ra226 - Ra226 | | Special Instructions/Note: | |
| Project Name: Basin 2023 Support | | Project #: 28020759 | | 9066A_28D - Chloride, Fluoride, Sulfate | | | |
| Site: | | SSOW#: | | 2540C - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | | | |
| | | | | 6010D, 6020B, 7470A - App III and IV Total Metals | | | |
| | | | | 9320_Ra228 - Ra228 | | | |
| | | | | 9315_Ra226 - Ra226 | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | |
| | | | | Field Filter | | | |

Chain of Custody Record



| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Client Information (Sub Contract Lab) | | Lab PM McEntee, Patrick J | Carrier Tracking No(s) | COC No 280-678986.2 | | | | | | | | |
| Client Contact Shipping/Receiving | | E-Mail Patrick.McEntee@et.eurofins.com | State of Origin Colorado | Page Page 2 of 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Company TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. | | Accreditations Required (See note): NELAP - Oregon | | | | | | | | | | |
| Address 13715 Rider Trail North, | | Job # 280-183794-1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| City Earth City | | Analysis Requested | | | | | | | | | | |
| State/Zip MO, 63045 | | Preservation Codes: M - Hexane N - None O - AsNaO2 P - Na2O4S Q - Na2SO3 R - Na2S2O3 S - H2SO4 T - TSP Dodecahydrate U - Acetone V - MCAA W - pH 4-5 X - EDTA Y - Trizma Z - other (specify) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phone 314-298-8566(Tel) 314-298-8757(Fax) | | Other: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Email | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Name Basin 2023 Support | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Site | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Due Date Requested: 11/30/2023 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TAT Requested (days): | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PO # | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WO # | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project # 28020759 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SSOW# | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 9315_Ra226/PreSep_21 Standard Target List | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 9320_Ra226/PreSep_0 Standard Target List | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Ra226Ra228_GFPc | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Total Number of Containers | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Special Instructions/Note: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample Identification - Client ID (Lab ID) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MW-41B (280-183794-10) | Sample Date 10/27/23 | Sample Time 08:00 Mountain | Sample Type (C=Comp, G=grab) | Matrix (W=water, S=solid, O=waterfall, BT=tissue, Ash) | Preservation Code | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) | Perform MS/MSD (Yes or No) | 9315_Ra226/PreSep_21 Standard Target List | 9320_Ra226/PreSep_0 Standard Target List | Ra226Ra228_GFPc | Total Number of Containers | Special Instructions/Note: |
| MW-42B (280-183794-11) | 10/27/23 | 09:00 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | X | X | X | X | 2 | |
| MW-43B (280-183794-12) | 10/27/23 | 09:45 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | X | X | X | X | 2 | |
| MW-47B (280-183794-13) | 10/27/23 | 10:30 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | X | X | X | X | 2 | |
| MW-46B (280-183794-14) | 10/27/23 | 11:15 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | X | X | X | X | 2 | |
| MW-45B (280-183794-15) | 10/27/23 | 12:00 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | X | X | X | X | 2 | |
| MW-44B (280-183794-16) | 10/27/23 | 12:45 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | X | X | X | X | 2 | |
| MW-37B (280-183794-17) | 10/27/23 | 13:15 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | X | X | X | X | 2 | |
| MW-36B (280-183794-18) | 10/27/23 | 14:00 Mountain | Water | Water | X | X | X | X | X | X | 2 | |
| <p>Note: Since laboratory accreditations are subject to change, Eurofins TestAmerica places the ownership of method, analyte & accreditation compliance upon our subcontract laboratories. This sample shipment is forwarded under chain-of-custody. If the laboratory does not currently maintain accreditation in the State of Origin listed above for analysis/test/matrix being analyzed, the samples must be shipped back to the Eurofins TestAmerica laboratory or other instructions will be provided. Any changes to accreditation status should be brought to Eurofins TestAmerica attention immediately. If all requested accreditations are current to date, return the signed Chain of Custody attesting to said compliance to Eurofins TestAmerica.</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Possible Hazard Identification | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unconfirmed | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Deliverable Rank: 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Empty Kit Relinquished by: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Time: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Method of Shipment | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Special Instructions/QC Requirements: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Return To Client <input type="checkbox"/> Disposal By Lab <input type="checkbox"/> Archive For _____ Months | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Relinquished by: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date/Time: 10/31/23 1302 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Company: ETADEN | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Relinquished by: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date/Time: NOV 01 2023 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Company: 0415 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Relinquished by: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date/Time: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Company: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Custody Seals Intact: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Custody Seal No.: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks: | | | | | | | | | | | | |



Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Job Number: 280-183794-1

Login Number: 183794

List Number: 1

Creator: Held, Wesley

List Source: Eurofins Denver

| Question | Answer | Comment |
|---|--------|---------|
| Radioactivity wasn't checked or is \leq background as measured by a survey meter. | N/A | |
| The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact. | N/A | |
| Sample custody seals, if present, are intact. | N/A | |
| The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with. | True | |
| Samples were received on ice. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is acceptable. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is recorded. | True | |
| COC is present. | True | |
| COC is filled out in ink and legible. | True | |
| COC is filled out with all pertinent information. | True | |
| Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC? | True | |
| There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC. | True | |
| Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs) | True | |
| Sample containers have legible labels. | True | |
| Containers are not broken or leaking. | True | |
| Sample collection date/times are provided. | True | |
| Appropriate sample containers are used. | True | |
| Sample bottles are completely filled. | True | |
| Sample Preservation Verified. | N/A | |
| There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs | True | |
| Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <math><6\text{mm}</math> (1/4"). | N/A | |
| Multiphasic samples are not present. | True | |
| Samples do not require splitting or compositing. | True | |
| Residual Chlorine Checked. | N/A | |

Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Job Number: 280-183794-1

Login Number: 183794

List Number: 2

Creator: Pinette, Meadow L

List Source: Eurofins St. Louis

List Creation: 11/01/23 11:33 AM

| Question | Answer | Comment |
|--|--------|---------|
| Radioactivity wasn't checked or is </= background as measured by a survey meter. | True | |
| The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact. | True | |
| Sample custody seals, if present, are intact. | True | |
| The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with. | True | |
| Samples were received on ice. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is acceptable. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is recorded. | True | |
| COC is present. | True | |
| COC is filled out in ink and legible. | True | |
| COC is filled out with all pertinent information. | True | |
| Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC? | True | |
| There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC. | True | |
| Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs) | True | |
| Sample containers have legible labels. | True | |
| Containers are not broken or leaking. | True | |
| Sample collection date/times are provided. | True | |
| Appropriate sample containers are used. | True | |
| Sample bottles are completely filled. | True | |
| Sample Preservation Verified. | True | |
| There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs | True | |
| Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4"). | True | |
| Multiphasic samples are not present. | True | |
| Samples do not require splitting or compositing. | True | |
| Residual Chlorine Checked. | N/A | |

Tracer/Carrier Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Percent Yield (Acceptance Limits) | |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | | Ba (30-110) | Y (30-110) |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | 89.1 | |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | 89.6 | |
| 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | 94.6 | |
| 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | 91.6 | |
| 280-183794-5 | MW-38B | 81.0 | |
| 280-183794-6 | MW-49B | 79.3 | |
| 280-183794-7 | MW-52B | 75.1 | |
| 280-183794-8 | MW-40B | 84.0 | |
| 280-183794-9 | MW-39B | 88.6 | |
| 280-183794-10 | MW-41B | 96.5 | |
| 280-183794-11 | MW-42B | 89.4 | |
| 280-183794-12 | MW-43B | 85.4 | |
| 280-183794-13 | MW-47B | 81.5 | |
| 280-183794-14 | MW-46B | 86.9 | |
| 280-183794-15 | MW-45B | 92.6 | |
| 280-183794-16 | MW-44B | 89.6 | |
| 280-183794-17 | MW-37B | 93.6 | |
| 280-183794-18 | MW-36B | 87.4 | |
| 280-183794-19 | Dup-01 | 88.9 | |
| 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | 91.9 | |
| 280-183794-20 MS | MW-32B | 87.7 | |
| 280-183794-20 MSD | MW-32B | 93.6 | |
| 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | 94.3 | |
| LCS 160-634830/2-A | Lab Control Sample | 94.6 | |
| LCS 160-634970/2-A | Lab Control Sample | 96.0 | |
| MB 160-634830/1-A | Method Blank | 88.4 | |
| MB 160-634970/1-A | Method Blank | 90.6 | |

Tracer/Carrier Legend
Ba = Ba Carrier

Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Percent Yield (Acceptance Limits) | |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | | Ba (30-110) | Y (30-110) |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | 89.1 | 81.5 |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | 89.6 | 80.4 |
| 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | 94.6 | 79.3 |
| 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | 91.6 | 76.3 |
| 280-183794-5 | MW-38B | 81.0 | 89.0 |
| 280-183794-6 | MW-49B | 79.3 | 80.7 |
| 280-183794-7 | MW-52B | 75.1 | 83.7 |
| 280-183794-8 | MW-40B | 84.0 | 83.0 |
| 280-183794-9 | MW-39B | 88.6 | 81.1 |
| 280-183794-10 | MW-41B | 96.5 | 87.1 |
| 280-183794-11 | MW-42B | 89.4 | 90.1 |
| 280-183794-12 | MW-43B | 85.4 | 85.6 |
| 280-183794-13 | MW-47B | 81.5 | 89.3 |

Tracer/Carrier Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
 Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-1

Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC) (Continued)

Matrix: Water

Prep Type: Total/NA

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Percent Yield (Acceptance Limits) | |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | | Ba (30-110) | Y (30-110) |
| 280-183794-14 | MW-46B | 86.9 | 82.6 |
| 280-183794-15 | MW-45B | 92.6 | 87.5 |
| 280-183794-16 | MW-44B | 89.6 | 98.3 |
| 280-183794-17 | MW-37B | 93.6 | 80.4 |
| 280-183794-18 | MW-36B | 87.4 | 90.8 |
| 280-183794-19 | Dup-01 | 88.9 | 88.2 |
| 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | 91.9 | 84.1 |
| 280-183794-20 MS | MW-32B | 87.7 | 74.8 |
| 280-183794-20 MSD | MW-32B | 93.6 | 81.5 |
| 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | 94.3 | 82.2 |
| LCS 160-634834/2-A | Lab Control Sample | 94.6 | 78.1 |
| LCS 160-634971/2-A | Lab Control Sample | 96.0 | 78.5 |
| MB 160-634834/1-A | Method Blank | 88.4 | 85.6 |
| MB 160-634971/1-A | Method Blank | 90.6 | 76.6 |

Tracer/Carrier Legend

Ba = Ba Carrier

Y = Y Carrier

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14



ANALYTICAL REPORT

PREPARED FOR

Attn: Ms. Katie Abbott
AECOM Technical Services Inc.
6200 S. Quebec Street
Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111

Generated 11/28/2023 3:56:45 PM

JOB DESCRIPTION

Basin 2023 Support

JOB NUMBER

280-183794-2


Eurofins Denver

Job Notes

This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. The results relate only to the samples tested. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

The test results in this report relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory and will meet all requirements of the methodology, with any exceptions noted. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the express written approval of the laboratory. All questions should be directed to the Eurofins TestAmerica Project Manager.

Authorization



Generated
11/28/2023 3:56:45 PM

Authorized for release by
Patrick McEntee, Client Service Manager
Patrick.McEntee@et.eurofinsus.com
(303)736-0107



Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------------------|----|
| Cover Page | 1 |
| Table of Contents | 3 |
| Definitions | 4 |
| Case Narrative | 5 |
| Detection Summary | 8 |
| Method Summary | 14 |
| Sample Summary | 15 |
| Client Sample Results | 16 |
| QC Sample Results | 36 |
| QC Association | 47 |
| Chronicle | 53 |
| Certification Summary | 60 |
| Chain of Custody | 61 |
| Receipt Checklists | 63 |

Definitions/Glossary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Qualifiers

Metals

| Qualifier | Qualifier Description |
|-----------|---|
| 4 | MS, MSD: The analyte present in the original sample is greater than 4 times the matrix spike concentration; therefore, control limits are not applicable. |
| B | Compound was found in the blank and sample. |
| F1 | MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits. |

General Chemistry

| Qualifier | Qualifier Description |
|-----------|--|
| ^+ | Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) is outside acceptance limits, high biased. |
| F1 | MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits. |
| F2 | MS/MSD RPD exceeds control limits |
| F3 | Duplicate RPD exceeds the control limit |
| H | Sample was prepped or analyzed beyond the specified holding time. This does not meet regulatory requirements. |
| J | Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value. |

Glossary

| Abbreviation | These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. |
|----------------|---|
| α | Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis |
| %R | Percent Recovery |
| CFL | Contains Free Liquid |
| CFU | Colony Forming Unit |
| CNF | Contains No Free Liquid |
| DER | Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) |
| Dil Fac | Dilution Factor |
| DL | Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) |
| DL, RA, RE, IN | Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample |
| DLC | Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| EDL | Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) |
| LOD | Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) |
| LOQ | Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE) |
| MCL | EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level" |
| MDA | Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) |
| MDC | Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| MDL | Method Detection Limit |
| ML | Minimum Level (Dioxin) |
| MPN | Most Probable Number |
| MQL | Method Quantitation Limit |
| NC | Not Calculated |
| ND | Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) |
| NEG | Negative / Absent |
| POS | Positive / Present |
| PQL | Practical Quantitation Limit |
| PRES | Presumptive |
| QC | Quality Control |
| RER | Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry) |
| RL | Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points |
| TEF | Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) |
| TEQ | Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin) |
| TNTC | Too Numerous To Count |

Case Narrative

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver

Narrative

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Project: Basin 2023 Support

Report Number: 280-183794-2

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 10/30/2023 3:50 PM. Unless otherwise noted below, the samples arrived in good condition, and where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperatures of the 5 coolers at receipt time were 0.4° C, 0.7° C, 0.9° C, 2.0° C and 2.1° C.

Receipt Exceptions

Sample MW-45B is listed twice on the chain of custody. The second listing is logged as MW-44B, 280-183794-16, per container labels with matching date and time of 10/27/23 at 12:45.

Radium 226 and Radium 228 data are presented in SDG 280-183794-1. All other requested data are presented in SDG 280-183794-2.

TOTAL METALS (ICP)

Samples MW-38C (280-183794-1), MW-21B (280-183794-2), MW-14BR (280-183794-3), MW-20B (280-183794-4), MW-38B (280-183794-5), MW-49B (280-183794-6), MW-52B (280-183794-7), MW-40B (280-183794-8), MW-39B (280-183794-9), MW-41B (280-183794-10), MW-42B (280-183794-11), MW-43B (280-183794-12), MW-47B (280-183794-13), MW-46B (280-183794-14), MW-45B (280-183794-15), MW-44B (280-183794-16), MW-37B (280-183794-17), MW-36B (280-183794-18), Dup-01 (280-183794-19), MW-32B (280-183794-20) and Field Blank (280-183794-21) were analyzed for Total Metals (ICP) in accordance with EPA SW846 6010D. The samples were prepared on 11/09/2023 and analyzed on 11/10/2023.

The method blank for preparation batch 280-633114 and analytical batch 280-633389 contained Ca above the reporting limit (RL). Associated sample(s) were not re-extracted and/or re-analyzed because results were greater than 10X the value found in the method blank.

Calcium failed the recovery criteria low for the MSD of sample MW-32BMSD (280-183794-20) in batch 280-633389.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

TOTAL METALS (ICP/MS)

Samples MW-38C (280-183794-1), MW-21B (280-183794-2), MW-14BR (280-183794-3), MW-20B (280-183794-4), MW-38B (280-183794-5), MW-49B (280-183794-6), MW-52B (280-183794-7), MW-40B (280-183794-8), MW-39B (280-183794-9), MW-41B (280-183794-10), MW-42B (280-183794-11), MW-43B (280-183794-12), MW-47B (280-183794-13), MW-46B (280-183794-14), MW-45B (280-183794-15), MW-44B (280-183794-16), MW-37B (280-183794-17), MW-36B (280-183794-18), Dup-01 (280-183794-19), MW-32B (280-183794-20) and Field Blank (280-183794-21) were analyzed for Total Metals (ICP/MS) in accordance with SW846 6020B. The

Case Narrative

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Job ID: 280-183794-2 (Continued)

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver (Continued)

samples were prepared on 11/09/2023 and analyzed on 11/10/2023 and 11/11/2023.

Cobalt failed the recovery criteria low for the MS of sample MW-32BMS (280-183794-20) in batch 280-633479. Several analytes failed the recovery criteria low for the MSD of sample MW-32BMSD (280-183794-20) in batch 280-633479.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

TOTAL MERCURY

Samples MW-38C (280-183794-1), MW-21B (280-183794-2), MW-14BR (280-183794-3), MW-20B (280-183794-4), MW-38B (280-183794-5), MW-49B (280-183794-6), MW-52B (280-183794-7), MW-40B (280-183794-8), MW-39B (280-183794-9), MW-41B (280-183794-10), MW-42B (280-183794-11), MW-43B (280-183794-12), MW-47B (280-183794-13), MW-46B (280-183794-14), MW-45B (280-183794-15), MW-44B (280-183794-16), MW-37B (280-183794-17), MW-36B (280-183794-18), Dup-01 (280-183794-19), MW-32B (280-183794-20) and Field Blank (280-183794-21) were analyzed for total mercury in accordance with EPA SW-846 Methods 7470A. The samples were prepared and analyzed on 11/09/2023.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS

Samples MW-38C (280-183794-1), MW-21B (280-183794-2), MW-14BR (280-183794-3), MW-20B (280-183794-4), MW-38B (280-183794-5), MW-49B (280-183794-6), MW-52B (280-183794-7), MW-40B (280-183794-8), MW-39B (280-183794-9), MW-41B (280-183794-10), MW-42B (280-183794-11), MW-43B (280-183794-12), MW-47B (280-183794-13), MW-46B (280-183794-14), MW-45B (280-183794-15), MW-44B (280-183794-16), MW-37B (280-183794-17), MW-36B (280-183794-18), Dup-01 (280-183794-19), MW-32B (280-183794-20) and Field Blank (280-183794-21) were analyzed for total dissolved solids in accordance with SM20 2540C. The samples were analyzed on 11/02/2023 and 11/03/2023.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

ANIONS (28 DAYS)

Samples MW-38C (280-183794-1), MW-21B (280-183794-2), MW-14BR (280-183794-3), MW-20B (280-183794-4), MW-38B (280-183794-5), MW-49B (280-183794-6), MW-52B (280-183794-7), MW-40B (280-183794-8), MW-39B (280-183794-9), MW-41B (280-183794-10), MW-42B (280-183794-11), MW-43B (280-183794-12), MW-47B (280-183794-13), MW-46B (280-183794-14), MW-45B (280-183794-15), MW-44B (280-183794-16), MW-37B (280-183794-17), MW-36B (280-183794-18), Dup-01 (280-183794-19), MW-32B (280-183794-20) and Field Blank (280-183794-21) were analyzed for anions (28 days) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 9056A (28 Days). The samples were analyzed on 11/21/2023, 11/22/2023, 11/25/2023 and 11/26/2023.

Reanalysis of the following samples were performed outside of the analytical holding time due to QC failures during the original analyses : 280-183794-2, 280-183794-6, 280-183794-7, 280-183794-8, 280-183794-9, 280-183794-10, 280-183794-11, 280-183794-12, 280-183794-13, 280-183794-14, 280-183794-15, 280-183794-16, 280-183794-17, 280-183794-18, 280-183794-19, 280-183794-20, 280-183794-20[MS], 280-183794-20[MSD] and 280-183794-C-20 DU.

Reanalysis of the following samples were performed outside of the analytical holding time due to the sample requiring a dilution for a target compound : 280-183794-1 and 280-183794-5.

Samples MW-38C (280-183794-1)[5X], MW-21B (280-183794-2)[20X], MW-14BR (280-183794-3)[20X], MW-20B (280-183794-4)[5X], MW-38B (280-183794-5)[20X], MW-38B (280-183794-5)[50X], MW-49B (280-183794-6)[5X], MW-52B (280-183794-7)[5X], MW-40B (280-183794-8)[5X], MW-39B (280-183794-9)[5X], MW-41B (280-183794-10)[10X], MW-42B (280-183794-11)[20X], MW-43B (280-183794-12)[10X], MW-47B (280-183794-13)[10X], MW-46B (280-183794-14)[10X], MW-45B (280-183794-15)[10X], MW-44B (280-183794-16)[10X], MW-37B (280-183794-17)[10X], MW-36B (280-183794-18)[10X], Dup-01 (280-183794-19)[10X] and MW-32B (280-183794-20)[10X] required dilution prior to analysis. The reporting limits have been adjusted accordingly.

The matrix spike / matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) recoveries for analytical batch 280-635091 were outside control limits for Fluoride. Sample matrix interference and/or non-homogeneity are suspected because the associated laboratory control sample (LCS/LCSD) recovery is within acceptance limits. 280-183794-C-2 MS and 280-183794-C-2 MSD

The matrix spike / matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) recoveries and precision for analytical batch 280-635091 were outside control limits.

Case Narrative

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Job ID: 280-183794-2 (Continued)

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver (Continued)

Sample matrix interference and/or non-homogeneity are suspected because the associated laboratory control sample / laboratory sample control duplicate (LCS/LCSD) precision was within acceptance limits.

Chloride exceeded the RPD limit for the duplicate of sample MW-38CDU (280-183794-1). Refer to the QC report for details.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Client Sample ID: MW-38C

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Calcium | 106000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 29.9 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 48.8 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 6.20 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 5.85 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 28.5 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride | 0.519 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 627 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 279 | H F1 F2 | 25.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 417 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 895000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 84.0 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 85.3 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 5.25 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 108 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 10.2 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 4760 | | 40.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 852 | | 60.0 | | mg/L | 20 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 2190 | | 100 | | mg/L | 20 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.701 | H F1 | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-14BR

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-3

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 156 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 237000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 40.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 53.7 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 8.36 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 14.6 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 24.5 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 107 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1100 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 464 | | 100 | | mg/L | 20 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-4

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 236 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 141000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 39.6 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 57.0 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 8.85 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chloride | 46.3 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride | 0.714 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 891 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 364 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Client Sample ID: MW-38B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-5

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 3710 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 494000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 128 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 20.0 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 7.26 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 222 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 8420 | | 100 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 272 | | 60.0 | | mg/L | 20 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 6270 | H | 250 | | mg/L | 50 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-6

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 176 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 149000 | | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 49.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 63.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 4.16 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 972 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 31.4 | | 15.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 380 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.548 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-52B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-7

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 188 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 168000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 60.2 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 127 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Cobalt | 4.45 | | 1.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 8.07 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 4.05 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Lead | 3.03 | | 1.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1110 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 46.0 | | 15.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 481 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-8

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 175 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 140000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 49.2 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Arsenic | 5.12 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Barium | 28.3 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 6.22 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 854 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 33.8 | | 15.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 314 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride - RA | 1.21 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Client Sample ID: MW-39B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-9

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 184 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 190000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 53.8 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 31.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 3.53 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1310 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 45.5 | | 15.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 536 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | 5 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.539 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-10

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 900 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 108000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 41.2 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Arsenic | 6.35 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Barium | 126 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Cobalt | 2.97 | | 1.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 16.9 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 107 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Lead | 1.67 | | 1.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 5.71 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1260 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 66.3 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 564 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.525 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-42B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-11

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 553 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 129000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 37.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 40.0 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 4.70 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 36.8 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 14.8 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1310 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 83.2 | | 60.0 | | mg/L | 20 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 614 | | 100 | | mg/L | 20 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.605 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-12

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|--------|-----------|
| Boron | 263 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 161000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 36.0 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 65.2 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 4.41 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 10.6 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Client Sample ID: MW-43B (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-12

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1330 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 94.6 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 621 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.584 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-13

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 139 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 128000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 26.6 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 84.8 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 11.1 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 6.25 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 769 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 33.8 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 297 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.791 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-14

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Calcium | 122000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 23.2 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 87.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 20.2 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 5.19 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 757 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 53.1 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 305 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.630 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-15

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 190 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 153000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 31.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 97.3 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 7.10 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 8.27 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 8.31 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 904 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 48.4 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 351 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.714 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|--------|-----------|
| Boron | 107 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 181000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 30.8 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Client Sample ID: MW-44B (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Barium | 71.4 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 8.02 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 7.88 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 5.22 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1120 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 59.6 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 435 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.777 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-17

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 182 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 197000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 37.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 105 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 10.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 54.7 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 8.37 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1240 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 87.4 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 521 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.654 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-18

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 114 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 130000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 28.1 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 72.4 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 5.42 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 8.48 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 8.08 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 893 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 46.0 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 353 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.739 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-19

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 114 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 130000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 28.4 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 70.2 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Chromium | 5.61 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 8.57 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Selenium | 8.16 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 885 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 45.9 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 348 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Detection Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Client Sample ID: Dup-01 (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-19

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|---------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---------|---|--------|-----------|
| Fluoride - RA | 0.710 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | 1 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---------|---|----------|-----------|
| Boron | 313 | | 100 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Calcium | 218000 | F1 B | 200 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Lithium | 77.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6010D | Total/NA |
| Barium | 36.2 | F1 | 3.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Molybdenum | 3.11 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | 1 | | 6020B | Total/NA |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 2020 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | 1 | | SM 2540C | Total/NA |
| Chloride - DL | 107 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |
| Sulfate - DL | 930 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | 10 | | 9056A | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: Field Blank

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-21

No Detections.

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Denver

Method Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

| Method | Method Description | Protocol | Laboratory |
|----------|-------------------------------|----------|------------|
| 6010D | Metals (ICP) | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 6020B | Metals (ICP/MS) | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 7470A | Mercury (CVAA) | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 9056A | Anions, Ion Chromatography | SW846 | EET DEN |
| SM 2540C | Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) | SM | EET DEN |
| 3010A | Preparation, Total Metals | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 3020A | Preparation, Total Metals | SW846 | EET DEN |
| 7470A | Preparation, Mercury | SW846 | EET DEN |

Protocol References:

SM = "Standard Methods For The Examination Of Water And Wastewater"

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

EET DEN = Eurofins Denver, 4955 Yarrow Street, Arvada, CO 80002, TEL (303)736-0100

Sample Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Matrix | Collected | Received |
|---------------|------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | Water | 10/26/23 08:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | Water | 10/26/23 09:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | Water | 10/26/23 10:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | Water | 10/26/23 11:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-5 | MW-38B | Water | 10/26/23 12:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-6 | MW-49B | Water | 10/26/23 13:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-7 | MW-52B | Water | 10/26/23 15:45 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-8 | MW-40B | Water | 10/26/23 16:30 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-9 | MW-39B | Water | 10/26/23 17:15 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-10 | MW-41B | Water | 10/27/23 08:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-11 | MW-42B | Water | 10/27/23 09:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-12 | MW-43B | Water | 10/27/23 09:45 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-13 | MW-47B | Water | 10/27/23 10:30 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-14 | MW-46B | Water | 10/27/23 11:15 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-15 | MW-45B | Water | 10/27/23 12:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-16 | MW-44B | Water | 10/27/23 12:45 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-17 | MW-37B | Water | 10/27/23 13:15 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-18 | MW-36B | Water | 10/27/23 14:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-19 | Dup-01 | Water | 10/27/23 00:00 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | Water | 10/27/23 15:20 | 10/30/23 15:50 |
| 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | Water | 10/27/23 15:40 | 10/30/23 15:50 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: SW846 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 10/26/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | ND | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 13:06 | 1 |
| Calcium | 106000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 13:06 | 1 |
| Lithium | 29.9 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 13:06 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 417 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 13:10 | 1 |
| Calcium | 895000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 13:10 | 1 |
| Lithium | 84.0 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 13:10 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14BR
Date Collected: 10/26/23 10:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 156 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 14:27 | 1 |
| Calcium | 237000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 14:27 | 1 |
| Lithium | 40.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 14:27 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 11:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 236 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 14:31 | 1 |
| Calcium | 141000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 14:31 | 1 |
| Lithium | 39.6 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 14:31 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 3710 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 14:35 | 1 |
| Calcium | 494000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 14:35 | 1 |
| Lithium | 128 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 14:35 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 13:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 176 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 14:39 | 1 |
| Calcium | 149000 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 14:39 | 1 |
| Lithium | 49.5 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 14:39 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-52B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 15:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 188 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:26 | 1 |
| Calcium | 168000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:26 | 1 |
| Lithium | 60.2 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:26 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: SW846 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 16:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 175 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:30 | 1 |
| Calcium | 140000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:30 | 1 |
| Lithium | 49.2 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:30 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 17:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 184 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:35 | 1 |
| Calcium | 190000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:35 | 1 |
| Lithium | 53.8 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:35 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 900 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:39 | 1 |
| Calcium | 108000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:39 | 1 |
| Lithium | 41.2 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:39 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 553 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:43 | 1 |
| Calcium | 129000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:43 | 1 |
| Lithium | 37.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:43 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 263 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:48 | 1 |
| Calcium | 161000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:48 | 1 |
| Lithium | 36.0 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:48 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 10:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 139 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:52 | 1 |
| Calcium | 128000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:52 | 1 |
| Lithium | 26.6 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:52 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 11:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | ND | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:56 | 1 |
| Calcium | 122000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:56 | 1 |
| Lithium | 23.2 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:56 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: SW846 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 190 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:18 | 1 |
| Calcium | 153000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:18 | 1 |
| Lithium | 31.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:18 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 107 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:22 | 1 |
| Calcium | 181000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:22 | 1 |
| Lithium | 30.8 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:22 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 13:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 182 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:27 | 1 |
| Calcium | 197000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:27 | 1 |
| Lithium | 37.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:27 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 14:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 114 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:31 | 1 |
| Calcium | 130000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:31 | 1 |
| Lithium | 28.1 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:31 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 10/27/23 00:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 114 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:35 | 1 |
| Calcium | 130000 | B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:35 | 1 |
| Lithium | 28.4 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:35 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:20
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | 313 | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:40 | 1 |
| Calcium | 218000 | F1 B | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:40 | 1 |
| Lithium | 77.3 | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 02:40 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Field Blank
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:40
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | ND | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 14:06 | 1 |
| Calcium | ND | | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 14:06 | 1 |
| Lithium | ND | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 14:06 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS)

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 10/26/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:04 | 1 |
| Barium | 48.8 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:04 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:04 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:04 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:04 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:04 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 6.20 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:04 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:04 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:04 | 1 |
| Selenium | 5.85 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:04 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:04 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:06 | 1 |
| Barium | 85.3 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:06 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:06 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:06 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:06 | 1 |
| Chromium | 5.25 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:06 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 108 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:06 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:06 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:06 | 1 |
| Selenium | 10.2 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:06 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:06 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14BR
Date Collected: 10/26/23 10:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:09 | 1 |
| Barium | 53.7 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:09 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:09 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:09 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:09 | 1 |
| Chromium | 8.36 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:09 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 14.6 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:09 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:09 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:09 | 1 |
| Selenium | 24.5 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:09 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:09 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 11:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:11 | 1 |
| Barium | 57.0 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:11 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 11:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:11 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:11 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:11 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:11 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 8.85 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:11 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:11 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:11 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:11 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:11 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:13 | 1 |
| Barium | 20.0 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:13 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:13 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:13 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:13 | 1 |
| Chromium | 7.26 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:13 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 222 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:13 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:13 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:13 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:13 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:13 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 13:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:15 | 1 |
| Barium | 63.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:15 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:15 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:15 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:15 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:15 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 4.16 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:15 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:15 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:15 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:15 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:15 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-52B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 15:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------------|------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:09 | 1 |
| Barium | 127 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:09 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:09 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:09 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: MW-52B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 15:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Cobalt | 4.45 | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:09 | 1 |
| Chromium | 8.07 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:09 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 4.05 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:09 | 1 |
| Lead | 3.03 | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:09 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:09 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:09 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:09 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 16:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | 5.12 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:11 | 1 |
| Barium | 28.3 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:11 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:11 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:11 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:11 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:11 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 6.22 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:11 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:11 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:11 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:11 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:11 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 17:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:14 | 1 |
| Barium | 31.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:14 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:14 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:14 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:14 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:14 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 3.53 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:14 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:14 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:14 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:14 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:14 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | 6.35 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:16 | 1 |
| Barium | 126 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:16 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:16 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:16 | 1 |
| Cobalt | 2.97 | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:16 | 1 |
| Chromium | 16.9 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:16 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Molybdenum | 107 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:16 | 1 |
| Lead | 1.67 | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:16 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:16 | 1 |
| Selenium | 5.71 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:16 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:16 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:18 | 1 |
| Barium | 40.0 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:18 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:18 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:18 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:18 | 1 |
| Chromium | 4.70 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:18 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 36.8 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:18 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:18 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:18 | 1 |
| Selenium | 14.8 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:18 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:18 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:20 | 1 |
| Barium | 65.2 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:20 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:20 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:20 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:20 | 1 |
| Chromium | 4.41 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:20 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 10.6 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:20 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:20 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:20 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:20 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 10:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:22 | 1 |
| Barium | 84.8 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:22 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:22 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:22 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:22 | 1 |
| Chromium | 11.1 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:22 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 6.25 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:22 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:22 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 10:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:22 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:22 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:22 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 11:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:25 | 1 |
| Barium | 87.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:25 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:25 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:25 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:25 | 1 |
| Chromium | 20.2 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:25 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 5.19 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:25 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:25 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:25 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:25 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:25 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:31 | 1 |
| Barium | 97.3 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:31 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:31 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:31 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:31 | 1 |
| Chromium | 7.10 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:31 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 8.27 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:31 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:31 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:31 | 1 |
| Selenium | 8.31 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:31 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:31 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:33 | 1 |
| Barium | 71.4 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:33 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:33 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:33 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:33 | 1 |
| Chromium | 8.02 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:33 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 7.88 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:33 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:33 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:33 | 1 |
| Selenium | 5.22 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:33 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:33 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 13:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:36 | 1 |
| Barium | 105 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:36 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:36 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:36 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:36 | 1 |
| Chromium | 10.6 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:36 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 54.7 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:36 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:36 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:36 | 1 |
| Selenium | 8.37 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:36 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:36 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 14:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:38 | 1 |
| Barium | 72.4 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:38 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:38 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:38 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:38 | 1 |
| Chromium | 5.42 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:38 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 8.48 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:38 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:38 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:38 | 1 |
| Selenium | 8.08 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:38 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:38 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 10/27/23 00:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:40 | 1 |
| Barium | 70.2 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:40 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:40 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:40 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:40 | 1 |
| Chromium | 5.61 | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:40 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 8.57 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:40 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:40 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:40 | 1 |
| Selenium | 8.16 | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:40 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:40 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: SW846 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS)

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:20
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | F1 | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:03 | 1 |
| Barium | 36.2 | F1 | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:03 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:03 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | F1 | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:03 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | F1 | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:03 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | F1 | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:03 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | 3.11 | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:03 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | F1 | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:03 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:03 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:03 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | F1 | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:03 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Field Blank
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:40
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:14 | 1 |
| Barium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:14 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:14 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:14 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:14 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:14 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:14 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:14 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:14 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:14 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 09:14 | 1 |

Method: SW846 7470A - Mercury (CVAA)

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 10/26/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:14 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:16 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14BR
Date Collected: 10/26/23 10:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:19 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: SW846 7470A - Mercury (CVAA)

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 11:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:26 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:29 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 13:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:31 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-52B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 15:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:34 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 16:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:37 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 17:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:39 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:42 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:44 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:47 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: SW846 7470A - Mercury (CVAA)

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 10:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:49 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 11:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:57 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 18:59 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 19:02 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 13:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 20:03 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 14:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 20:05 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 10/27/23 00:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 20:08 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:20
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 20:10 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Field Blank
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:40
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 20:28 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

General Chemistry

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 10/26/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 28.5 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 17:39 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.519 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 17:39 | 1 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 627 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/02/23 15:16 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 4760 | | 40.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/02/23 15:16 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14BR
Date Collected: 10/26/23 10:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 107 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 18:34 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 18:34 | 1 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1100 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/02/23 15:16 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 11:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 46.3 | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 18:56 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.714 | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 18:56 | 1 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 891 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/02/23 15:16 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-----|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 8420 | | 100 | | mg/L | | | 11/02/23 15:16 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 13:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 972 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/02/23 15:16 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-52B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 15:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1110 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/02/23 15:16 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

General Chemistry

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 16:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 854 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/02/23 15:16 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 17:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1310 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/02/23 15:16 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1260 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:17 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1310 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:17 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1330 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:17 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 10:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 769 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:17 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 11:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 757 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:17 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 904 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:19 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

General Chemistry

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1120 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:19 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 13:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 1240 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:19 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 14:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 893 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:19 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 10/27/23 00:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 885 | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:19 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:20
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | 2020 | | 20.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:19 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Field Blank
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:40
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-21
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 11/22/23 00:04 | 1 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/22/23 00:04 | 1 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 5.00 | | mg/L | | | 11/22/23 00:04 | 1 |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) (SM 2540C) | ND | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:19 | 1 |

General Chemistry - DL

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Date Collected: 10/26/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 279 | H F1 F2 | 25.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/26/23 06:32 | 5 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

General Chemistry - DL

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 852 | | 60.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 18:23 | 20 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 2190 | | 100 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 18:23 | 20 |

Client Sample ID: MW-14BR
Date Collected: 10/26/23 10:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-3
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------|-----|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 464 | | 100 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 18:45 | 20 |

Client Sample ID: MW-20B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 11:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-4
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 364 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 19:07 | 5 |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-5
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 272 | | 60.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 19:18 | 20 |
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 19:18 | 20 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 6270 | H | 250 | | mg/L | | | 11/26/23 00:51 | 50 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 13:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 31.4 | | 15.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 19:29 | 5 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 380 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 19:29 | 5 |

Client Sample ID: MW-52B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 15:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 46.0 | | 15.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 19:40 | 5 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 481 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 19:40 | 5 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 16:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 33.8 | | 15.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 19:51 | 5 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 314 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 19:51 | 5 |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 17:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 45.5 | | 15.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 20:24 | 5 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 536 | | 25.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 20:24 | 5 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

General Chemistry - DL

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 66.3 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 20:35 | 10 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 564 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 20:35 | 10 |

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 83.2 | | 60.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 21:30 | 20 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 614 | | 100 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 21:30 | 20 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 94.6 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 21:41 | 10 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 621 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 21:41 | 10 |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 10:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 33.8 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 21:52 | 10 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 297 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 21:52 | 10 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 11:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 53.1 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 22:03 | 10 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 305 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 22:03 | 10 |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 48.4 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 22:36 | 10 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 351 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 22:36 | 10 |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 59.6 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 22:47 | 10 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 435 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 22:47 | 10 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 13:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 87.4 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 22:58 | 10 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 521 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 22:58 | 10 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

General Chemistry - DL

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 14:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 46.0 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 23:09 | 10 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 353 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 23:09 | 10 |

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 10/27/23 00:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 45.9 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 23:20 | 10 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 348 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 23:20 | 10 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:20
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride (SW846 9056A) | 107 | | 30.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 20:46 | 10 |
| Sulfate (SW846 9056A) | 930 | | 50.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 20:46 | 10 |

General Chemistry - RA

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.701 | H F1 | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/26/23 01:35 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 13:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-6
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.548 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/26/23 00:18 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-52B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 15:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-7
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/26/23 00:07 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 16:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-8
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 1.21 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 23:56 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Date Collected: 10/26/23 17:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-9
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.539 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 23:45 | 1 |

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

General Chemistry - RA

Client Sample ID: MW-41B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 08:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-10
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.525 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 23:34 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-42B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-11
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.605 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 23:23 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-12
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.584 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 23:12 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-47B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 10:30
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-13
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.791 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 23:01 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 11:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-14
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.630 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 22:06 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-15
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.714 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 21:33 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-44B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:45
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.777 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 21:22 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 13:15
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-17
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.654 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 21:11 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 14:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-18
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.739 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 21:00 | 1 |

Eurofins Denver

Client Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

General Chemistry - RA

Client Sample ID: Dup-01
Date Collected: 10/27/23 00:00
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-19
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | 0.710 | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 20:49 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:20
Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20
Matrix: Water

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride (SW846 9056A) | ND | H | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 22:17 | 1 |

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-633114/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633389

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633114

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | ND | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:17 | 1 |
| Calcium | 391.0 | | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:17 | 1 |
| Lithium | ND | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:17 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-633114/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633389

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633114

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|---------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Boron | 2000 | 1985 | | ug/L | | 99 | 86 - 110 |
| Calcium | 50000 | 50070 | | ug/L | | 100 | 90 - 111 |
| Lithium | 1000 | 978.9 | | ug/L | | 98 | 90 - 112 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633389

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633114

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|---------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Boron | 313 | | 2000 | 2313 | | ug/L | | 100 | 87 - 113 |
| Calcium | 218000 | F1 B | 50000 | 260400 | 4 | ug/L | | 85 | 75 - 125 |
| Lithium | 77.3 | | 1000 | 1049 | | ug/L | | 97 | 89 - 114 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633389

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633114

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MSD Result | MSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | Limit |
|---------|---------------|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-------|
| Boron | 313 | | 2000 | 2244 | | ug/L | | 97 | 87 - 113 | 3 | 20 |
| Calcium | 218000 | F1 B | 50000 | 252800 | 4 | ug/L | | 69 | 75 - 125 | 3 | 20 |
| Lithium | 77.3 | | 1000 | 1023 | | ug/L | | 95 | 89 - 114 | 3 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-633204/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633556

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633204

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Boron | ND | | 100 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 12:58 | 1 |
| Calcium | ND | | 200 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 12:58 | 1 |
| Lithium | ND | | 20.0 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/10/23 12:58 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-633204/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633556

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633204

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|---------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Boron | 2000 | 1957 | | ug/L | | 98 | 86 - 110 |
| Calcium | 50000 | 49530 | | ug/L | | 99 | 90 - 111 |
| Lithium | 1000 | 984.9 | | ug/L | | 98 | 90 - 112 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-633114/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633390

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633114

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:05 | 1 |
| Barium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:05 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:05 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:05 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:05 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:05 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:05 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:05 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:05 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:05 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 08:45 | 11/10/23 01:05 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-633114/21-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633390

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633114

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Arsenic | 40.0 | 38.92 | | ug/L | | 97 | 85 - 117 |
| Barium | 40.0 | 38.79 | | ug/L | | 97 | 85 - 118 |
| Beryllium | 40.0 | 36.60 | | ug/L | | 91 | 80 - 125 |
| Cadmium | 40.0 | 37.93 | | ug/L | | 95 | 85 - 115 |
| Cobalt | 40.0 | 37.88 | | ug/L | | 95 | 85 - 120 |
| Chromium | 40.0 | 38.21 | | ug/L | | 96 | 84 - 121 |
| Molybdenum | 40.0 | 38.66 | | ug/L | | 97 | 85 - 119 |
| Lead | 40.0 | 37.60 | | ug/L | | 94 | 85 - 118 |
| Antimony | 40.0 | 37.74 | | ug/L | | 94 | 85 - 115 |
| Selenium | 40.0 | 37.84 | | ug/L | | 95 | 77 - 122 |
| Thallium | 40.0 | 37.37 | | ug/L | | 93 | 85 - 118 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633479

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633114

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Arsenic | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 39.49 | | ug/L | | 95 | 92 - 112 |
| Barium | 36.2 | F1 | 40.0 | 75.18 | | ug/L | | 97 | 92 - 117 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 40.0 | 37.97 | | ug/L | | 95 | 87 - 118 |
| Cadmium | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 37.94 | | ug/L | | 95 | 91 - 114 |
| Cobalt | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 37.15 | F1 | ug/L | | 93 | 94 - 115 |
| Chromium | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 38.75 | | ug/L | | 92 | 91 - 114 |
| Molybdenum | 3.11 | | 40.0 | 42.21 | | ug/L | | 98 | 84 - 117 |
| Lead | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 38.37 | | ug/L | | 96 | 95 - 116 |
| Antimony | ND | | 40.0 | 38.75 | | ug/L | | 97 | 80 - 111 |
| Selenium | ND | | 40.0 | 38.89 | | ug/L | | 92 | 90 - 115 |
| Thallium | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 37.44 | | ug/L | | 94 | 94 - 115 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633479

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633114

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | Spike | MSD | | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec | | RPD | Limit |
|------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|------|---|------|----------|-----|-----|-------|
| | Result | Qualifier | | Result | Qualifier | | | | Limits | RPD | | |
| Arsenic | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 37.70 | F1 | ug/L | | 91 | 92 - 112 | 5 | 20 | |
| Barium | 36.2 | F1 | 40.0 | 71.14 | F1 | ug/L | | 87 | 92 - 117 | 6 | 20 | |
| Beryllium | ND | | 40.0 | 36.55 | | ug/L | | 91 | 87 - 118 | 4 | 20 | |
| Cadmium | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 35.23 | F1 | ug/L | | 88 | 91 - 114 | 7 | 20 | |
| Cobalt | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 35.75 | F1 | ug/L | | 89 | 94 - 115 | 4 | 20 | |
| Chromium | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 37.84 | F1 | ug/L | | 90 | 91 - 114 | 2 | 20 | |
| Molybdenum | 3.11 | | 40.0 | 40.59 | | ug/L | | 94 | 84 - 117 | 4 | 20 | |
| Lead | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 36.09 | F1 | ug/L | | 90 | 95 - 116 | 6 | 20 | |
| Antimony | ND | | 40.0 | 37.51 | | ug/L | | 94 | 80 - 111 | 3 | 20 | |
| Selenium | ND | | 40.0 | 39.06 | | ug/L | | 93 | 90 - 115 | 0 | 20 | |
| Thallium | ND | F1 | 40.0 | 35.82 | F1 | ug/L | | 90 | 94 - 115 | 4 | 20 | |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-633204/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633610

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633204

| Analyte | MB | MB | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------|--------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | Result | Qualifier | | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:00 | 1 |
| Barium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:00 | 1 |
| Beryllium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:00 | 1 |
| Cadmium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:00 | 1 |
| Cobalt | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:00 | 1 |
| Chromium | ND | | 3.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:00 | 1 |
| Molybdenum | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:00 | 1 |
| Lead | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:00 | 1 |
| Antimony | ND | | 2.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:00 | 1 |
| Selenium | ND | | 5.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:00 | 1 |
| Thallium | ND | | 1.00 | | ug/L | | 11/09/23 15:28 | 11/11/23 10:00 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-633204/25-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633610

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633204

| Analyte | Spike | LCS | | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec | |
|------------|-------|-------|--------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|
| | | Added | Result | | | | Qualifier | Limits |
| Arsenic | 40.0 | | 35.87 | ug/L | | 90 | 85 - 117 | |
| Barium | 40.0 | | 37.07 | ug/L | | 93 | 85 - 118 | |
| Beryllium | 40.0 | | 38.55 | ug/L | | 96 | 80 - 125 | |
| Cadmium | 40.0 | | 36.55 | ug/L | | 91 | 85 - 115 | |
| Cobalt | 40.0 | | 37.76 | ug/L | | 94 | 85 - 120 | |
| Chromium | 40.0 | | 38.29 | ug/L | | 96 | 84 - 121 | |
| Molybdenum | 40.0 | | 36.41 | ug/L | | 91 | 85 - 119 | |
| Lead | 40.0 | | 37.25 | ug/L | | 93 | 85 - 118 | |
| Antimony | 40.0 | | 37.05 | ug/L | | 93 | 85 - 115 | |
| Selenium | 40.0 | | 38.68 | ug/L | | 97 | 77 - 122 | |
| Thallium | 40.0 | | 36.47 | ug/L | | 91 | 85 - 118 | |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: 7470A - Mercury (CVAA)

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-633256/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633447

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633256

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|-----------|--------------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 17:53 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-633256/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633447

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633256

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|---------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Mercury | 0.00500 | 0.004928 | | mg/L | | 99 | 84 - 120 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-633263/1-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633447

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633263

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|---------|-----------|--------------|----------|-----|------|---|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.000200 | | mg/L | | 11/09/23 14:35 | 11/09/23 19:17 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-633263/2-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633447

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633263

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|---------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Mercury | 0.00500 | 0.004984 | | mg/L | | 100 | 84 - 120 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-633263/3-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633447

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633263

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|---------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Mercury | 0.00500 | 0.004963 | | mg/L | | 99 | 84 - 120 | 0 | 15 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633447

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633263

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|---------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.00500 | 0.005145 | | mg/L | | 103 | 75 - 125 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 633447

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 633263

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MSD Result | MSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|---------|---------------|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Mercury | ND | | 0.00500 | 0.004818 | | mg/L | | 96 | 75 - 125 | 7 | 20 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-634862/41
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------|-----------|--------------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride | ND | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 23:53 | 1 |
| Fluoride | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 23:53 | 1 |
| Sulfate | ND | | 5.00 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 23:53 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-634862/6
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------|-----------|--------------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride | ND | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 17:24 | 1 |
| Fluoride | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 17:24 | 1 |
| Sulfate | ND | | 5.00 | | mg/L | | | 11/21/23 17:24 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-634862/39
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 100 | 100.5 | | mg/L | | 100 | 90 - 110 |
| Fluoride | 5.00 | 5.101 | | mg/L | | 102 | 90 - 110 |
| Sulfate | 100 | 101.9 | | mg/L | | 102 | 90 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-634862/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 100 | 96.85 | | mg/L | | 97 | 90 - 110 |
| Fluoride | 5.00 | 4.768 | | mg/L | | 95 | 90 - 110 |
| Sulfate | 100 | 98.01 | | mg/L | | 98 | 90 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-634862/40
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 100 | 100.5 | | mg/L | | 100 | 90 - 110 | 0 | 10 |
| Fluoride | 5.00 | 5.128 | | mg/L | | 103 | 90 - 110 | 1 | 10 |
| Sulfate | 100 | 101.9 | | mg/L | | 102 | 90 - 110 | 0 | 10 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-634862/5
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 100 | 96.70 | | mg/L | | 97 | 90 - 110 | 0 | 10 |
| Fluoride | 5.00 | 4.743 | | mg/L | | 95 | 90 - 110 | 1 | 10 |
| Sulfate | 100 | 97.89 | | mg/L | | 98 | 90 - 110 | 0 | 10 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MRL 280-634862/3
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | MRL Result | MRL Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 5.00 | 4.750 | | mg/L | | 95 | 50 - 150 |
| Fluoride | 0.500 | 0.4126 | J | mg/L | | 83 | 50 - 150 |
| Sulfate | 5.00 | 4.559 | J | mg/L | | 91 | 50 - 150 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 28.5 | | 50.0 | 82.84 | | mg/L | | 109 | 80 - 120 |
| Fluoride | 0.519 | | 5.00 | 5.227 | | mg/L | | 94 | 80 - 120 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MSD Result | MSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 28.5 | | 50.0 | 84.07 | | mg/L | | 111 | 80 - 120 | 1 | 20 |
| Fluoride | 0.519 | | 5.00 | 5.143 | | mg/L | | 92 | 80 - 120 | 2 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-21 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: Field Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | ND | | 50.0 | 56.29 | | mg/L | | 113 | 80 - 120 |
| Fluoride | ND | | 5.00 | 4.967 | | mg/L | | 99 | 80 - 120 |
| Sulfate | ND | | 50.0 | 56.36 | | mg/L | | 113 | 80 - 120 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-21 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: Field Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MSD Result | MSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | ND | | 50.0 | 57.50 | | mg/L | | 115 | 80 - 120 | 2 | 20 |
| Fluoride | ND | | 5.00 | 5.333 | | mg/L | | 107 | 80 - 120 | 7 | 20 |
| Sulfate | ND | | 50.0 | 57.44 | | mg/L | | 115 | 80 - 120 | 2 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | DU Result | DU Qualifier | Unit | D | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 28.5 | | 28.57 | | mg/L | | 0.3 | 15 |
| Fluoride | 0.519 | | 0.5207 | | mg/L | | 0.4 | 15 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-21 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: Field Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | DU Result | DU Qualifier | Unit | D | RPD | Limit |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|-----|-------|
| Chloride | ND | | ND | | mg/L | | NC | 15 |
| Fluoride | ND | | ND | | mg/L | | NC | 15 |
| Sulfate | ND | | ND | | mg/L | | NC | 15 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-635091/40
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------|-----------|--------------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Fluoride | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/26/23 01:24 | 1 |
| Sulfate | ND | ^+ | 5.00 | | mg/L | | | 11/26/23 01:24 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-635091/6
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|----------|-----------|--------------|-------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Chloride | ND | | 3.00 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 19:11 | 1 |
| Fluoride | ND | | 0.500 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 19:11 | 1 |
| Sulfate | ND | | 5.00 | | mg/L | | | 11/25/23 19:11 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-635091/38
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Fluoride | 5.00 | 5.107 | | mg/L | | 102 | 90 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-635091/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Chloride | 100 | 97.10 | | mg/L | | 97 | 90 - 110 |
| Fluoride | 5.00 | 5.024 | | mg/L | | 100 | 90 - 110 |
| Sulfate | 100 | 95.03 | | mg/L | | 95 | 90 - 110 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-635091/39
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | Limit |
|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-------|
| Fluoride | 5.00 | 5.344 | | mg/L | | 107 | 90 - 110 | 5 | 10 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-635091/5
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | Limit |
|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-------|
| Chloride | 100 | 97.09 | | mg/L | | 97 | 90 - 110 | 0 | 10 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-635091/5
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Fluoride | 5.00 | 5.001 | | mg/L | | 100 | 90 - 110 | 0 | 10 |
| Sulfate | 100 | 94.84 | | mg/L | | 95 | 90 - 110 | 0 | 10 |

Lab Sample ID: MRL 280-635091/3
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | MRL Result | MRL Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride | 5.00 | 5.294 | | mg/L | | 106 | 50 - 150 | | |
| Fluoride | 0.500 | 0.5208 | | mg/L | | 104 | 50 - 150 | | |
| Sulfate | 5.00 | 5.093 | | mg/L | | 102 | 50 - 150 | | |

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography - DL

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride - DL | 107 | | 500 | 660.9 | | mg/L | | 111 | 80 - 120 | | |
| Sulfate - DL | 930 | | 500 | 1433 | | mg/L | | 100 | 80 - 120 | | |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MSD Result | MSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride - DL | 107 | | 500 | 669.0 | | mg/L | | 112 | 80 - 120 | 1 | 20 |
| Sulfate - DL | 930 | | 500 | 1432 | | mg/L | | 100 | 80 - 120 | 0 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 634862

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | DU Result | DU Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride - DL | 107 | | 500 | 106.4 | | mg/L | | | | 0.2 | 15 |
| Sulfate - DL | 930 | | 500 | 929.6 | | mg/L | | | | 0.1 | 15 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike Added | MS Result | MS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Chloride - DL | 73.1 | H B F1 F2 | 250 | 259.5 | F1 | mg/L | | 75 | 80 - 120 | | |
| Sulfate - DL | 279 | H F1 F2 | 250 | 978.0 | F1 | mg/L | | 279 | 80 - 120 | | |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography - DL (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | Spike | MSD | MSD | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec | RPD | RPD |
|---------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|------|---|------|----------|-----|-------|
| | Result | Qualifier | | Result | Qualifier | | | | Limits | | Limit |
| Chloride - DL | 73.1 | H B F1 F2 | 250 | 359.6 | F2 | mg/L | | 115 | 80 - 120 | 32 | 20 |
| Sulfate - DL | 279 | H F1 F2 | 250 | 557.9 | F2 | mg/L | | 111 | 80 - 120 | 55 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: MW-38C
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | DU | DU | Unit | D | RPD | RPD |
|---------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|------|---|-----|-----------|
| | Result | Qualifier | | Result | | | | Qualifier |
| Chloride - DL | 73.1 | H B F1 F2 | 34.67 | F3 | mg/L | | 71 | 15 |
| Sulfate - DL | 279 | H F1 F2 | 253.5 | | mg/L | | 10 | 15 |

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography - RA

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | Spike | MS | MS | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec |
|---------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|------|---|------|----------|
| | Result | Qualifier | | Result | Qualifier | | | | Limits |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.701 | H F1 | 5.00 | 4.379 | F1 | mg/L | | 74 | 80 - 120 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | Spike | MSD | MSD | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec | RPD | RPD |
|---------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|------|---|------|----------|-----|-------|
| | Result | Qualifier | | Result | Qualifier | | | | Limits | | Limit |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.701 | H F1 | 5.00 | 4.642 | F1 | mg/L | | 79 | 80 - 120 | 6 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | Spike | MS | MS | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec |
|---------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|------|---|------|----------|
| | Result | Qualifier | | Result | Qualifier | | | | Limits |
| Fluoride - RA | ND | H | 5.00 | 4.922 | H | mg/L | | 89 | 80 - 120 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | Spike | MSD | MSD | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec | RPD | RPD |
|---------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|------|---|------|----------|-----|-------|
| | Result | Qualifier | | Result | Qualifier | | | | Limits | | Limit |
| Fluoride - RA | ND | H | 5.00 | 5.114 | H | mg/L | | 93 | 80 - 120 | 4 | 20 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: MW-21B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample | Sample | DU | DU | Unit | D | RPD | RPD |
|---------------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|------|---|-----|-----------|
| | Result | Qualifier | | Result | | | | Qualifier |
| Fluoride - RA | 0.701 | H F1 | 0.7052 | | mg/L | | 0.7 | 15 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: 9056A - Anions, Ion Chromatography - RA (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 635091

Client Sample ID: MW-32B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | DU Result | DU Qualifier | Unit | D | RPD | RPD Limit |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|-----|-----------|
| Fluoride - RA | ND | H | 0.5072 | | mg/L | | NC | 15 |

Method: SM 2540C - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-632300/1
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 632300

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | ND | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/02/23 15:16 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-632300/2
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 632300

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 502 | 498.0 | | mg/L | | 99 | 88 - 114 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-9 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 632300

Client Sample ID: MW-39B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | DU Result | DU Qualifier | Unit | D | RPD | RPD Limit |
|------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|-----|-----------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 1310 | | 1292 | | mg/L | | 1 | 10 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-632467/1
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 632467

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | ND | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:17 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-632467/2
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 632467

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 502 | 498.0 | | mg/L | | 99 | 88 - 114 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 280-632467/3
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 632467

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------|---|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 502 | 488.0 | | mg/L | | 97 | 88 - 114 | 2 | 20 |

QC Sample Results

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Method: SM 2540C - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-14 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 632467

Client Sample ID: MW-46B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | DU Result | DU Qualifier | Unit | D | RPD | RPD Limit |
|------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|-----|-----------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 757 | | 754.0 | | mg/L | | 0.4 | 10 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 280-632468/1
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 632468

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | MB Result | MB Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------|-----|------|---|----------|----------------|---------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | ND | | 10.0 | | mg/L | | | 11/03/23 15:19 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 280-632468/2
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 632468

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS Qualifier | Unit | D | %Rec | %Rec Limits |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------|---|------|-------------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 502 | 494.0 | | mg/L | | 99 | 88 - 114 |

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-15 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 632468

Client Sample ID: MW-45B
Prep Type: Total/NA

| Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | DU Result | DU Qualifier | Unit | D | RPD | RPD Limit |
|------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|------|---|-----|-----------|
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 904 | | 913.0 | | mg/L | | 1 | 10 |

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Metals

Prep Batch: 633114

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-7 | MW-52B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-8 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-9 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-10 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-11 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-12 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-13 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-14 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-15 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-16 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-17 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-18 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-19 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 3010A | |
| 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| MB 280-633114/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| LCS 280-633114/21-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| LCS 280-633114/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-20 MS | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 3010A | |
| 280-183794-20 MS | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-20 MSD | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 3010A | |
| 280-183794-20 MSD | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |

Prep Batch: 633204

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-5 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| 280-183794-6 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| MB 280-633204/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| LCS 280-633204/25-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |
| LCS 280-633204/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 3020A | |

Prep Batch: 633256

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-5 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-6 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-7 | MW-52B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-8 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-9 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-10 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-11 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-12 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-13 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |

Eurofins Denver

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Metals (Continued)

Prep Batch: 633256 (Continued)

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-14 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-15 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-16 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| MB 280-633256/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| LCS 280-633256/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |

Prep Batch: 633263

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-17 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-18 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-19 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| MB 280-633263/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| LCS 280-633263/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| LCSD 280-633263/3-A | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-20 MS | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |
| 280-183794-20 MSD | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | |

Analysis Batch: 633389

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-7 | MW-52B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-8 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-9 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-10 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-11 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-12 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-13 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-14 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-15 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-16 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-17 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-18 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-19 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| MB 280-633114/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| LCS 280-633114/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-20 MS | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |
| 280-183794-20 MSD | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |

Analysis Batch: 633390

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-7 | MW-52B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-8 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-9 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-10 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-11 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-12 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-13 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-14 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-15 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |

Eurofins Denver

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Metals (Continued)

Analysis Batch: 633390 (Continued)

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-16 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-17 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-18 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-19 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| MB 280-633114/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| LCS 280-633114/21-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |

Analysis Batch: 633447

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-5 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-6 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-7 | MW-52B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-8 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-9 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-10 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-11 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-12 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-13 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-14 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-15 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-16 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| 280-183794-17 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633263 |
| 280-183794-18 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633263 |
| 280-183794-19 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633263 |
| 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633263 |
| 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633263 |
| MB 280-633256/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| MB 280-633263/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633263 |
| LCS 280-633256/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633256 |
| LCS 280-633263/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633263 |
| LCSD 280-633263/3-A | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633263 |
| 280-183794-20 MS | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633263 |
| 280-183794-20 MSD | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 7470A | 633263 |

Analysis Batch: 633479

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-20 MS | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |
| 280-183794-20 MSD | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633114 |

Analysis Batch: 633553

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633114 |

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Metals

Analysis Batch: 633556

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633204 |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633204 |
| 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633204 |
| 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633204 |
| 280-183794-5 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633204 |
| 280-183794-6 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633204 |
| MB 280-633204/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633204 |
| LCS 280-633204/2-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 6010D | 633204 |

Analysis Batch: 633610

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633204 |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633204 |
| 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633204 |
| 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633204 |
| 280-183794-5 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633204 |
| 280-183794-6 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633204 |
| MB 280-633204/1-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633204 |
| LCS 280-633204/25-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 6020B | 633204 |

General Chemistry

Analysis Batch: 632300

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|----------|------------|
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-5 | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-6 | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-7 | MW-52B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-8 | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-9 | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| MB 280-632300/1 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| LCS 280-632300/2 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-9 DU | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |

Analysis Batch: 632467

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|----------|------------|
| 280-183794-10 | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-11 | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-12 | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-13 | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-14 | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| MB 280-632467/1 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| LCS 280-632467/2 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| LCSD 280-632467/3 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-14 DU | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

General Chemistry

Analysis Batch: 632468

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|----------|------------|
| 280-183794-15 | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-16 | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-17 | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-18 | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-19 | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| MB 280-632468/1 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| LCS 280-632468/2 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |
| 280-183794-15 DU | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | SM 2540C | |

Analysis Batch: 634862

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-2 - DL | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-3 - DL | MW-14BR | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-4 - DL | MW-20B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-5 - DL | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-6 - DL | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-7 - DL | MW-52B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-8 - DL | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-9 - DL | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-10 - DL | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-11 - DL | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-12 - DL | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-13 - DL | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-14 - DL | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-15 - DL | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-16 - DL | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-17 - DL | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-18 - DL | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-19 - DL | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-20 - DL | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MB 280-634862/41 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MB 280-634862/6 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCS 280-634862/39 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCS 280-634862/4 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCSD 280-634862/40 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCSD 280-634862/5 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MRL 280-634862/3 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-1 MS | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-1 MSD | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-20 MS - DL | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-20 MSD - DL | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-21 MS | Field Blank | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-21 MSD | Field Blank | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-1 DU | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-20 DU - DL | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |

Eurofins Denver

QC Association Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

General Chemistry (Continued)

Analysis Batch: 634862 (Continued)

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|------------------|------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-21 DU | Field Blank | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |

Analysis Batch: 635091

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|
| 280-183794-1 - DL | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-2 - RA | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-5 - DL | MW-38B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-6 - RA | MW-49B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-7 - RA | MW-52B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-8 - RA | MW-40B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-9 - RA | MW-39B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-10 - RA | MW-41B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-11 - RA | MW-42B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-12 - RA | MW-43B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-13 - RA | MW-47B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-14 - RA | MW-46B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-15 - RA | MW-45B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-16 - RA | MW-44B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-17 - RA | MW-37B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-18 - RA | MW-36B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-19 - RA | Dup-01 | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-20 - RA | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MB 280-635091/40 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MB 280-635091/6 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCS 280-635091/38 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCS 280-635091/4 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCSD 280-635091/39 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| LCSD 280-635091/5 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| MRL 280-635091/3 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-1 MS - DL | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-1 MSD - DL | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-2 MS - RA | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-2 MSD - RA | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-20 MS - RA | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-20 MSD - RA | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-1 DU - DL | MW-38C | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-2 DU - RA | MW-21B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |
| 280-183794-20 DU - RA | MW-32B | Total/NA | Water | 9056A | |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Client Sample ID: MW-38C

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-1

Date Collected: 10/26/23 08:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633204 | 11/09/23 15:28 | CAF | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633556 | 11/10/23 13:06 | ADL | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633204 | 11/09/23 15:28 | CAF | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633610 | 11/11/23 10:04 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:14 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 17:39 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 5 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/26/23 06:32 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 632300 | 11/02/23 15:16 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-21B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-2

Date Collected: 10/26/23 09:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633204 | 11/09/23 15:28 | CAF | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633556 | 11/10/23 13:10 | ADL | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633204 | 11/09/23 15:28 | CAF | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633610 | 11/11/23 10:06 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:16 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 20 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 18:23 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/26/23 01:35 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 25 mL | 100 mL | 632300 | 11/02/23 15:16 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-14BR

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-3

Date Collected: 10/26/23 10:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633204 | 11/09/23 15:28 | CAF | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633556 | 11/10/23 14:27 | ADL | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633204 | 11/09/23 15:28 | CAF | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633610 | 11/11/23 10:09 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:19 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 18:34 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 20 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 18:45 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 632300 | 11/02/23 15:16 | CAI | EET DEN |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Client Sample ID: MW-20B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-4

Date Collected: 10/26/23 11:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633204 | 11/09/23 15:28 | CAF | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633556 | 11/10/23 14:31 | ADL | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633204 | 11/09/23 15:28 | CAF | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633610 | 11/11/23 10:11 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:26 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 18:56 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 5 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 19:07 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 632300 | 11/02/23 15:16 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-38B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-5

Date Collected: 10/26/23 12:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633204 | 11/09/23 15:28 | CAF | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633556 | 11/10/23 14:35 | ADL | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633204 | 11/09/23 15:28 | CAF | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633610 | 11/11/23 10:13 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:29 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 20 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 19:18 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 50 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/26/23 00:51 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 10 mL | 100 mL | 632300 | 11/02/23 15:16 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-49B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-6

Date Collected: 10/26/23 13:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633204 | 11/09/23 15:28 | CAF | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633556 | 11/10/23 14:39 | ADL | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633204 | 11/09/23 15:28 | CAF | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633610 | 11/11/23 10:15 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:31 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 5 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 19:29 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/26/23 00:18 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 632300 | 11/02/23 15:16 | CAI | EET DEN |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Client Sample ID: MW-52B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-7

Date Collected: 10/26/23 15:45

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 01:26 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633390 | 11/10/23 01:09 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:34 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 5 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 19:40 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/26/23 00:07 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 632300 | 11/02/23 15:16 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-40B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-8

Date Collected: 10/26/23 16:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 01:30 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633390 | 11/10/23 01:11 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:37 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 5 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 19:51 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/25/23 23:56 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 632300 | 11/02/23 15:16 | CAI | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-39B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-9

Date Collected: 10/26/23 17:15

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 01:35 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633390 | 11/10/23 01:14 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:39 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 5 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 20:24 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/25/23 23:45 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 632300 | 11/02/23 15:16 | CAI | EET DEN |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Client Sample ID: MW-41B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-10

Date Collected: 10/27/23 08:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 01:39 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633390 | 11/10/23 01:16 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:42 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 20:35 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/25/23 23:34 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 632467 | 11/03/23 15:17 | ABW | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-42B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-11

Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 01:43 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633390 | 11/10/23 01:18 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:44 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 20 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 21:30 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/25/23 23:23 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 632467 | 11/03/23 15:17 | ABW | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-43B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-12

Date Collected: 10/27/23 09:45

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 01:48 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633390 | 11/10/23 01:20 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:47 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 21:41 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/25/23 23:12 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 632467 | 11/03/23 15:17 | ABW | EET DEN |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Client Sample ID: MW-47B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-13

Date Collected: 10/27/23 10:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 01:52 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633390 | 11/10/23 01:22 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:49 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 21:52 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/25/23 23:01 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 632467 | 11/03/23 15:17 | ABW | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-46B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-14

Date Collected: 10/27/23 11:15

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 01:56 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633390 | 11/10/23 01:25 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:57 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 22:03 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/25/23 22:06 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 632467 | 11/03/23 15:17 | ABW | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-45B

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-15

Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 02:18 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633390 | 11/10/23 01:31 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 18:59 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 22:36 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/25/23 21:33 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 632468 | 11/03/23 15:19 | ABW | EET DEN |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Client Sample ID: MW-44B

Date Collected: 10/27/23 12:45

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-16

Matrix: Water

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 02:22 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633390 | 11/10/23 01:33 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633256 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 19:02 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 22:47 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/25/23 21:22 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 632468 | 11/03/23 15:19 | ABW | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-37B

Date Collected: 10/27/23 13:15

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-17

Matrix: Water

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 02:27 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633390 | 11/10/23 01:36 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633263 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 20:03 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 22:58 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/25/23 21:11 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 632468 | 11/03/23 15:19 | ABW | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-36B

Date Collected: 10/27/23 14:00

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-18

Matrix: Water

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 02:31 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633390 | 11/10/23 01:38 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633263 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 20:05 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 23:09 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/25/23 21:00 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 632468 | 11/03/23 15:19 | ABW | EET DEN |

Lab Chronicle

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Client Sample ID: Dup-01

Date Collected: 10/27/23 00:00

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-19

Matrix: Water

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 02:35 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633390 | 11/10/23 01:40 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633263 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 20:08 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 23:20 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/25/23 20:49 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 632468 | 11/03/23 15:19 | ABW | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: MW-32B

Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:20

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-20

Matrix: Water

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3010A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633389 | 11/10/23 02:40 | BN | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633479 | 11/10/23 09:03 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633263 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 20:10 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | DL | 10 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/21/23 20:46 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | RA | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 635091 | 11/25/23 22:17 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 50 mL | 100 mL | 632468 | 11/03/23 15:19 | ABW | EET DEN |

Client Sample ID: Field Blank

Date Collected: 10/27/23 15:40

Date Received: 10/30/23 15:50

Lab Sample ID: 280-183794-21

Matrix: Water

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6010D | | 1 | | | 633553 | 11/10/23 14:06 | ADL | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 3020A | | | 50 mL | 50 mL | 633114 | 11/09/23 08:45 | MSM | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 6020B | | 1 | | | 633479 | 11/10/23 09:14 | LMT | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Prep | 7470A | | | 30 mL | 50 mL | 633263 | 11/09/23 14:35 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 7470A | | 1 | | | 633447 | 11/09/23 20:28 | KMS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 9056A | | 1 | 10 mL | 10 mL | 634862 | 11/22/23 00:04 | EJS | EET DEN |
| Total/NA | Analysis | SM 2540C | | 1 | 100 mL | 100 mL | 632468 | 11/03/23 15:19 | ABW | EET DEN |

Laboratory References:

EET DEN = Eurofins Denver, 4955 Yarrow Street, Arvada, CO 80002, TEL (303)736-0100

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.
Project/Site: Basin 2023 Support

Job ID: 280-183794-2

Laboratory: Eurofins Denver

The accreditations/certifications listed below are applicable to this report.


| Authority | Program | Identification Number | Expiration Date |
|-----------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Oregon | NELAP | 4025-019 | 01-08-24 |

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

Eurofins Denver
 4955 Yarrow Street
 Arvada, CO 80002
 Phone (303) 736-0100 Phone (303) 431-7171

Chain of Custody Record

eurofins | Environment Testing



280-183794 Chain of Custody

| | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Client Information | | Sampler: McEntee, Patrick J | | Lab PM: McEntee, Patrick J | | COC No: 280-133855-37095.1 | |
| Client Contact: Ms. Katie Abbott | | Phone: _____ | | E-Mail: Patrick.McEntee@eurofins.com | | Page: _____ of _____ | |
| Company: AECOM Technical Services Inc. | | Address: 6200 S. Quebec Street | | City: Greenwood Village | | State or Origin: _____ | |
| Address: 6200 S. Quebec Street | | City: Greenwood Village | | State: CO | | Zip: 80111 | |
| Phone: 616-574-8327(Tel) | | Compliance Project: Δ Yes Δ No | | PO #: _____ | | Purchase Order No: 147145 | |
| Email: katie.abbott@aecom.com | | AECOM Project#: 60632474 | | Project #: 28020759 | | SSOW#: _____ | |
| Due Date Requested: _____ | | TAT Requested (days): _____ | | Field Filtered Sample (Yes or No) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> | | Analysis Requested | |
| Sample Date | | Sample Time | | Sample Type (C=Comp, G=grab) | | Matrix (W=water, S=solid, O=soil, A=air) | |
| Sample Identification | | Sample Date | | Sample Time | | Sample Type (C=Comp, G=grab) | |
| MW-310 | | 10/26/23 | | 0500 | | G W | |
| MW-21B | | 10/26/23 | | 0900 | | G W | |
| MW-14B | | 10/26/23 | | 1000 | | G W | |
| MW-20D | | 10/26/23 | | 1100 | | G W | |
| MW-38B | | 10/26/23 | | 1200 | | G W | |
| MW-49B | | 10/26/23 | | 1300 | | G W | |
| MW-52B | | 10/26/23 | | 1545 | | G W | |
| MW-40B | | 10/26/23 | | 1630 | | G W | |
| MW-39B | | 10/26/23 | | 1715 | | G W | |
| MW-41B | | 10/27/23 | | 0800 | | G W | |
| MW-42B | | 10/27/23 | | 0900 | | G W | |
| Possible Hazard Identification | | <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Hazard <input type="checkbox"/> Flammable <input type="checkbox"/> Skin Irritant <input type="checkbox"/> Poison B <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown <input type="checkbox"/> Radiological | | <input type="checkbox"/> Return To Client <input type="checkbox"/> Disposal By Lab <input type="checkbox"/> Archive For _____ Months | | Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month) | |
| Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify) | | Empty Kit Relinquished by: _____ | | Date/Time: _____ | | Special Instructions/QC Requirements: | |
| Relinquished by: _____ | | Date/Time: 10/30/23 1530 | | Date/Time: 10/30/23 1530 | | Date/Time: _____ | |
| Relinquished by: _____ | | Date/Time: _____ | | Date/Time: _____ | | Date/Time: _____ | |
| Relinquished by: _____ | | Date/Time: _____ | | Date/Time: _____ | | Date/Time: _____ | |
| Custody Seals Intact: Δ Yes Δ No | | Custody Seal No.: _____ | | Pooler Temperature(s) and Other Remarks: None (D.I.) only 1, 2, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 | | Ver: 01/16/2019 | |

Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: AECOM Technical Services Inc.

Job Number: 280-183794-2

Login Number: 183794

List Number: 1

Creator: Held, Wesley

List Source: Eurofins Denver

| Question | Answer | Comment |
|--|--------|---------|
| Radioactivity wasn't checked or is </= background as measured by a survey meter. | N/A | |
| The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact. | N/A | |
| Sample custody seals, if present, are intact. | N/A | |
| The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with. | True | |
| Samples were received on ice. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is acceptable. | True | |
| Cooler Temperature is recorded. | True | |
| COC is present. | True | |
| COC is filled out in ink and legible. | True | |
| COC is filled out with all pertinent information. | True | |
| Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC? | True | |
| There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC. | True | |
| Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs) | True | |
| Sample containers have legible labels. | True | |
| Containers are not broken or leaking. | True | |
| Sample collection date/times are provided. | True | |
| Appropriate sample containers are used. | True | |
| Sample bottles are completely filled. | True | |
| Sample Preservation Verified. | N/A | |
| There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs | True | |
| Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4"). | N/A | |
| Multiphasic samples are not present. | True | |
| Samples do not require splitting or compositing. | True | |
| Residual Chlorine Checked. | N/A | |





Environment

Submitted to:
Basin Electric Laramie River Station

Submitted by:
AECOM
Denver, CO

December 15, 2023
Inorganic and Radiochemistry
Limited Data Validation Report

Basin Electric Laramie, Wyoming
Groundwater Sampling – October 2023
Analyzed by Eurofins Environment Testing

Prepared By Jamie Herman
Project Chemist

Overview

The samples analyzed for the October 2023 sampling event are listed in the Table of Samples Validated (page 3). Limited data validation was performed on a total of 19 groundwater samples, one field duplicate sample, and one field blank sample.

Samples were submitted to Eurofins Environment Testing in Denver, Colorado.

The reviewed analyses were Select Total Metals by SW-846 Methods 6010C, 6020A, and 7470A, Chloride, Fluoride, and Sulfate by SW-846 Method 9056A, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) by SM2540C, Radium-226 by SW-846 Method 9315, Radium-228 by SW-846 Method 9320, and combined Radium-226 and Radium-228 by calculation.

The Analytical Limited Data Validation Checklist is presented as pages 4-8. Data were evaluated using guidance set forth in the *National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Data Review*, (November 2020), method requirements, and laboratory criteria, as applicable.

The following data components were reviewed during the data validation procedure:

| |
|---|
| Submitted Deliverables |
| Case Narratives (including any assigned laboratory flags) |
| Chain-of-Custody form(s) and sample integrity |
| Sample results, reporting limits, dilution factors |
| Holding times |
| Method (preparation) blank results |
| Field blank results |
| Laboratory control sample (LCS), laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) results |
| Matrix spike (MS), matrix spike duplicate (MSD) results |
| Laboratory duplicate (or spiked duplicate) results |
| Field duplicate (FD) results (calculated Relative Percent Differences [RPD]) |
| Electronic data deliverables (EDDs) – EQulS format |

Data Validation Qualifiers Potentially Assigned During this Review

- J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
- J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
- R The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the adjusted detection limit or quantitation limit, as appropriate.
- UJ The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.

Assigned qualifiers are detailed in the Analytical Data Validation Checklist and are summarized in the Table of Qualified Analytical Results (page 9).

Overall Data Assessment

Field and laboratory precision, field and laboratory accuracy, method compliance, and data set completeness are determined to be acceptable based on the data reported. With the exception of the fluoride results for samples MW-32B and MW-52B, that were qualified as unusable due to method holding time exceedances, the completeness of the data set was calculated to be greater than 99% and is acceptable. All other reported data are suitable for their intended use with the qualifications and clarifications noted.

**Table of Samples Validated
Basin Electric – Laramie, Wyoming
October 2023 Sampling**

| Laboratory SDG | Laboratory Identification | Field Sample Identification | Sample Type |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 280-183794-1 280-183794-2 | 280-183794-1 | MW-38C | N |
| | 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | N |
| | 280-183794-3 | MW-14BR | N |
| | 280-183794-4 | MW-20B | N |
| | 280-183794-5 | MW-38B | N |
| | 280-183794-6 | MW-49B | N |
| | 280-183794-7 | MW-52B | N |
| | 280-183794-8 | MW-40B | N |
| | 280-183794-9 | MW-39B | N |
| | 280-183794-10 | MW-41B | N |
| | 280-183794-11 | MW-42B | N |
| | 280-183794-12 | MW-43B | N |
| | 280-183794-13 | MW-47B | N |
| | 280-183794-14 | MW-46B | N |
| | 280-183794-15 | MW-45B | N |
| | 280-183794-16 | MW-44B | N |
| | 280-183794-17 | MW-37B | N |
| | 280-183794-18 | MW-36B | N |
| | 280-183794-19 | Dup-01 | FD |
| | 280-183794-20 | MW-32B | N |
| | 280-183794-21 | Field Blank | FB |

FB – Field Blank Sample
 FD – Field Duplicate Sample
 N – Normal Field Sample
 SDG – Sample Delivery Group

| | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|--------------|----|----------|
| Project Name: Basin Electric Laramie River Station, Wyoming | Laboratory: Eurofins Environment Testing in Denver, Colorado, and Eurofins Environment Testing in Saint Louis, Missouri | | | | |
| Project Reference: October 2023 Groundwater Monitoring | Sample Matrix: Groundwater | | | | |
| AECOM Project: 60632474 Task 9.2 | Sample Start Date: 10/26/2023 | | | | |
| Validator/Date Validated: Jamie Herman, 12/15/23 | Sample End Date: 10/27/2023 | | | | |
| Secondary Review by: Katie Abbott | Secondary Review Date: December 21, 2023 | | | | |
| Samples Analyzed: See page 3. | | | | | |
| Parameters Validated: Total Metals by SW-846 Methods 6010C, 6020A, and 7470A, Anions (Chloride, Fluoride, and Sulfate) by SW-846 Method 9056A, TDS by SM2540C, Radium-226 by SW-846 Method 9315, Radium-228 by SW-846 Method 9320, and Combined Radium | | | | | |
| Sample Delivery Groups (SDGs): 280-183794-1, 280-183794-2 | | | | | |
| PRECISION, ACCURACY, METHOD COMPLIANCE, AND COMPLETENESS ASSESSMENT | | | | | |
| Precision: | X | Acceptable | Unacceptable | JH | Initials |
| <p>Comments: Precision is the measure of variability of individual sample measurements. Field precision was evaluated by reviewing the field duplicate results, and laboratory precision was evaluated by reviewing method duplicate sample results, laboratory control sample (LCS) to laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) results, and matrix spike (MS) to matrix spike duplicate (MSD) results.</p> <p>Laboratory criteria was used to evaluate laboratory precision.</p> <p>Field and laboratory precision is acceptable because no data are rejected. Precision measurements are reviewed in items 17 and 21.</p> | | | | | |
| Accuracy: | X | Acceptable | Unacceptable | JH | Initials |
| <p>Comments: Field accuracy, a measure of the sampling bias, can be determined by reviewing field and equipment blank results for evidence of sample contamination stemming from sampling activities and/or field conditions. Laboratory accuracy is a measure of the system bias, and was measured by evaluating LCS, MS, and MSD percent recoveries (%Rs). LCS %Rs demonstrated the overall performance of the analysis. MS, MSD %Rs provided information on sample matrix interferences. Accuracy measurements were evaluated using laboratory control limits. Overall field and laboratory accuracy is acceptable because the majority of the results are unqualified based on accuracy and no data are rejected. Accuracy measurements are reviewed in items 12, 14, 15, 16, and 20.</p> | | | | | |
| Method Compliance: | X | Acceptable | Unacceptable | JH | Initials |
| <p>Comments: For this data set, method compliance was determined by evaluating sample integrity, holding time, reporting limits, and laboratory blanks against method specified requirements. Overall method compliance is acceptable because the majority of the results were unqualified, and limited results were qualified as unusable based on the method compliance parameters. Method compliance measurements are also reviewed in items 4, 6, 8, 11, 13, 18, 19, 20, and 22.</p> | | | | | |
| Completeness: | X | Acceptable | Unacceptable | JH | Initials |
| <p>Comments: Completeness is the overall ratio of the number of samples planned versus the number of samples with valid analyses. Determination of completeness included a review of chain of custody records, laboratory analytical methods and reporting limits, laboratory case narratives, and project requirements. Completeness also included 10% review of the laboratory sample data results, QC summary reports, and electronic data deliverables (EDDs). Any EDD modifications were made as documented in item 23.</p> <p>With the exception of the fluoride results for samples MW-32B and MW-52B, that were qualified as unusable due to method holding time exceedances, the completeness of the data set was calculated to be greater than 99% and is acceptable. All other reported data are suitable for their intended use as reported with the clarifications and qualifications noted.</p> | | | | | |

VALIDATION CRITERIA CHECK

Data validation qualifiers potentially assigned during this review:

- J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
- J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
- R The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the adjusted detection limit or quantitation limit, as appropriate.
- UJ The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.

The following comments identifying sample results requiring qualification are in **bold type**. The other comments are of interest, but qualification of the sample results is not necessary.

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|
| 1. Did the laboratory identify any non-conformances related to the analytical results? | X | Yes | | No | JH | Initials |
|--|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|

Comments: Data qualification, if any, related to the narrative comments and/or assigned laboratory flags contained in the analytical reports are discussed in the following sections.

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----|----------|----|----|----------|
| 2. Were sample Chain-of-Custody (COC) forms complete? | | Yes | X | No | JH | Initials |
|---|--|-----|----------|----|----|----------|

Comments: With the following exception, no issues were observed, and custody was maintained as evidenced by field and laboratory personnel signatures, dates, and times of receipt.
 Due to field sampling error, sample MW-45B is listed on the COC twice. The laboratory correctly logged the samples by the associated container labels as MW-45B collected at 12:00, and MW-44B collected at 12:45. No further action was considered necessary.

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|
| 3. Were all the analyses requested for the samples on the COCs completed by the laboratory? | X | Yes | | No | JH | Initials |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|

Comments: All requested analyses were completed by the laboratory.

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|
| 4. Were samples received in good condition and at the appropriate temperature? | X | Yes | | No | JH | Initials |
|--|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|

Comments: All samples were received intact and within the recommended <6°C temperature, as applicable to the Methods.

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|
| 5. Were the reported analytical methods in compliance with WP/QAPP, permit, or COC? | X | Yes | | No | JH | Initials |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|

Comments: The reported target analytes and methods complied with parameters and methods listed on the COC.

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----|----------|----|----|----------|
| 6. Were detection limits in accordance with WP/QAPP, permit, or method? | | Yes | X | No | JH | Initials |
|---|--|-----|----------|----|----|----------|

Comments: With the exception of fluoride for sample MW-39B, no sample results were reported as non-detect at elevated reporting limits.

For radiological parameters, if the associated uncertainty was greater than the reported result, the 2 sigma (σ) uncertainty multiplied by 1.65 was less than or equal to the specified detection limit, with the exceptions listed in the table below.

| Samples | Analyte | Result (pCi/L) | 2 Sigma (σ) Uncertainty | MDC (pCi/L) | Qualification |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Data Package 280-183794-1 | | | | | |
| MW-21B | Combined Radium | 0.284 | ±0.38 | 0.621 | As the 2 σ uncertainty multiplied by 1.65 was greater than the reported minimum detectable concentration (MDC), the |
| MW-43B | Radium-228 | 0.445 | ±0.461 | 0.741 | |
| MW-49B | Radium-226 | 0.0807 | ±0.0927 | 0.149 | |

VALIDATION CRITERIA CHECK

associated results were qualified as estimated (J v).

σ – Sigma
± – Plus or Minus
MDC – Minimum Detectable Concentration

pCi/L – PicoCuries per Liter
J – Estimated Concentration
v – Compound Identification Issue

Refer to the Table of Qualified Analytical Results for a listing of the samples, analytes, and concentrations qualified (page 9).

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|
| 7. Do the laboratory reports include only those constituents requested to be reported for a specific analytical method? | X | Yes | | No | JH | Initials |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|

Comments: Only the requested target analytes were reported.

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----|----------|----|----|----------|
| 8. Were sample holding times met? | | Yes | X | No | JH | Initials |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----|----------|----|----|----------|

Comments: With the following exceptions, the sample preparation and analytical holding times were within the Method requirements.

**Data Package 280-183794-2
Method 9056A - Anions**

Samples MW-21B, MW-49B, MW-52B, MW-40B, MW-39B, MW-41B, MW-42B, MW-43B, MW-47B, MW-46B, MW-45B, MW-44B, MW-37B, MW-36B, Dup-01, and MW-32B were re-analyzed for fluoride 1-3 days outside of the 28-day method required holding time. The associated detected results were qualified as estimated (J- h), and the associated non-detected results were qualified as unusable (R h).

Samples MW-38C and MW-38B were re-analyzed at a dilution for sulfate 3 days outside of the 28-day method required holding time. The associated detected results were qualified as estimated (J- h).

Refer to the Table of Qualified Analytical Results for a listing of the samples, analytes, and concentrations qualified (page 9).

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|
| 9. Were correct concentration units reported? | X | Yes | | No | JH | Initials |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|

Comments: Yes, the correct concentration units were reported.

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|
| 10. Were the reporting requirements for flagged data met? | X | Yes | | No | JH | Initials |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|

Comments: Laboratory flags were reviewed and considered during the data validation procedure. Data validation qualifiers override assigned laboratory flags.

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----|----------|----|----|----------|
| 11. Were laboratory blank samples free of target analyte contamination? | | Yes | X | No | JH | Initials |
|---|--|-----|----------|----|----|----------|

Comments: With the following exception, the laboratory blanks were free of target analyte contamination and radiological parameter results were less than the MDC.

| Laboratory Blank/ Associated Samples | Analyte | Concentration | Qualification |
|---|---------|---------------|---|
| Data Package 280-183794-2 | | | |
| MB 280-633114/1-A MW-52B MW-40B MW-39B MW-41B MW-42B MW-43B MW-47B MW-46B MW-45B MW-44B MW-37B MW-36B Dup-01 MW-32B Field Blank | Calcium | 391.0 µg/L | As the method blank concentration was ≥RL, and the associated sample results were reported at concentrations >10x the blank concentration, data qualification was not considered necessary. |

≥ – Greater Than or Equal To
> - Greater Than

µg/L – Micrograms per Liter
MB – Method Blank

Refer to the Table of Qualified Analytical Results for a listing of the samples, analytes, and concentrations qualified (page 9).

VALIDATION CRITERIA CHECK

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----|----------|----|----|----------|
| 12. Were trip blank, field blank, and/or equipment rinse blank samples free of target analyte contamination? | | Yes | X | No | JH | Initials |
|--|--|-----|----------|----|----|----------|

Comments: A trip blank and equipment blank were not required for this sampling event. One field blank was collected, and with the exception noted below, the field blank was free of target analyte contamination and radiological parameter results were less than the MDC.

| Field Blank/ Associated Samples | Analyte | Concentration | Qualification |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| Data Package 280-183794-1 | | | |
| Field Blank All Samples | Combined Radium | 0.968 ±0.405 pCi/L | The associated sample results reported at concentrations ≥MDC and <10x the blank concentration were qualified as estimate (J+ bf) to reflect the high bias indicated by the blank contamination. |
| | Radium-226 | 0.206 ±0.104 pCi/L | |
| | Radium-228 | 0.762 ±0.391 pCi/L | |

≥ - Greater Than or Equal To
 < - Less Than
 bf - Field Blank Contamination

J+ - Estimated Concentration, High Bias
 mDC - Minimum Detectable Concentration
 pCi/L - Picocuries per Liter

Refer to the Table of Qualified Analytical Results for a listing of the samples, analytes, and concentrations qualified (page 9).

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----------|----|----|----------|
| 13. Were instrument calibrations within method or data validation control limits? | NA | Yes | NA | No | JH | Initials |
|---|-----------|-----|-----------|----|----|----------|

Comments: Not applicable for the level of limited validation.

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|
| 14. Were surrogate/tracer recoveries within control limits? | X | Yes | | No | JH | Initials |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|

Comments: The associated tracer recoveries were within control limits. Surrogate recoveries are not applicable for the analytical methods reported.

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|
| 15. Were laboratory control sample recoveries and relative percent difference (RPDs) within control limits? | X | Yes | | No | JH | Initials |
|---|----------|-----|--|----|----|----------|

Comments: LCS and/or LCSD percent recoveries and RPDs were within laboratory control limits.

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----|----------|----|----|----------|
| 16. Were matrix spike recoveries and RPDs within control limits? | | Yes | X | No | JH | Initials |
|--|--|-----|----------|----|----|----------|

Comments: A matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) was performed on the following samples: MW-32B for total metals, chloride, fluoride, sulfate, radium-226, and radium-228, MW-38C for chloride, fluoride, sulfate, and MW-21B for fluoride. With the exceptions listed in the table below, the MS/MSD spike recoveries and RPDs were within laboratory control limits.

| Associated Sample | Analyte | %R (Limits) | RPD (Limits) | Comment |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------------|---|
| Data Package 280-183794-2 | | | | |
| MW-32B | Arsenic | 95/91 (92-112) | 5 (20) | As the potential bias was considered to be low, the associated sample results were qualified as estimated (UJ/J- m). |
| | Barium | 97/87 (92-117) | 6 (20) | |
| | Cadmium | 95/88 (91-114) | 7 (20) | |
| | Cobalt | 93/89 (94-115) | 4 (20) | |
| | Chromium | 92/90 (91-114) | 2 (20) | |
| | Lead | 96/90 (95-116) | 6 (20) | |
| | Thallium | 94/90 (94-115) | 4 (20) | |
| MW-38C (DL) | Sulfate | 279/111 (80-120) | 55 (20) | As the potential bias was considered to be high, and the associated RPD was outside control limits, the associated detected sample result was qualified as estimated (J+ m,ld). |
| MW-21B | Fluoride | 74/79 (80-120) | 6 (20) | As the potential bias was considered to be low, the associated detected sample result was qualified as estimated (J- m). |

| VALIDATION CRITERIA CHECK | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--|-----------|--------|----|----------|
| %R – Percent Recovery J- – Estimated Concentration, Biased Low J+ - Estimated Concentration, Biased High | | m – Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate recovery outlier RPD – Relative Percent Difference UJ – Estimated non detect | | | | |
| Refer to the Table of Qualified Analytical Results for a listing of the samples, analytes, and concentrations qualified (page 9). | | | | | | |
| 17. Were laboratory duplicate RPDs and/or serial dilution %Ds within control limits? | X | Yes | X | No | JH | Initials |
| Comments: Laboratory duplicates were performed for anions and total dissolved solids analysis and met laboratory control limits. Serial dilutions were not evaluated for this level of limited validation. | | | | | | |
| 18. Were organic system performance criteria met? | NA | Yes | NA | No | JH | Initials |
| Comments: Not applicable for this level of limited validation, or for the methods reported. | | | | | | |
| 19. Were internal standards within method criteria for ICP-MS sample analyses? | NA | Yes | NA | No | JH | Initials |
| Comments: Not evaluated for this level of limited data validation. | | | | | | |
| 20. Were system performance criteria met? | NA | Yes | NA | No | JH | Initials |
| Comments: Not evaluated for this level of limited validation. | | | | | | |
| 21. Were field duplicates collected? If so, discuss the precision (RPD and/or RER) of the results. | X | Yes | | No | JH | Initials |
| Duplicate Sample | Dup-01 | Primary Sample | | MW-36B | | |
| Comments: The duplicate sample pair met the acceptance criteria. The following criteria was used to evaluate the field duplicate results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For results where both reported values were greater than five times the reporting limit (RL), the relative percent difference (RPD) between the results were $\leq 30\%$. For results where either value reported was less than five times the RL, the absolute difference between the results was compared to a criterion of agreement within $\pm 2xRL$. The replicate error ratio (RER) for radiochemical parameters was ≤ 2. | | | | | | |
| 22. Were qualitative criteria for organic target analyte identification met? | NA | Yes | NA | No | JH | Initials |
| Comments: Not applicable for this level of limited data validation or for the methods reported. | | | | | | |
| 23. Were 10% of the EDD concentrations and reporting limits compared to the hardcopy data reports? | X | Yes | | No | JH | Initials |
| Comments: During the validation procedure, 10% of the positive sample concentrations and RLs for project samples were compared to hardcopy laboratory reports. | | | | | | |

**Table of Qualified Analytical Results
Basin Electric – Laramie, Wyoming
October 2023 Sampling**

| Data Package | Field Sample Identification | Laboratory Sample Identification | Analytical Method | Analyte | Flag | Reason Code |
|--------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------|-------------|
| 280-183794-2 | MW-38C | 280-183794-1 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-38C | 280-183794-1 | SW9056A | Sulfate | J- | h,m,ld |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-21B | 280-183794-2 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | J | v |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-21B | 280-183794-2 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h,m |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38B | 280-183794-5 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-38B | 280-183794-5 | SW9056A | Sulfate | J- | h |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-38B | 280-183794-5 | SW9320 | Radium-228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-49B | 280-183794-6 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-49B | 280-183794-6 | SW9315 | Radium-226 | J | v |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-52B | 280-183794-7 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-52B | 280-183794-7 | SW9056A | Fluoride | R | h |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-40B | 280-183794-8 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-39B | 280-183794-9 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-39B | 280-183794-9 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-39B | 280-183794-9 | SW9315 | Radium-226 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-41B | 280-183794-10 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-41B | 280-183794-10 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-42B | 280-183794-11 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-42B | 280-183794-11 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-43B | 280-183794-12 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-43B | 280-183794-12 | SW9320 | Radium-228 | J | v |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-47B | 280-183794-13 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-47B | 280-183794-13 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-46B | 280-183794-14 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-46B | 280-183794-14 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-45B | 280-183794-15 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-45B | 280-183794-15 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-44B | 280-183794-16 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-44B | 280-183794-16 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-44B | 280-183794-16 | SW9315 | Radium-226 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-44B | 280-183794-16 | SW9320 | Radium-228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-37B | 280-183794-17 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-37B | 280-183794-17 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-36B | 280-183794-18 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-36B | 280-183794-18 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-1 | MW-36B | 280-183794-18 | SW9315 | Radium-226 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-1 | DUP-01 | 280-183794-19 | RA226RA228 | Combined Radium 226 + 228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | DUP-01 | 280-183794-19 | SW9056A | Fluoride | J- | h |
| 280-183794-1 | DUP-01 | 280-183794-19 | SW9315 | Radium-226 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-1 | DUP-01 | 280-183794-19 | SW9320 | Radium-228 | J+ | bf |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-32B | 280-183794-20 | SW6020B | Arsenic | UJ | m |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-32B | 280-183794-20 | SW6020B | Barium | J- | m |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-32B | 280-183794-20 | SW6020B | Cadmium | UJ | m |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-32B | 280-183794-20 | SW6020B | Chromium | UJ | m |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-32B | 280-183794-20 | SW6020B | Cobalt | UJ | m |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-32B | 280-183794-20 | SW6020B | Lead | UJ | m |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-32B | 280-183794-20 | SW6020B | Thallium | UJ | m |
| 280-183794-2 | MW-32B | 280-183794-20 | SW9056A | Fluoride | R | h |

Flag Definitions

- J - The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- J+ - The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
- J- - The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
- R - The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
- UJ - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.

Reason Code Definitions

- bf - Field Blank Contamination
- h - Holding Times
- ld - Laboratory Duplicate Imprecision
- m - Matrix Spike Recovery Outliers
- v - Compound Identification Issue

Attachment B

Input/Output Data Files for Calculation of Upper and Lower Prediction Limits (2016-2021)

Attachment B: Input data for Calculation
of Upper and Lower Predictive Limits
CCR Monitoring Wells for Multi-units
Laramie River Station - Wheatland, WY

Pond 1 Unit

| Well | date | Result | D-Result | |
|------------------------|------------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--|
| Analyte (Abbreviation) | | B | D_B | Ca | D_Ca | Cl | D_Cl | F | D_F | pH | D_pH | SO | D_SO | TDS | D_TDS | Sb | D_Sb | As | D_As | Ba | D_Ba | | | |
| MW-52B | 7/19/2017 | 0.15 | 1 | 120 | 1 | 33 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 7.91 | 1 | 370 | 1 | 820 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.13 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 8/25/2017 | 0.16 | 1 | 120 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.54 | 1 | 410 | 1 | 920 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.12 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 8/31/2017 | 0.16 | 1 | 160 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.61 | 1 | 420 | 1 | 930 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.24 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 9/6/2017 | 0.17 | 1 | 140 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.61 | 1 | 430 | 1 | 980 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.11 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 9/14/2017 | 0.16 | 1 | 130 | 1 | 43 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.46 | 1 | 430 | 1 | 940 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.12 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 9/18/2017 | 0.15 | 1 | 130 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.45 | 1 | 420 | 1 | 1000 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.11 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 9/27/2017 | 0.15 | 1 | 140 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.55 | 1 | 430 | 1 | 960 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.11 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 10/3/2017 | 0.15 | 1 | 130 | 1 | 42 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.74 | 1 | 430 | 1 | 1000 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.096 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 4/4/2018 | | | | | | | 0.5 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.109 | 1 | | |
| MW-52B | 6/27/2018 | 0.16 | 1 | 175 | 1 | 39.4 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.39 | 1 | 499 | 1 | 1080 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0861 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 10/24/2018 | 0.159 | 1 | 168 | 1 | 41.1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | | | 469 | 1 | 1100 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0819 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 6/5/2019 | 0.152 | 1 | 169 | 1 | 42.8 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 6.94 | 1 | 493 | 1 | 1120 | 1 | | | | | | 0.075 | 1 | | |
| MW-52B | 10/22/2019 | 0.154 | 1 | 174 | 1 | 39.3 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.55 | 1 | 471 | 1 | 1040 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0752 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 6/3/2020 | 0.149 | 1 | 167 | 1 | 34.8 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.53 | 1 | 450 | 1 | 1890 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0715 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 10/7/2020 | 0.187 | 1 | 161 | 1 | 40.7 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.58 | 1 | 923 | 1 | 1140 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0514 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 6/4/2021 | 0.174 | 1 | 158 | 1 | 47.7 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.66 | 1 | 455 | 1 | 1130 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0653 | 1 | | | |
| MW-52B | 10/7/2021 | 0.166 | 1 | 159 | 1 | 49.1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.52 | 1 | 480 | 1 | 1090 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0512 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 7/19/2017 | 0.1 | 0 | 95 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 0.96 | 1 | 8.63 | 1 | 220 | 1 | 570 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 8/25/2017 | 0.1 | 0 | 81 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 0.91 | 1 | 8.48 | 1 | 210 | 1 | 560 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.12 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 8/31/2017 | 0.1 | 0 | 82 | 1 | 33 | 1 | 0.88 | 1 | 8.72 | 1 | 220 | 1 | 540 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.13 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 9/6/2017 | 0.1 | 0 | 79 | 1 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8.98 | 1 | 210 | 1 | 560 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.13 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 9/14/2017 | 0.1 | 0 | 77 | 1 | 33 | 1 | 0.93 | 1 | 7.79 | 1 | 220 | 1 | 590 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.094 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 9/18/2017 | 0.1 | 0 | 76 | 1 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7.52 | 1 | 210 | 1 | 580 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.094 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 9/27/2017 | 0.1 | 0 | 78 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 1.1 | 1 | 7.96 | 1 | 220 | 1 | 620 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.07 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 10/3/2017 | 0.1 | 0 | 78 | 1 | 33 | 1 | 1.1 | 1 | 7.79 | 1 | 220 | 1 | 610 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.081 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 4/4/2017 | | | | | | | 1.14 | 1 | | | | | | | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0546 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 6/27/2018 | 0.104 | 1 | 102 | 1 | 36.6 | 1 | 1.33 | 1 | 7.62 | 1 | 242 | 1 | 691 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0522 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 10/24/2018 | 0.106 | 1 | 97.8 | 1 | 40.5 | 1 | 1.21 | 1 | | | 231 | 1 | 711 | 1 | | | | | 0.0531 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 6/5/2019 | 0.1 | 0 | 104 | 1 | 45.7 | 1 | 1.08 | 1 | 7.2 | 1 | 247 | 1 | 699 | 1 | | | | | 0.0451 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 10/22/2019 | 0.1 | 0 | 102 | 1 | 47 | 1 | 0.919 | 1 | 7.81 | 1 | 222 | 1 | 686 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0477 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 6/3/2020 | 0.1 | 0 | 101 | 1 | 41.2 | 1 | 0.939 | 1 | 7.76 | 1 | 220 | 1 | 697 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0504 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 10/7/2020 | 0.117 | 1 | 102 | 1 | 42.1 | 1 | 0.958 | 1 | 7.74 | 1 | 238 | 1 | 709 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0427 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 6/4/2021 | 0.113 | 1 | 99.7 | 1 | 51.1 | 1 | 1.02 | 1 | 7.93 | 1 | 220 | 1 | 698 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0427 | 1 | | | |
| MW-53B | 10/6/2021 | 0.115 | 1 | 103 | 1 | 52.7 | 1 | 0.948 | 1 | 7.78 | 1 | 208 | 1 | 683 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0527 | 1 | | | |

Notes:
results in mg/L

Attachment B: Input data for Calculation
of Upper and Lower Predictive Limits
CCR Monitoring Wells for Multi-units
Laramie River Station - Wheatland, WY

Pond 1 Unit

| Well | date | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result |
|------------------------|------------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|
| Analyte (Abbreviation) | | Be | D_Be | Cd | D_Cd | Cr | D_Cr | Co | D_Co | Pb | D_Pb | Li | D_Li | Hg | D_Hg | Mo | D_Mo | Ra | D_Ra | Se | D_Se | Th | D_Th |
| MW-52B | 7/19/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0058 | 1 | 0.0014 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.048 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.013 | 1 | 0.347 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 8/25/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.039 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0094 | 1 | 0.903 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 8/31/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.011 | 1 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.0041 | 1 | 0.063 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0088 | 1 | 1.25 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 9/6/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.045 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0083 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 9/14/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.049 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0071 | 1 | 0.482 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 9/18/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.049 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0066 | 1 | 0.566 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 9/27/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.05 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0063 | 1 | 0.37 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 10/3/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.048 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0056 | 1 | 0.576 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 4/4/2018 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0475 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.00531 | 1 | 0.617 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 6/27/2018 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0476 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.00452 | 1 | 0.873 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 10/24/2018 | | | | | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | | | 0.0393 | 1 | | | 0.00407 | 1 | | | 0.005 | 0 | | |
| MW-52B | 6/5/2019 | | | | | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | | | 0.0467 | 1 | | | 0.00417 | 1 | | | 0.005 | 0 | | |
| MW-52B | 10/22/2019 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0403 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.00418 | 1 | 0.775 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 6/3/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0426 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.669 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 10/7/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0406 | 0 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.626 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 6/4/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0456 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 1.02 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-52B | 10/7/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0385 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.934 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 7/19/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0045 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.042 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.014 | 1 | 0.682 | 0 | 0.006 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 8/25/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0038 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.033 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.014 | 1 | 1.09 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 8/31/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0045 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.042 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.015 | 1 | 0.426 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 9/6/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0052 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.035 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.015 | 1 | 0.407 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 9/14/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0028 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.038 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.012 | 1 | 0.424 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 9/18/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0033 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.041 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.012 | 1 | 0.432 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 9/27/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.042 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 1 | 0.375 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 10/3/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0022 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.04 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.011 | 1 | 1.88 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 4/4/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0418 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.00696 | 1 | 0.37 | 0 | 0.00689 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 6/27/2018 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0384 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.00851 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.00636 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 10/24/2018 | | | | | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0412 | 1 | | | 0.00669 | 1 | | | 0.00708 | 1 | | |
| MW-53B | 6/5/2019 | | | | | 0.002 | 0 | | | | | 0.0375 | 1 | | | 0.00563 | 1 | | | 0.00854 | 1 | | |
| MW-53B | 10/22/2019 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | | | 0.0317 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.00606 | 1 | 0.595 | 0 | 0.00935 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 6/3/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0024 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0378 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.505 | 0 | 0.00951 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 10/7/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0027 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0331 | 0 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.632 | 0 | 0.0106 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 6/4/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0341 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.767 | 1 | 0.0103 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-53B | 10/6/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.035 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.749 | 0 | 0.0106 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 |

Notes:
results in mg/L

Attachment B: Input data for Calculation
of Upper and Lower Predictive Limits
CCR Monitoring Wells for Multi-units
Laramie River Station - Wheatland, WY

Pond2,3 Multi-unit

| Well | date | Result | D-Result |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|
| Analyte(Abbreviation) | | B | D_B | Ca | D_Ca | Cl | D_Cl | F | D_F | pH | D_pH | SO | D_SO | TDS | D_TDS | Sb | D_Sb | As | D_As | Ba | D_Ba |
| MW-32B | 9/1/2016 | 0.27 | 1 | 200 | 1 | 83 | 1 | 0.54 | 1 | 7.21 | 1 | 820 | 1 | 1700 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.077 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 11/11/2016 | 0.28 | 1 | 210 | 1 | 83 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 6.37 | 1 | 820 | 1 | 1700 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.057 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 12/15/2016 | 0.27 | 1 | 190 | 1 | 84 | 1 | 0.59 | 1 | 7.5 | 1 | 830 | 1 | 1700 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.053 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 2/13/2017 | 0.28 | 1 | 200 | 1 | 84 | 1 | 0.63 | 1 | 7.6 | 1 | 830 | 1 | 1700 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.047 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 4/4/2017 | 0.31 | 1 | 190 | 1 | 83 | 1 | 0.59 | 1 | 7.19 | 1 | 830 | 1 | 1700 | 1 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.038 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 5/16/2017 | 0.29 | 1 | 180 | 1 | 84 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 7.23 | 1 | 830 | 1 | 1700 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.04 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 6/13/2017 | 0.28 | 1 | 190 | 1 | 84 | 1 | 0.64 | 1 | 7.29 | 1 | 840 | 1 | 1800 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.041 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 7/26/2017 | 0.26 | 1 | 190 | 1 | 86 | 1 | 0.53 | 1 | 7.28 | 1 | 790 | 1 | 1700 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.044 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 4/3/2018 | | | | | | | 0.639 | 1 | 7.37 | 1 | | | | | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0387 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 6/27/2018 | 0.294 | 1 | 189 | 1 | 85.6 | 1 | 0.657 | 1 | 7.35 | 1 | 845 | 1 | 1780 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0371 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 6/5/2019 | 0.293 | 1 | 202 | 1 | 94.3 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 6.61 | 1 | 877 | 1 | 1830 | 1 | | | | | 0.0349 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 10/23/2019 | 0.295 | 1 | 198 | 1 | 89.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.36 | 1 | 840 | 1 | 1780 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0323 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 6/2/2020 | 0.305 | 1 | 203 | 1 | 85.2 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.37 | 1 | 820 | 1 | 1820 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0351 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 10/7/2020 | 0.306 | 1 | 191 | 1 | 83.8 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.25 | 1 | 833 | 1 | 1810 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0309 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 6/2/2021 | 0.313 | 1 | 201 | 1 | 97.1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.24 | 1 | 832 | 1 | 1830 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0332 | 1 |
| MW-32B | 10/6/2021 | 0.296 | 1 | 196 | 1 | 94.3 | 1 | 0.604 | 1 | 7.25 | 1 | 839 | 1 | 1840 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0333 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 9/2/2016 | 0.17 | 1 | 170 | 1 | 43 | 1 | 0.79 | 1 | 7.31 | 1 | 450 | 1 | 400 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.0057 | 1 | 0.11 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 11/10/2016 | 0.19 | 1 | 190 | 1 | 45 | 1 | 0.65 | 1 | 7.11 | 1 | 530 | 1 | 970 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.073 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 12/14/2016 | 0.18 | 1 | 180 | 1 | 46 | 1 | 0.63 | 1 | 7.5 | 1 | 540 | 1 | 1300 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.064 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 2/13/2017 | 0.19 | 1 | 200 | 1 | 46 | 1 | 0.66 | 1 | 7.7 | 1 | 540 | 1 | 1200 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.069 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 4/4/2017 | 0.2 | 1 | 180 | 1 | 46 | 1 | 0.61 | 1 | 7.02 | 1 | 550 | 1 | 1300 | 1 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.048 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 5/16/2017 | 0.21 | 1 | 170 | 1 | 46 | 1 | 0.66 | 1 | 7.13 | 1 | 540 | 1 | 1300 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.051 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 6/13/2017 | 0.18 | 1 | 170 | 1 | 46 | 1 | 0.66 | 1 | 7.17 | 1 | 550 | 1 | 1300 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.046 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 7/26/2017 | 0.18 | 1 | 180 | 1 | 47 | 1 | 0.64 | 1 | 7.18 | 1 | 540 | 1 | 1300 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 4/3/2018 | | | | | | | 0.641 | 1 | 7.33 | 1 | | | | | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0472 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 6/27/2018 | 0.192 | 1 | 181 | 1 | 46.4 | 1 | 0.686 | 1 | 7.38 | 1 | 582 | 1 | 1350 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0404 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 6/5/2019 | 0.189 | 1 | 197 | 1 | 49.9 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 6.71 | 1 | 602 | 1 | 1340 | 1 | | | | | 0.0402 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 10/23/2019 | 0.183 | 1 | 191 | 1 | 45.8 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.26 | 1 | 542 | 1 | 1310 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.038 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 6/3/2020 | 0.185 | 1 | 195 | 1 | 40.7 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.12 | 1 | 537 | 1 | 1360 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0356 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 10/7/2020 | 0.192 | 1 | 183 | 1 | 40.8 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.2 | 1 | 556 | 1 | 1340 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0321 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 6/2/2021 | 0.189 | 1 | 202 | 1 | 47.8 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.16 | 1 | 562 | 1 | 1300 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0332 | 1 |
| MW-39B | 10/6/2021 | 0.173 | 1 | 181 | 1 | 47 | 1 | 1.19 | 1 | 7.22 | 1 | 659 | 1 | 1310 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0342 | 1 |

Notes:
results in mg/L

Attachment B: Input data for Calculation
of Upper and Lower Predictive Limits
CCR Monitoring Wells for Multi-units
Laramie River Station - Wheatland, WY

Pond2,3 Multi-unit

| Well | date | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|----------|--------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|
| Analyte(Abbreviation) | | Be | D_Be | Cd | D_Cd | Cr | D_Cr | Co | D_Co | Pb | D_Pb | Li | D_Li | Hg | D_Hg | Mo | D_Mo | Ra | D_Ra | Se | D_Se | Th | D_Th |
| MW-32B | 9/1/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.074 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.018 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 11/11/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.08 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0076 | 1 | 0.716 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 12/15/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.073 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0064 | 1 | 0.471 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 2/13/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.075 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0061 | 1 | 0.713 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 4/4/2017 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.081 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.375 | 1 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 5/16/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.069 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0085 | 1 | 0.752 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 6/13/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.081 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0079 | 1 | 0.793 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 7/26/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.072 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0095 | 1 | 0.915 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 4/3/2018 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0804 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.00502 | 1 | 0.983 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 6/27/2018 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | | | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0769 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.00568 | 1 | 0.687 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 6/5/2019 | | | | | 0.002 | 0 | | | | | 0.0837 | 1 | | | 0.00358 | 1 | 0.58 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | | |
| MW-32B | 10/23/2019 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00245 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0739 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.00389 | 1 | 0.414 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 6/2/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0734 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.48 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 10/7/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0648 | 0 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.726 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 6/2/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0743 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.69 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-32B | 10/6/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0714 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 1.76 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 9/2/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.0028 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.073 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.069 | 1 | 0.823 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 11/10/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.0015 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.069 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.039 | 1 | 0.926 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 12/14/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.0012 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.066 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.028 | 1 | 0.797 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 2/13/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.068 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.02 | 1 | 0.609 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 4/4/2017 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.069 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.556 | 1 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 5/16/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.062 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.017 | 1 | 0.373 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 6/13/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.064 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.016 | 1 | 0.671 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 7/26/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.063 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.017 | 1 | 0.426 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 4/3/2018 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0661 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0134 | 1 | 0.758 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 6/27/2018 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | | | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0608 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0134 | 1 | 0.806 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 6/5/2019 | | | | | 0.002 | 0 | | | | | 0.0704 | 1 | | | 0.00966 | 1 | 0.508 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | | |
| MW-39B | 10/23/2019 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0565 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.00683 | 1 | 0.452 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 6/3/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0588 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.552 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 10/7/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0555 | 0 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.874 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 6/2/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0579 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.725 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-39B | 10/6/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0529 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.762 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |

Notes:
results in mg/L

Attachment B: Input data for Calculation
of Upper and Lower Predictive Limits
CCR Monitoring Wells for Multi-units
Laramie River Station - Wheatland, WY

Emergency Holding Ponds

| Well | date | Result | D-Result |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|
| Analyte(abbreviation) | B | D_B | Ca | D_Ca | Cl | D_Cl | F | D_F | pH | D_pH | SO | D_SO | TDS | D_TDS | Sb | D_Sb | As | D_As | Ba | D_Ba | |
| MW-41B | 8/31/2016 | 0.61 | 1 | 240 | 1 | 160 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.41 | 1 | 1300 | 1 | 2300 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.069 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 11/11/2016 | 0.68 | 1 | 270 | 1 | 170 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 6.45 | 1 | 1800 | 1 | 2400 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 12/15/2016 | 0.66 | 1 | 260 | 1 | 180 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.6 | 1 | 1500 | 1 | 2500 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.045 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 2/14/2017 | 0.64 | 1 | 280 | 1 | 180 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.7 | 1 | 1500 | 1 | 2600 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.046 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 4/3/2017 | 0.7 | 1 | 270 | 1 | 180 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.8 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2700 | 1 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.036 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 5/16/2017 | 0.66 | 1 | 270 | 1 | 190 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.53 | 1 | 1600 | 1 | 2800 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.037 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 6/13/2017 | 0.6 | 1 | 270 | 1 | 190 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.43 | 1 | 1600 | 1 | 2800 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.032 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 7/26/2017 | 0.64 | 1 | 280 | 1 | 200 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.9 | 1 | 1500 | 1 | 2700 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.034 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 6/26/2018 | 0.703 | 1 | 351 | 1 | 225 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.48 | 1 | 1660 | 1 | 3050 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0334 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 6/4/2019 | 0.714 | 1 | 396 | 1 | 277 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.47 | 1 | 2030 | 1 | 3400 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0351 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 10/21/2019 | 0.862 | 1 | 333 | 1 | 200 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7.56 | 1 | 1480 | 1 | 2800 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.032 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 6/2/2020 | 0.972 | 1 | 284 | 1 | 172 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.44 | 1 | 1300 | 1 | 2590 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0273 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 10/6/2020 | 1.02 | 1 | 257 | 1 | 155 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.35 | 1 | 1160 | 1 | 2230 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0254 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 6/3/2021 | 0.857 | 1 | 244 | 1 | 135 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.58 | 1 | 1060 | 1 | 2020 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0207 | 1 |
| MW-41B | 10/5/2021 | 0.902 | 1 | 195 | 1 | 120 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.59 | 1 | 985 | 1 | 1950 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.02 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 8/31/2016 | 0.94 | 1 | 530 | 1 | 320 | 1 | 0.763 | 1 | 7.56 | 1 | 2200 | 1 | 3800 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0193 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 11/11/2016 | 0.92 | 1 | 330 | 1 | 230 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.6 | 1 | 1700 | 1 | 2800 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.061 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 12/14/2016 | 0.89 | 1 | 320 | 1 | 210 | 1 | 0.68 | 1 | 7.6 | 1 | 1600 | 1 | 2700 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.047 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 2/15/2017 | 0.91 | 1 | 340 | 1 | 220 | 1 | 0.69 | 1 | 7.4 | 1 | 1600 | 1 | 2900 | 1 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.046 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 4/3/2017 | 0.94 | 1 | 450 | 1 | 320 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 6.52 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 3900 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.046 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 5/17/2017 | 0.87 | 1 | 430 | 1 | 320 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.6 | 1 | 2200 | 1 | 3900 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.03 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 6/13/2017 | 0.82 | 1 | 450 | 1 | 310 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.85 | 1 | 2200 | 1 | 4000 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.032 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 7/27/2017 | 1 | 1 | 410 | 1 | 300 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.8 | 1 | 1900 | 1 | 3400 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.03 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 6/26/2018 | 1.07 | 1 | 384 | 1 | 245 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.47 | 1 | 1760 | 1 | 3170 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.027 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 6/4/2019 | 0.897 | 1 | 413 | 1 | 297 | 1 | 0.739 | 1 | 7.47 | 1 | 2120 | 1 | 3470 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0268 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 10/21/2019 | 0.89 | 1 | 332 | 1 | 203 | 1 | 0.532 | 1 | 7.9 | 1 | 1470 | 1 | 2700 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0252 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 6/3/2020 | 0.733 | 1 | 173 | 1 | 133 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7.47 | 1 | 980 | 1 | 1940 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0316 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 10/7/2020 | 0.767 | 1 | 204 | 1 | 143 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.48 | 1 | 1030 | 1 | 2090 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0219 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 6/3/2021 | 0.756 | 1 | 163 | 1 | 114 | 1 | 0.536 | 1 | 7.4 | 1 | 918 | 1 | 1770 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0219 | 1 |
| MW-42B | 10/5/2021 | 0.621 | 1 | 193 | 1 | 173 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.37 | 1 | 1200 | 1 | 2260 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0211 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 9/1/2016 | 0.33 | 1 | 180 | 1 | 69 | 1 | 0.545 | 1 | 7.39 | 1 | 660 | 1 | 1300 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0189 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 11/11/2016 | 0.35 | 1 | 140 | 1 | 42 | 1 | 1.07 | 1 | 7.66 | 1 | 470 | 1 | 1000 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0262 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 12/14/2016 | 0.34 | 1 | 120 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.68 | 1 | 450 | 1 | 970 | 1 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.056 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 2/15/2017 | 0.35 | 1 | 120 | 1 | 39 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.64 | 1 | 410 | 1 | 910 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.038 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 4/4/2017 | 0.35 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 42 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.88 | 1 | 400 | 1 | 890 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.034 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 5/17/2017 | 0.36 | 1 | 110 | 1 | 47 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.58 | 1 | 420 | 1 | 910 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.034 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 6/13/2017 | 0.32 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 48 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.19 | 1 | 420 | 1 | 930 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.033 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 7/26/2017 | 0.34 | 1 | 110 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 6.49 | 1 | 430 | 1 | 930 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.031 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 6/26/2018 | 0.366 | 1 | 118 | 1 | 47.2 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.7 | 1 | 423 | 1 | 996 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.029 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 6/4/2019 | 0.319 | 1 | 146 | 1 | 81.7 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.62 | 1 | 611 | 1 | 1170 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.03 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 10/21/2019 | 0.32 | 1 | 172 | 1 | 67.6 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.9 | 1 | 663 | 1 | 1290 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0383 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 6/3/2020 | 0.316 | 1 | 149 | 1 | 52.9 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.37 | 1 | 550 | 1 | 1200 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0309 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 10/7/2020 | 0.328 | 1 | 122 | 1 | 31.3 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 7.42 | 1 | 413 | 1 | 1000 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.039 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 6/3/2021 | 0.296 | 1 | 94.4 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 343 | 1 | 811 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.044 | 1 |
| MW-43B | 10/5/2021 | 0.305 | 1 | 92.2 | 1 | 36.3 | 1 | 0.587 | 1 | 7.5 | 1 | 342 | 1 | 798 | 1 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0371 | 1 |

Notes:
results in mg/L

Attachment B: Input data for Calculation
of Upper and Lower Predictive Limits
CCR Monitoring Wells for Multi-units
Laramie River Station - Wheatland, WY

Emergency Holding Ponds

| Well | date | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | Result | D-Result | |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|----------|--------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|---|
| Analyte(abbreviation) | | Be | D_Be | Cd | D_Cd | Cr | D_Cr | Co | D_Co | Pb | D_Pb | Li | D_Li | Hg | D_Hg | Mo | D_Mo | Ra | D_Ra | Se | D_Se | Th | D_Th | |
| MW-41B | 8/31/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.0016 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.05 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.037 | 1 | 1.02 | 1 | 0.0097 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 11/11/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0039 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.057 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.048 | 1 | 0.807 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 12/15/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0042 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.053 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.045 | 1 | 0.938 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 2/14/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0051 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.055 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.055 | 1 | 0.358 | 0 | 0.01 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 4/3/2017 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.062 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.053 | 1 | 0.408 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 5/16/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0048 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.054 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.06 | 1 | 0.373 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 6/13/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0042 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.058 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.056 | 1 | 0.538 | 1 | 0.011 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 7/26/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.054 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.054 | 1 | 0.609 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 6/26/2018 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00336 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0655 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0637 | 1 | 0.398 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 6/4/2019 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00335 | 1 | 0.00114 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0631 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0678 | 1 | 0.73 | 0 | 0.011 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 10/21/2019 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00282 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0731 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.097 | 1 | 0.483 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 6/2/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00314 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0601 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.132 | 1 | 0.547 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 10/6/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00327 | 1 | 0.00118 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0541 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.14 | 1 | 0.486 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 6/3/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00389 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0507 | 0 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.135 | 1 | 0.53 | 1 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-41B | 10/5/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.0012 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0532 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.139 | 1 | 0.563 | 1 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 8/31/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0411 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.118 | 1 | 0.535 | 1 | 0.012 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 11/11/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0024 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.085 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.18 | 1 | 0.488 | 0 | 0.0065 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 12/14/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0029 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.066 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.15 | 1 | 0.59 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 2/15/2017 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.0036 | 1 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.061 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.13 | 1 | 0.509 | 0 | 0.011 | 1 | 0.01 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 4/3/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.063 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.15 | 1 | 0.477 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 5/17/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0027 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.083 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.17 | 1 | 0.397 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 6/13/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0024 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.075 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.18 | 1 | 0.609 | 1 | 0.011 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 7/27/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0025 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.081 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.17 | 1 | 0.503 | 0 | 0.0055 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 6/26/2018 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00319 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.071 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.15 | 1 | 0.508 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 6/4/2019 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00217 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0684 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.121 | 1 | 0.472 | 0 | 0.00886 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 10/21/2019 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00272 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0708 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.143 | 1 | 0.413 | 0 | 0.0077 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 6/3/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00235 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0765 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.138 | 1 | 0.478 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 10/7/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0022 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0561 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.106 | 1 | 0.475 | 0 | 0.00832 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 6/3/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0428 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0772 | 1 | 0.393 | 0 | 0.00714 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-42B | 10/5/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0437 | 0 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0706 | 1 | 0.855 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 9/1/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.003 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0431 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0662 | 1 | 0.525 | 1 | 0.00611 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 11/11/2016 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.008 | 1 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0653 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0653 | 1 | 0.722 | 1 | 0.00699 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 12/14/2016 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.0089 | 1 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.041 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.049 | 1 | 0.714 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 2/15/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0076 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.04 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.048 | 1 | 0.46 | 0 | 0.00638 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 4/4/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.038 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.043 | 1 | 0.316 | 0 | 0.00998 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 5/17/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.003 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.035 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.039 | 1 | 0.315 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 6/13/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0024 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.035 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.032 | 1 | 0.64 | 1 | 0.00562 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 7/26/2017 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.031 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.034 | 1 | 0.384 | 0 | 0.0124 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 6/26/2018 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00246 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.037 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.031 | 1 | 0.393 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 6/4/2019 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00218 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.033 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.03 | 1 | 0.322 | 0 | 0.00608 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 10/21/2019 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0399 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0366 | 1 | 0.457 | 0 | 0.0119 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 6/3/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.0305 | 1 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0346 | 1 | 0.971 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 10/7/2020 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.002 | 0 | | | | | 0.035 | 1 | | | 0.0196 | 0.035 | 1 | 0.474 | 0 | 0.00652 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 |
| MW-43B | 6/3/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.00514 | 1 | | | | | 0.0328 | 1 | | | 0.0208 | 1 | 0.582 | 0 | 0.0161 | 1 | 0.001 | 0 | |
| MW-43B | 10/5/2021 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | | | | | 0.0353 | 1 | | | 0.0192 | 1 | 1.01 | 1 | 0.005 | 0 | | | |

Notes:
results in mg/L

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|----|---|---|---|------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|---|---|---|-------|--------|---|
| 1 | Background Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | User Selected Options | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Date/Time of Computation | | | ProUCL 5.110/13/2022 9:04:32 AM | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | From File | | | LRS_ProUCL_UPL_Input_2021_v1_b.xls | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Full Precision | | | OFF | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Confidence Coefficient | | | 95% | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Coverage | | | 95% | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Different or Future K Observations | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Number of Bootstrap Operations | | | 2000 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | B | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 45 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 37 | |
| 15 | Minimum | | | | 0.296 | | First Quartile | | | | 0.35 | |
| 16 | Second Largest | | | | 1.02 | | Median | | | | 0.68 | |
| 17 | Maximum | | | | 1.07 | | Third Quartile | | | | 0.89 | |
| 18 | Mean | | | | 0.65 | | SD | | | | 0.253 | |
| 19 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 0.389 | | Skewness | | | | -0.145 | |
| 20 | Mean of logged Data | | | | -0.519 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.442 | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.085 | | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.915 | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.874 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 27 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.945 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 28 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.202 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 29 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.131 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 30 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | 1.177 | | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.974 | | |
| 34 | 95% UPL (t) | | | 1.079 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.066 | | |
| 35 | 95% USL | | | 1.387 | | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.238 | | |
| 36 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 37 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 2.511 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 39 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.752 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 40 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.203 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 41 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.132 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 42 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 43 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 5.864 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 5.488 | |
| 46 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.111 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.118 | |
| 47 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 527.7 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 493.9 | |
| 48 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.65 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.277 | |
| 49 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 1.174 | | 90% Percentile | | | | 1.021 | |
| 52 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 1.192 | | 95% Percentile | | | | 1.163 | |
| 53 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 1.337 | | 99% Percentile | | | | 1.461 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|-------|--|---|---|------------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| 54 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 1.369 | | | | | | | |
| 55 | 95% WH USL | | | | | 1.736 | | | | 95% HW USL | | 1.814 | |
| 56 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 57 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 58 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.833 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 59 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.945 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 60 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.198 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 61 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.131 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 62 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 63 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 64 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 1.494 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 1.048 |
| 66 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | | 1.26 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 1.23 |
| 67 | 95% USL | | | | | 2.156 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 1.662 |
| 68 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 69 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 70 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 71 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 72 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 73 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | | 44 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 1.02 | |
| 74 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | | 1.158 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | | 0.665 | |
| 75 | | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | | 93 | |
| 76 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 1.06 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 1.05 | |
| 77 | 95% UPL | | | | | 1.014 | 90% Percentile | | | | | 0.94 | |
| 78 | 90% Chebyshev UPL | | | | | 1.417 | 95% Percentile | | | | | 0.994 | |
| 79 | 95% Chebyshev UPL | | | | | 1.765 | 99% Percentile | | | | | 1.048 | |
| 80 | 95% USL | | | | | 1.07 | | | | | | | |
| 81 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 82 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 83 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 84 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 85 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 86 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 87 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 88 | Ca | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 89 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 90 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 91 | Total Number of Observations | | | | | 45 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | | 37 | |
| 92 | Minimum | | | | | 92.2 | First Quartile | | | | | 146 | |
| 93 | Second Largest | | | | | 450 | Median | | | | | 257 | |
| 94 | Maximum | | | | | 530 | Third Quartile | | | | | 332 | |
| 95 | Mean | | | | | 248.8 | SD | | | | | 116.5 | |
| 96 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | | 0.468 | Skewness | | | | | 0.439 | |
| 97 | Mean of logged Data | | | | | 5.4 | SD of logged Data | | | | | 0.503 | |
| 98 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 99 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | | 2.085 | d2max (for USL) | | | | | 2.915 | |
| 101 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 102 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.935 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 104 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.945 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 105 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.1 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 106 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.131 | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 107 | Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 108 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 109 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 110 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 491.7 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 398.1 | | |
| 111 | 95% UPL (t) | | 446.7 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 440.4 | | |
| 112 | 95% USL | | 588.4 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 519.8 | | |
| 113 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 114 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 115 | A-D Test Statistic | | 0.662 | | | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | |
| 116 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.753 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 117 | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.103 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 118 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.132 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 119 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 120 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 121 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | k hat (MLE) | | 4.448 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | 4.166 | | |
| 123 | Theta hat (MLE) | | 55.94 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | 59.72 | | |
| 124 | nu hat (MLE) | | 400.3 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | 374.9 | | |
| 125 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 248.8 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | 121.9 | | |
| 126 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 127 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 128 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | 482.3 | | | | | 90% Percentile | | 412.1 | | |
| 129 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | 489.8 | | | | | 95% Percentile | | 477.1 | | |
| 130 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 557.7 | | | | | 99% Percentile | | 615.6 | | |
| 131 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 572 | | | | | | | | | |
| 132 | 95% WH USL | | 745.4 | | | | | 95% HW USL | | 783.1 | | |
| 133 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 134 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 135 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.935 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | |
| 136 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.945 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 137 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.128 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 138 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.131 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 139 | Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 140 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 141 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 142 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 631.4 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 421.6 | | |
| 143 | 95% UPL (t) | | 520 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 506.1 | | |
| 144 | 95% USL | | 958.4 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 712.8 | | |
| 145 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 146 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 147 | Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 148 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 149 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 150 | Order of Statistic, r | | 44 | | | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 450 | | |
| 151 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | 1.158 | | | | | Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | 0.665 | | |
| 152 | | | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | 93 | | |
| 153 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | 514 | | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | 514 | | |
| 154 | 95% UPL | | 450 | | | | | 90% Percentile | | 411.8 | | |
| 155 | 90% Chebyshev UPL | | 602.1 | | | | | 95% Percentile | | 446 | | |
| 156 | 95% Chebyshev UPL | | 762.2 | | | | | 99% Percentile | | 494.8 | | |
| 157 | 95% USL | | 530 | | | | | | | | | |
| 158 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 159 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 160 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 161 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 162 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 163 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 164 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 165 | CI | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 166 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 167 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 168 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 45 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 38 | |
| 169 | Minimum | | | | 31.3 | | First Quartile | | | | 52.9 | |
| 170 | Second Largest | | | | 320 | | Median | | | | 170 | |
| 171 | Maximum | | | | 320 | | Third Quartile | | | | 210 | |
| 172 | Mean | | | | 155.6 | | SD | | | | 92.44 | |
| 173 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 0.594 | | Skewness | | | | 0.228 | |
| 174 | Mean of logged Data | | | | 4.815 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.75 | |
| 175 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 176 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 177 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.085 | | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.915 | |
| 178 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 179 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 180 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.907 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 181 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.945 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 182 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.137 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 183 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.131 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 184 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 185 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 186 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 187 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 348.3 | | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 274 | |
| 188 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 312.6 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 307.6 | |
| 189 | 95% USL | | | | 425 | | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 370.6 | |
| 190 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 191 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 192 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 1.506 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 193 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.759 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 194 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.15 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 195 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.133 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 196 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 197 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 198 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 199 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 2.308 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 2.169 | |
| 200 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 67.39 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 71.71 | |
| 201 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 207.7 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 195.2 | |
| 202 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 155.6 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 105.6 | |
| 203 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 204 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 205 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 365.9 | | 90% Percentile | | | | 296.8 | |
| 206 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 379.3 | | 95% Percentile | | | | 359.7 | |
| 207 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 441.4 | | 99% Percentile | | | | 498.4 | |
| 208 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 466 | | | | | | | |
| 209 | 95% WH USL | | | | 637.1 | | 95% HW USL | | | | 701.8 | |
| 210 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 211 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 212 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.872 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|--|-------------------|--------|--|--|---|---|--------------------|---|--------|
| 213 | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.945 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 214 | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.177 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 215 | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.131 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 216 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 217 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 218 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 219 | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 589 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 322.4 |
| 220 | | | | 95% UPL (t) | | 440.9 | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 423.4 |
| 221 | | | | 95% USL | | 1098 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 705.8 |
| 222 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 223 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 224 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 225 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 226 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 227 | | | Order of Statistic, r | | 44 | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 320 |
| 228 | | | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | 1.158 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | | 0.665 |
| 229 | | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | | 93 |
| 230 | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | 320 | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 320 |
| 231 | | | | 95% UPL | | 320 | | | | 90% Percentile | | 298.8 |
| 232 | | | | 90% Chebyshev UPL | | 435.9 | | | | 95% Percentile | | 318 |
| 233 | | | | 95% Chebyshev UPL | | 562.9 | | | | 99% Percentile | | 320 |
| 234 | | | | 95% USL | | 320 | | | | | | |
| 235 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 236 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 237 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 238 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 239 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 240 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 241 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 242 | F | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 243 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 244 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 245 | | | Total Number of Observations | | 45 | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 |
| 246 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | 12 | | | | | | | |
| 247 | | | Number of Detects | | 10 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 35 |
| 248 | | | Number of Distinct Detects | | 10 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 2 |
| 249 | | | Minimum Detect | | 0.532 | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.5 |
| 250 | | | Maximum Detect | | 1.07 | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 1 |
| 251 | | | Variance Detected | | 0.0258 | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 77.78% |
| 252 | | | Mean Detected | | 0.684 | | | SD Detected | | | | 0.161 |
| 253 | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | -0.401 | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | 0.214 |
| 254 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 255 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 256 | | | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | 2.085 | | | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.915 |
| 257 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 258 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 259 | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.83 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 260 | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.842 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 261 | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.212 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 262 | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.262 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 263 | Detected Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 264 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 265 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------|---|---|---|-----------------------|---|--------|
| 266 | | | | | KM Mean | 0.542 | | | | | KM SD | 0.106 |
| 267 | | | | 95% UTL | 95% Coverage | 0.763 | | | | | 95% KM UPL (t) | 0.722 |
| 268 | | | | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | 0.678 | | | | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | 0.716 |
| 269 | | | | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | 0.788 | | | | | 95% KM USL | 0.85 |
| 270 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 271 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 272 | | | | Mean | | 0.358 | | | | | SD | 0.198 |
| 273 | | | | 95% UTL | 95% Coverage | 0.77 | | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 0.694 |
| 274 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 0.611 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 0.683 |
| 275 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.818 | | | | | 95% USL | 0.934 |
| 276 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 277 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 278 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 279 | | | | A-D Test Statistic | | 0.506 | | | | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | |
| 280 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.725 | | | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| 281 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.173 | | | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | |
| 282 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.266 | | | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| 283 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 284 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 285 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 286 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 23.09 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 16.23 |
| 287 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 0.0296 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.0422 |
| 288 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 461.9 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 324.6 |
| 289 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 0.684 | | | | | | |
| 290 | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | 0.17 | | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | 46.75 |
| 291 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 292 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 293 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 294 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 295 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 296 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 297 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 298 | | | | Minimum | | 0.01 | | | | | Mean | 0.31 |
| 299 | | | | Maximum | | 1.07 | | | | | Median | 0.274 |
| 300 | | | | SD | | 0.253 | | | | | CV | 0.814 |
| 301 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 0.957 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.908 |
| 302 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 0.324 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.342 |
| 303 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 86.16 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 81.75 |
| 304 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 0.31 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 0.326 |
| 305 | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | 5.631 | | | | | 90% Percentile | 0.732 |
| 306 | | | | 95% Percentile | | 0.962 | | | | | 99% Percentile | 1.501 |
| 307 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 308 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 309 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 310 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 1.259 | 1.446 | | | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | 0.977 | 1.078 |
| 311 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 2.039 | 2.548 | | | | | | |
| 312 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 313 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 314 | | | | Mean (KM) | | 0.542 | | | | | SD (KM) | 0.106 |
| 315 | | | | Variance (KM) | | 0.0112 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 0.0168 |
| 316 | | | | k hat (KM) | | 26.31 | | | | | k star (KM) | 24.57 |
| 317 | | | | nu hat (KM) | | 2368 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 2212 |
| 318 | | | | theta hat (KM) | | 0.0206 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 0.0221 |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---------------------------|--------|-------|--|---|---|---|---------------------------|-------|
| 319 | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | 0.632 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.686 |
| 320 | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | 0.734 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.829 |
| 321 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 322 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 323 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 324 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 325 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.747 | 0.745 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.705 | 0.703 |
| 326 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | | 0.699 | 0.697 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.844 | 0.844 |
| 327 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 328 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 329 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.888 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 330 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.842 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 331 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.17 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 332 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.262 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 333 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 334 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 335 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 336 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.405 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -0.998 | |
| 337 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.187 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.438 | |
| 338 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.919 | | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.004 | |
| 339 | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.009 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.776 | |
| 340 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.646 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.758 | |
| 341 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.021 | | 95% USL | | | | 1.322 | |
| 342 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 343 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 344 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | | | -0.626 | | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | 0.74 | |
| 345 | KM SD of Logged Data | | | | 0.156 | | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.697 | |
| 346 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | | | 0.691 | | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.842 | |
| 347 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 348 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 349 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.358 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -1.137 | |
| 350 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.198 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.434 | |
| 351 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.792 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.67 | |
| 352 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.559 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.655 | |
| 353 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.88 | | 95% USL | | | | 1.136 | |
| 354 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 355 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 356 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 357 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 358 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 359 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 360 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 44 | | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | 1 | |
| 361 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.158 | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.665 | |
| 362 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 93 | | 95% UPL | | | | 1 | |
| 363 | 95% USL | | | | 1.07 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | 1.008 | |
| 364 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 365 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 366 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 367 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 368 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 369 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 370 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 371 | pH | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 372 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 373 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 374 | Total Number of Observations | | | | | 45 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | | 30 |
| 375 | Minimum | | | | | 6.45 | First Quartile | | | | | 7.42 |
| 376 | Second Largest | | | | | 7.9 | Median | | | | | 7.56 |
| 377 | Maximum | | | | | 8 | Third Quartile | | | | | 7.66 |
| 378 | Mean | | | | | 7.507 | SD | | | | | 0.327 |
| 379 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | | 0.0436 | Skewness | | | | | -1.874 |
| 380 | Mean of logged Data | | | | | 2.015 | SD of logged Data | | | | | 0.0455 |
| 381 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 382 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 383 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | | 2.085 | d2max (for USL) | | | | | 2.915 |
| 384 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 385 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 386 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.794 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 387 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.945 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 388 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.227 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 389 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.131 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 390 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 391 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 392 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 393 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 8.189 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | | 7.926 |
| 394 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | | 8.063 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | | 8.045 |
| 395 | 95% USL | | | | | 8.461 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | | 8.268 |
| 396 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 397 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 398 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | | 3.002 | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 399 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | | 0.747 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 400 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | | 0.234 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 401 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | | 0.131 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 402 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 403 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 404 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 405 | k hat (MLE) | | | | | 508.3 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 474.4 |
| 406 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | | 0.0148 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 0.0158 |
| 407 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | | 45748 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | | 42700 |
| 408 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | | 7.507 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | | 0.345 |
| 409 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 410 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 411 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | | 8.088 | 90% Percentile | | | | | 7.952 |
| 412 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | | 8.092 | 95% Percentile | | | | | 8.082 |
| 413 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 8.226 | 99% Percentile | | | | | 8.332 |
| 414 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 8.231 | | | | | | |
| 415 | 95% WH USL | | | | | 8.526 | 95% HW USL | | | | | 8.535 |
| 416 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 417 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 418 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.767 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 419 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.945 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 420 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.24 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 421 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.131 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 422 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 423 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 424 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------|---|---|---|--|--------------|--------|
| 425 | | | 95% UTL with | 95% Coverage | | 8.246 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 7.95 |
| 426 | | | | 95% UPL (t) | | 8.102 | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 8.082 |
| 427 | | | | 95% USL | | 8.564 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 8.337 |
| 428 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 429 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 430 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 431 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 432 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 433 | | | Order of Statistic, r | | 44 | | | | | 95% UTL with | 95% Coverage | 7.9 |
| 434 | | | Approx, f used to compute | achieved CC | 1.158 | | | | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | 0.665 |
| 435 | | | | | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | 93 |
| 436 | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with | 95% Coverage | 7.98 | | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with | 95% Coverage | 7.9 |
| 437 | | | | 95% UPL | 7.9 | | | | | 90% Percentile | | 7.868 |
| 438 | | | | 90% Chebyshev UPL | 8.499 | | | | | 95% Percentile | | 7.9 |
| 439 | | | | 95% Chebyshev UPL | 8.949 | | | | | 99% Percentile | | 7.956 |
| 440 | | | | 95% USL | 8 | | | | | | | |
| 441 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 442 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 443 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 444 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 445 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 446 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 447 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 448 | SO | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 449 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 450 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 451 | | | Total Number of Observations | | 45 | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | 0 |
| 452 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | 35 | | | | | | | |
| 453 | | | Number of Detects | | 43 | | | | | Number of Non-Detects | | 2 |
| 454 | | | Number of Distinct Detects | | 34 | | | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | 1 |
| 455 | | | Minimum Detect | | 342 | | | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | 5 |
| 456 | | | Maximum Detect | | 2200 | | | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | 5 |
| 457 | | | Variance Detected | | 372440 | | | | | Percent Non-Detects | | 4.444% |
| 458 | | | Mean Detected | | 1171 | | | | | SD Detected | | 610.3 |
| 459 | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | 6.899 | | | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | 0.622 |
| 460 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 461 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 462 | | | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | 2.085 | | | | | d2max (for USL) | | 2.915 |
| 463 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 464 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 465 | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.904 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | |
| 466 | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.943 | | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 467 | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.146 | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | |
| 468 | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.134 | | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 469 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 470 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 471 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 472 | | | KM Mean | | 1119 | | | | | KM SD | | 636.7 |
| 473 | | | 95% UTL | 95% Coverage | 2447 | | | | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | 2201 |
| 474 | | | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | 1935 | | | | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | 2167 |
| 475 | | | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | 2600 | | | | | 95% KM USL | | 2975 |
| 476 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 477 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------|------|---|---|---|--------------------|-------|-------|
| 478 | | | | | Mean | 1119 | | | | | SD | 644.1 | |
| 479 | | | | | 95% UTL | 2462 | | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 2213 | |
| 480 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | 1945 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 2179 | |
| 481 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | 2618 | | | | | 95% USL | 2997 | |
| 482 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 483 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 484 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 485 | | | | | A-D Test Statistic | 1.6 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 486 | | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | 0.755 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 487 | | | | | K-S Test Statistic | 0.169 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 488 | | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | 0.136 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 489 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 490 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 491 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 492 | | | | | k hat (MLE) | 3.15 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 2.945 |
| 493 | | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 371.8 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 397.6 |
| 494 | | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 270.9 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | | 253.3 |
| 495 | | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | 1171 | | | | | | | |
| 496 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 682.4 | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | | 12.43 |
| 497 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 498 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 499 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 500 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 501 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 502 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 503 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 504 | | | | | Minimum | 194.4 | | | | | Mean | 1129 | |
| 505 | | | | | Maximum | 2200 | | | | | Median | 1160 | |
| 506 | | | | | SD | 628 | | | | | CV | 0.556 | |
| 507 | | | | | k hat (MLE) | 2.696 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 2.531 |
| 508 | | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 418.9 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 446.1 |
| 509 | | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 242.6 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | | 227.8 |
| 510 | | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | 1129 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | | 709.7 |
| 511 | | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | 11.17 | | 90% Percentile | | | | | 2080 |
| 512 | | | | | 95% Percentile | 2491 | | 99% Percentile | | | | | 3389 |
| 513 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 514 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 515 | | | | | | WH | HW | | | | WH | HW | |
| 516 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 3019 | 3164 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 2530 | 2609 |
| 517 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | | 4275 | 4651 | | | | | | |
| 518 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 519 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 520 | | | | | Mean (KM) | 1119 | | | | | SD (KM) | 636.7 | |
| 521 | | | | | Variance (KM) | 405361 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 96.03 | |
| 522 | | | | | k hat (KM) | 3.091 | | k star (KM) | | | | | 2.899 |
| 523 | | | | | nu hat (KM) | 278.2 | | nu star (KM) | | | | | 260.9 |
| 524 | | | | | theta hat (KM) | 362.2 | | theta star (KM) | | | | | 386 |
| 525 | | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 1603 | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | | 2001 |
| 526 | | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 2372 | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | | 3179 |
| 527 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 528 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 529 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 530 | | | | | | WH | HW | | | | WH | HW | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|--|---|---|---|-------|--|-----------------------|---|---|-------------|------|------|--|
| 531 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 3536 | 4041 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 2880 | 3186 | |
| 532 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | | 2796 | 3078 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 5268 | 6450 | |
| 533 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 534 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 535 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.872 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 536 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.943 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 537 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.179 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 538 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.134 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 539 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 540 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 541 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 542 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 1129 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 6.834 | | | |
| 543 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 627.4 | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.679 | | | |
| 544 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 3826 | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | 2200 | | | |
| 545 | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | | | 2200 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 2944 | | | |
| 546 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 2218 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 2838 | | | |
| 547 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 4507 | 95% USL | | | | 6723 | | | |
| 548 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 549 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 550 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | | | 6.664 | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | 10496 | | | |
| 551 | KM SD of Logged Data | | | | 1.245 | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | 6490 | | | |
| 552 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | | | 6068 | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | 29499 | | | |
| 553 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 554 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 555 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 1119 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | 6.633 | | | |
| 556 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 644.1 | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.387 | | | |
| 557 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 13694 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 8014 | | | |
| 558 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 4493 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 7436 | | | |
| 559 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 19136 | 95% USL | | | | 43313 | | | |
| 560 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 561 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 562 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 563 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 564 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 565 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 566 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 44 | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | 2200 | | | |
| 567 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.158 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.665 | | | |
| 568 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 93 | 95% UPL | | | | 2200 | | | |
| 569 | 95% USL | | | | 2200 | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | 3925 | | | |
| 570 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 571 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 572 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 573 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 574 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 575 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 576 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 577 | TDS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 578 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 579 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 580 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 45 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 34 | | | |
| 581 | Minimum | | | | 798 | First Quartile | | | | 1170 | | | |
| 582 | Second Largest | | | | 3900 | Median | | | | 2300 | | | |
| 583 | Maximum | | | | 4000 | Third Quartile | | | | 2800 | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---------------------------|--|-------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 584 | | | | | Mean | 2194 | | | | | SD | 990.7 |
| 585 | | | | | Coefficient of Variation | 0.451 | | | | | Skewness | 0.0625 |
| 586 | | | | | Mean of logged Data | 7.574 | | | | | SD of logged Data | 0.519 |
| 587 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 588 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 589 | | | | | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | 2.085 | | | | | d2max (for USL) | 2.915 |
| 590 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 591 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 592 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.911 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | |
| 593 | | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.945 | | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 594 | | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.15 | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | |
| 595 | | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.131 | | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 596 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 597 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 598 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 599 | | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 4260 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | 3464 |
| 600 | | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 3877 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 3824 |
| 601 | | | | | 95% USL | 5083 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | 4499 |
| 602 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 603 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 604 | | | | | A-D Test Statistic | 1.631 | | | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | |
| 605 | | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | 0.753 | | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| 606 | | | | | K-S Test Statistic | 0.144 | | | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | |
| 607 | | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | 0.132 | | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| 608 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 609 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 610 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 611 | | | | | k hat (MLE) | 4.344 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 4.069 |
| 612 | | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 505.1 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 539.2 |
| 613 | | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 391 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 366.2 |
| 614 | | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | 2194 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 1088 |
| 615 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 616 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 617 | | | | | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | 4285 | | | | | 90% Percentile | 3652 |
| 618 | | | | | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | 4369 | | | | | 95% Percentile | 4234 |
| 619 | | | | | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | 4961 | | | | | 99% Percentile | 5476 |
| 620 | | | | | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | 5113 | | | | | | |
| 621 | | | | | 95% WH USL | 6648 | | | | | 95% HW USL | 7030 |
| 622 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 623 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 624 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.876 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | |
| 625 | | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.945 | | | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 626 | | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.159 | | | | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | |
| 627 | | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.131 | | | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 628 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 629 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 630 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 631 | | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 5750 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | 3788 |
| 632 | | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 4705 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 4575 |
| 633 | | | | | 95% USL | 8850 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | 6518 |
| 634 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 635 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 636 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---|-------------------|---|----------------|---|---|---|-------|------|
| 637 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 638 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 639 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 44 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 3900 | |
| 640 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.158 | Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | | 0.665 | |
| 641 | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | | 93 | |
| 642 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 3980 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 3980 | |
| 643 | | | | | 95% UPL | 3900 | 90% Percentile | | | | | 3442 |
| 644 | | | | | 90% Chebyshev UPL | 5199 | 95% Percentile | | | | | 3880 |
| 645 | | | | | 95% Chebyshev UPL | 6561 | 99% Percentile | | | | | 3956 |
| 646 | | | | | 95% USL | 4000 | | | | | | |
| 647 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 648 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 649 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 650 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 651 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 652 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 653 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|----|---|---|------------------------------------|-----|---|---|--------------------------------|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 1 | Background Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | User Selected Options | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Date/Time of Computation | | ProUCL 5.110/13/2022 9:05:18 AM | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | From File | | LRS_ProUCL_UPL_Input_2021_v1_b.xls | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Full Precision | | OFF | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Confidence Coefficient | | 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Coverage | | 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Different or Future K Observations | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Number of Bootstrap Operations | | 2000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Sb | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Total Number of Observations | | | 45 | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 0 | | |
| 15 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Number of Detects | | | 0 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 45 | | |
| 17 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 0 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 2 | | |
| 18 | Minimum Detect | | | N/A | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 0.002 | | |
| 19 | Maximum Detect | | | N/A | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 0.02 | | |
| 20 | Variance Detected | | | N/A | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 100% | | |
| 21 | Mean Detected | | | N/A | | | SD Detected | | | N/A | | |
| 22 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | N/A | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | N/A | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | The data set for variable Sb was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | As | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | Total Number of Observations | | | 45 | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 0 | | |
| 35 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | Number of Detects | | | 0 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 45 | | |
| 37 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 0 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 2 | | |
| 38 | Minimum Detect | | | N/A | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 0.005 | | |
| 39 | Maximum Detect | | | N/A | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 0.05 | | |
| 40 | Variance Detected | | | N/A | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 100% | | |
| 41 | Mean Detected | | | N/A | | | SD Detected | | | N/A | | |
| 42 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | N/A | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | N/A | | |
| 43 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 46 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | The data set for variable As was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 49 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | Ba | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 53 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| 54 | Total Number of Observations | | | | | 45 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | | 36 |
| 55 | Minimum | | | | | 0.0189 | First Quartile | | | | | 0.027 |
| 56 | Second Largest | | | | | 0.061 | Median | | | | | 0.032 |
| 57 | Maximum | | | | | 0.069 | Third Quartile | | | | | 0.0383 |
| 58 | Mean | | | | | 0.0344 | SD | | | | | 0.011 |
| 59 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | | 0.32 | Skewness | | | | | 1.067 |
| 60 | Mean of logged Data | | | | | -3.415 | SD of logged Data | | | | | 0.305 |
| 61 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 62 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 63 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | | 2.085 | d2max (for USL) | | | | | 2.915 |
| 64 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 66 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.924 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 67 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.945 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 68 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.138 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 69 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.131 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 70 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 71 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 72 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 73 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 0.0574 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.0485 |
| 74 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | | 0.0531 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.0525 |
| 75 | 95% USL | | | | | 0.0665 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.06 |
| 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 77 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 78 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | | 0.399 | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 79 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | | 0.748 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 80 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | | 0.0983 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 81 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | | 0.132 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 82 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 83 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 84 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 85 | k hat (MLE) | | | | | 10.95 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 10.23 |
| 86 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | | 0.00314 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 0.00336 |
| 87 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | | 985.3 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | | 921 |
| 88 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | | 0.0344 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | | 0.0108 |
| 89 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 90 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 91 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | | 0.0541 | 90% Percentile | | | | | 0.0487 |
| 92 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | | 0.0543 | 95% Percentile | | | | | 0.0538 |
| 93 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 0.0598 | 99% Percentile | | | | | 0.0643 |
| 94 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 0.0603 | | | | | | |
| 95 | 95% WH USL | | | | | 0.0733 | 95% HW USL | | | | | 0.0747 |
| 96 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 97 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 98 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.973 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 99 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.945 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 100 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.0779 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 101 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.131 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 102 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 104 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 105 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 0.0621 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.0486 |
| 106 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | | 0.0552 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.0543 |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|----|---|---|--|--|------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 107 | | | | | 95% USL | 0.08 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.0668 |
| 108 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 109 | | | | | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | |
| 110 | | | | | Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 111 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 112 | | | | | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | |
| 113 | | | | Order of Statistic, r | 44 | | | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.061 |
| 114 | | | | Approx. f used to compute achieved CC | 1.158 | | | | | Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | 0.665 |
| 115 | | | | | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | 93 |
| 116 | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | 0.0674 | | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.0664 |
| 117 | | | | 95% UPL | 0.0595 | | | | | 90% Percentile | | 0.0466 |
| 118 | | | | 90% Chebyshev UPL | 0.0678 | | | | | 95% Percentile | | 0.0548 |
| 119 | | | | 95% Chebyshev UPL | 0.083 | | | | | 99% Percentile | | 0.0655 |
| 120 | | | | 95% USL | 0.069 | | | | | | | |
| 121 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | | | | | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | |
| 123 | | | | | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | |
| 124 | | | | | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | |
| 125 | | | | | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | |
| 126 | | | | | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | |
| 127 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 128 | Be | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 129 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 130 | | | | | General Statistics | | | | | | | |
| 131 | | | | Total Number of Observations | 45 | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | 0 |
| 132 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | 2 | | | | | | | |
| 133 | | | | Number of Detects | 0 | | | | | Number of Non-Detects | | 45 |
| 134 | | | | Number of Distinct Detects | 0 | | | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | 2 |
| 135 | | | | Minimum Detect | N/A | | | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | 0.001 |
| 136 | | | | Maximum Detect | N/A | | | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | 0.01 |
| 137 | | | | Variance Detected | N/A | | | | | Percent Non-Detects | | 100% |
| 138 | | | | Mean Detected | N/A | | | | | SD Detected | | N/A |
| 139 | | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | N/A | | | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | N/A |
| 140 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 141 | | | | | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | |
| 142 | | | | | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | |
| 143 | | | | | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | |
| 144 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 145 | | | | | The data set for variable Be was not processed! | | | | | | | |
| 146 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 147 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 148 | Cd | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 149 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 150 | | | | | General Statistics | | | | | | | |
| 151 | | | | Total Number of Observations | 45 | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | 0 |
| 152 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | 2 | | | | | | | |
| 153 | | | | Number of Detects | 0 | | | | | Number of Non-Detects | | 45 |
| 154 | | | | Number of Distinct Detects | 0 | | | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | 2 |
| 155 | | | | Minimum Detect | N/A | | | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | 0.001 |
| 156 | | | | Maximum Detect | N/A | | | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | 0.01 |
| 157 | | | | Variance Detected | N/A | | | | | Percent Non-Detects | | 100% |
| 158 | | | | Mean Detected | N/A | | | | | SD Detected | | N/A |
| 159 | | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | N/A | | | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | N/A |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|---|
| 160 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 161 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 162 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 163 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 164 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 165 | The data set for variable Cd was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 166 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 167 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 168 | Cr | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 169 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 170 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 171 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 45 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 172 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 31 | | | | | | | |
| 173 | Number of Detects | | | | 33 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 12 | |
| 174 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 28 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 3 | |
| 175 | Minimum Detect | | | | 0.00217 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.002 | |
| 176 | Maximum Detect | | | | 0.0089 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 0.02 | |
| 177 | Variance Detected | | | | 2.7772E-6 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 26.67% | |
| 178 | Mean Detected | | | | 0.00369 | | SD Detected | | | | 0.00167 | |
| 179 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | | -5.677 | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | 0.372 | |
| 180 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 181 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 182 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.085 | | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.915 | |
| 183 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 184 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 185 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.776 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 186 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.931 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 187 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.198 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 188 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.152 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 189 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 190 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 191 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 192 | KM Mean | | | | 0.00339 | | KM SD | | | | 0.00159 | |
| 193 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.00671 | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | | 0.00609 | |
| 194 | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00543 | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00601 | |
| 195 | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00709 | | 95% KM USL | | | | 0.00803 | |
| 196 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 197 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 198 | Mean | | | | 0.00367 | | SD | | | | 0.00241 | |
| 199 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0087 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.00777 | |
| 200 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00677 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00764 | |
| 201 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00929 | | 95% USL | | | | 0.0107 | |
| 202 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 203 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 204 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 205 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 1.285 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 206 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.748 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 207 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.151 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 208 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.153 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 209 | Detected data follow Appr. Gamma Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 210 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 211 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 212 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 6.787 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 6.19 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|
| 213 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 5.4401E-4 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 5.9646E-4 |
| 214 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 447.9 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 408.5 |
| 215 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 0.00369 | | | | | | |
| 216 | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | 0.00148 | | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | 21.54 |
| 217 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 218 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 219 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 220 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 221 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 222 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 223 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 224 | | | | Minimum | | 0.00217 | | | | | Mean | 0.00537 |
| 225 | | | | Maximum | | 0.01 | | | | | Median | 0.0039 |
| 226 | | | | SD | | 0.00316 | | | | | CV | 0.588 |
| 227 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 3.186 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 2.989 |
| 228 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 0.00169 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.0018 |
| 229 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 286.8 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 269 |
| 230 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 0.00537 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 0.00311 |
| 231 | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | 12.56 | | | | | 90% Percentile | 0.00954 |
| 232 | | | | 95% Percentile | | 0.0113 | | | | | 99% Percentile | 0.0151 |
| 233 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 234 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 235 | | | | | | WH | | | | | HW | |
| 236 | | | | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.0135 | | | | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | 0.0114 |
| 237 | | | | 95% Gamma USL | | 0.0187 | | | | | | 0.0116 |
| 238 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 239 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 240 | | | | Mean (KM) | | 0.00339 | | | | | SD (KM) | 0.00159 |
| 241 | | | | Variance (KM) | | 2.5228E-6 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 2.5118E-4 |
| 242 | | | | k hat (KM) | | 4.568 | | | | | k star (KM) | 4.279 |
| 243 | | | | nu hat (KM) | | 411.2 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 385.1 |
| 244 | | | | theta hat (KM) | | 7.4313E-4 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 7.9345E-4 |
| 245 | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | 0.00464 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00559 |
| 246 | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | 0.00647 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00832 |
| 247 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 248 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 249 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 250 | | | | | | WH | | | | | HW | |
| 251 | | | | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.00678 | | | | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | 0.00598 |
| 252 | | | | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | 0.00587 | | | | | 95% Gamma USL | 0.00873 |
| 253 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 254 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 255 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.901 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | |
| 256 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.931 | | | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 257 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.126 | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | |
| 258 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.152 | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 259 | Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 260 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 261 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 262 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 0.0033 | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | -5.811 |
| 263 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 0.00163 | | | | | SD in Log Scale | 0.438 |
| 264 | | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | 0.00746 | | | | | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | 0.00814 |
| 265 | | | | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | 0.00872 | | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 0.0063 |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|--|--------------|-----------|---|---|---|--|--------------------|-----------|
| 266 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 0.00525 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 0.00615 |
| 267 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.00829 | | | | | 95% USL | 0.0107 |
| 268 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 269 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 270 | | | | KM Mean of Logged Data | | -5.768 | | | | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal) | 95% Coverage | 0.00694 |
| 271 | | | | KM SD of Logged Data | | 0.382 | | | | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | 0.00599 |
| 272 | | | | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | 0.00586 | | | | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | 0.00953 |
| 273 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 274 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 275 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 0.00367 | | | | Mean in Log Scale | | -5.791 |
| 276 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 0.00241 | | | | SD in Log Scale | | 0.616 |
| 277 | | | | 95% UTL | 95% Coverage | 0.011 | | | | 95% UPL (t) | | 0.0087 |
| 278 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 0.00673 | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 0.00842 |
| 279 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.0128 | | | | 95% USL | | 0.0184 |
| 280 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 281 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 282 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 283 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 284 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 285 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 286 | | | | Order of Statistic, r | | 44 | | | | 95% UTL with | 95% Coverage | 0.02 |
| 287 | | | | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | 1.158 | | | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | 0.665 |
| 288 | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | 93 | | | | 95% UPL | | 0.02 |
| 289 | | | | 95% USL | | 0.02 | | | | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | 0.0104 |
| 290 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 291 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 292 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 293 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 294 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 295 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 296 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 297 | Co | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 298 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 299 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 300 | | | | Total Number of Observations | | 42 | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | 0 |
| 301 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | 6 | | | | | | |
| 302 | | | | Number of Detects | | 5 | | | | Number of Non-Detects | | 37 |
| 303 | | | | Number of Distinct Detects | | 5 | | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | 2 |
| 304 | | | | Minimum Detect | | 0.001 | | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | 0.001 |
| 305 | | | | Maximum Detect | | 0.0016 | | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | 0.01 |
| 306 | | | | Variance Detected | | 5.0280E-8 | | | | Percent Non-Detects | | 88.1% |
| 307 | | | | Mean Detected | | 0.00122 | | | | SD Detected | | 2.2423E-4 |
| 308 | | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | -6.718 | | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | 0.172 |
| 309 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 310 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 311 | | | | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | 2.104 | | | | d2max (for USL) | | 2.887 |
| 312 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 313 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 314 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.849 | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | |
| 315 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.762 | | | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 316 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.343 | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | |
| 317 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.343 | | | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 318 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|-----------------------|---|-----------|---|--------|--|--------|--|
| 319 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 320 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 321 | KM Mean | | | | 0.00103 | | KM SD | | | | 1.0375E-4 | | | | | |
| 322 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.00125 | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | | 0.00121 | | | | | |
| 323 | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00116 | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0012 | | | | | |
| 324 | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00127 | | 95% KM USL | | | | 0.00133 | | | | | |
| 325 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 326 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 327 | Mean | | | | 9.0762E-4 | | SD | | | | 0.00117 | | | | | |
| 328 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.00338 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.00291 | | | | | |
| 329 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00241 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00284 | | | | | |
| 330 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00364 | | 95% USL | | | | 0.0043 | | | | | |
| 331 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 332 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 333 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 334 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.47 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 335 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.678 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 336 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.329 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | | | | | |
| 337 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.357 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 338 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 339 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 340 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 341 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 40.65 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 16.39 | | | | | |
| 342 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 3.0111E-5 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 7.4665E-5 | | | | | |
| 343 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 406.5 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 163.9 | | | | | |
| 344 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.00122 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 345 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 3.0231E-4 | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 47.14 | | | | | |
| 346 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 347 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 348 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 349 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 350 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 351 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 352 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 353 | Minimum | | | | 0.001 | | Mean | | | | 0.00896 | | | | | |
| 354 | Maximum | | | | 0.01 | | Median | | | | 0.01 | | | | | |
| 355 | SD | | | | 0.00288 | | CV | | | | 0.321 | | | | | |
| 356 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 3.7 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 3.452 | | | | | |
| 357 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.00242 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.00259 | | | | | |
| 358 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 310.8 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 289.9 | | | | | |
| 359 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.00896 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.00482 | | | | | |
| 360 | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 13.93 | | 90% Percentile | | | | 0.0154 | | | | | |
| 361 | 95% Percentile | | | | 0.0181 | | 99% Percentile | | | | 0.0238 | | | | | |
| 362 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 363 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 364 | | | | | WH | | HW | | | | WH | | HW | | | |
| 365 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.0214 | | 0.0232 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.0183 | | 0.0194 | |
| 366 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.0285 | | 0.0322 | | | | | | | | | |
| 367 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 368 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 369 | Mean (KM) | | | | 0.00103 | | SD (KM) | | | | 1.0375E-4 | | | | | |
| 370 | Variance (KM) | | | | 1.0765E-8 | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | 1.8575E-5 | | | | | |
| 371 | k hat (KM) | | | | 98.31 | | k star (KM) | | | | 91.3 | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---------------------------|-----------|--|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 372 | | | | nu hat (KM) | 8258 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 7669 | |
| 373 | | | | theta hat (KM) | 1.0465E-5 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 1.1268E-5 | |
| 374 | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00112 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00117 | |
| 375 | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00121 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.0013 | |
| 376 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 377 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 378 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 379 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 380 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.00123 | 0.00123 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.00119 | 0.00119 |
| 381 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | | 0.00118 | 0.00118 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.00131 | 0.00131 |
| 382 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 383 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 384 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.889 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 385 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.762 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 386 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.317 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 387 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.343 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 388 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 389 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 390 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 391 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 5.9081E-4 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -7.552 | | |
| 392 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 3.0343E-4 | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.491 | | |
| 393 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.00148 | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.00158 | | |
| 394 | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.00158 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.00121 | | |
| 395 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 9.8516E-4 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00118 | | |
| 396 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00165 | 95% USL | | | | 0.00217 | | |
| 397 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 398 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 399 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | | | -6.883 | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | 0.00122 | | |
| 400 | KM SD of Logged Data | | | | 0.0841 | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.00118 | | |
| 401 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | | | 0.00118 | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.00131 | | |
| 402 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 403 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 404 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 9.0762E-4 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -7.331 | | |
| 405 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.00117 | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.641 | | |
| 406 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.00252 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.00195 | | |
| 407 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00149 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00188 | | |
| 408 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00291 | 95% USL | | | | 0.00417 | | |
| 409 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 410 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 411 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 412 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 413 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 414 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 415 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 42 | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | 0.01 | | |
| 416 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 2.211 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.884 | | |
| 417 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 59 | 95% UPL | | | | 0.01 | | |
| 418 | 95% USL | | | | 0.01 | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | 0.00149 | | |
| 419 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 420 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 421 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 422 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 423 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 424 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|--|---|---|---|--------|---|
| 425 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 426 | Pb | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 427 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 428 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 429 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 42 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 430 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| 431 | Number of Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 42 | |
| 432 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 2 | |
| 433 | Minimum Detect | | | | N/A | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.001 | |
| 434 | Maximum Detect | | | | N/A | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 0.01 | |
| 435 | Variance Detected | | | | N/A | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 100% | |
| 436 | Mean Detected | | | | N/A | | SD Detected | | | | N/A | |
| 437 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | | N/A | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | N/A | |
| 438 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 439 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 440 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 441 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 442 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 443 | The data set for variable Pb was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 444 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 445 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 446 | Li | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 447 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 448 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 449 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 45 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 450 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 42 | | | | | | | |
| 451 | Number of Detects | | | | 43 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 2 | |
| 452 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 40 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 2 | |
| 453 | Minimum Detect | | | | 0.0305 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.0437 | |
| 454 | Maximum Detect | | | | 0.085 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 0.0507 | |
| 455 | Variance Detected | | | | 2.4551E-4 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 4.444% | |
| 456 | Mean Detected | | | | 0.0541 | | SD Detected | | | | 0.0157 | |
| 457 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | | -2.961 | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | 0.301 | |
| 458 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 459 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 460 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.085 | | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.915 | |
| 461 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 462 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 463 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.942 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 464 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.943 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 465 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.13 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 466 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.134 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 467 | Detected Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 468 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 469 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 470 | KM Mean | | | | 0.0533 | | KM SD | | | | 0.0156 | |
| 471 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0858 | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | | 0.0797 | |
| 472 | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0733 | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0789 | |
| 473 | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0895 | | 95% KM USL | | | | 0.0987 | |
| 474 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 475 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 476 | Mean | | | | 0.0527 | | SD | | | | 0.0166 | |
| 477 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0873 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.0809 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------|---|---|---|---|--------------------|---------|--|
| 478 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 0.074 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 0.08 | |
| 479 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.0913 | | | | | 95% USL | 0.101 | |
| 480 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 481 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 482 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 483 | | | | A-D Test Statistic | | 0.753 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 484 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.748 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 485 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.116 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 486 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.135 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 487 | Detected data follow Appr. Gamma Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 488 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 489 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 490 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 11.8 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 10.99 | |
| 491 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 0.00458 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.00492 | |
| 492 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 1015 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 945.1 | |
| 493 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 0.0541 | | | | | | | |
| 494 | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | 0.0163 | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 33.9 | |
| 495 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 496 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 497 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 498 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 499 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 500 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 501 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 502 | | | | Minimum | | 0.0305 | | Mean | | | | 0.0534 | |
| 503 | | | | Maximum | | 0.085 | | Median | | | | 0.054 | |
| 504 | | | | SD | | 0.0156 | | CV | | | | 0.292 | |
| 505 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 11.82 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 11.04 | |
| 506 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 0.00452 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.00484 | |
| 507 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 1064 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 993.9 | |
| 508 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 0.0534 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.0161 | |
| 509 | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | 34.03 | | 90% Percentile | | | | 0.0748 | |
| 510 | | | | 95% Percentile | | 0.0823 | | 99% Percentile | | | | 0.0977 | |
| 511 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 512 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 513 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW | |
| 514 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.0912 | 0.0921 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | 0.0828 | 0.0833 | |
| 515 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.111 | 0.114 | | | | | | | |
| 516 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 517 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 518 | | | | Mean (KM) | | 0.0533 | | SD (KM) | | | | 0.0156 | |
| 519 | | | | Variance (KM) | | 2.4200E-4 | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | 0.00235 | |
| 520 | | | | k hat (KM) | | 11.75 | | k star (KM) | | | | 10.98 | |
| 521 | | | | nu hat (KM) | | 1057 | | nu star (KM) | | | | 988.1 | |
| 522 | | | | theta hat (KM) | | 0.00454 | | theta star (KM) | | | | 0.00486 | |
| 523 | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | 0.0662 | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.0747 | |
| 524 | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | 0.0822 | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.0977 | |
| 525 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 526 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 527 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 528 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW | |
| 529 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.091 | 0.092 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | 0.0826 | 0.0831 | |
| 530 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | | 0.0815 | 0.082 | | 95% Gamma USL | | | 0.111 | 0.113 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---|--------|---|--|---|---|---|-----------|---|
| 531 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 532 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 533 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.935 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 534 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.943 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 535 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.135 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 536 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.134 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 537 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 538 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 539 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 540 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.0534 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -2.973 | |
| 541 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.0156 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.299 | |
| 542 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0955 | | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0842 | |
| 543 | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0846 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.0851 | |
| 544 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0751 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0837 | |
| 545 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.103 | | 95% USL | | | | 0.122 | |
| 546 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 547 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 548 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | | | -2.976 | | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | 0.0953 | |
| 549 | KM SD of Logged Data | | | | 0.3 | | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.0849 | |
| 550 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | | | 0.0835 | | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.122 | |
| 551 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 552 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 553 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.0527 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -2.996 | |
| 554 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.0166 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.337 | |
| 555 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.101 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.0887 | |
| 556 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.077 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0871 | |
| 557 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.11 | | 95% USL | | | | 0.134 | |
| 558 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 559 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 560 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 561 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 562 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 563 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 564 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 44 | | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | 0.083 | |
| 565 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.158 | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.665 | |
| 566 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 93 | | 95% UPL | | | | 0.0824 | |
| 567 | 95% USL | | | | 0.085 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | 0.122 | |
| 568 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 569 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 570 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 571 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 572 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 573 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 574 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 575 | Hg | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 576 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 577 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 578 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 42 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 579 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 580 | Number of Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 42 | |
| 581 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 1 | |
| 582 | Minimum Detect | | | | N/A | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 2.0000E-4 | |
| 583 | Maximum Detect | | | | N/A | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 2.0000E-4 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 584 | | | | Variance Detected | | N/A | | | | Percent Non-Detects | | 100% |
| 585 | | | | Mean Detected | | N/A | | | | SD Detected | | N/A |
| 586 | | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | N/A | | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | N/A |
| 587 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 588 | | | | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | |
| 589 | | | | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | |
| 590 | | | | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | |
| 591 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 592 | | | | The data set for variable Hg was not processed! | | | | | | | | |
| 593 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 594 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 595 | Mo | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 596 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 597 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 598 | | | | Total Number of Observations | | 45 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | 40 |
| 599 | | | | Minimum | | 0.0192 | | | | First Quartile | | 0.043 |
| 600 | | | | Second Largest | | 0.18 | | | | Median | | 0.0653 |
| 601 | | | | Maximum | | 0.18 | | | | Third Quartile | | 0.135 |
| 602 | | | | Mean | | 0.0845 | | | | SD | | 0.0509 |
| 603 | | | | Coefficient of Variation | | 0.602 | | | | Skewness | | 0.483 |
| 604 | | | | Mean of logged Data | | -2.669 | | | | SD of logged Data | | 0.66 |
| 605 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 606 | | | | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | |
| 607 | | | | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | 2.085 | | | | d2max (for USL) | | 2.915 |
| 608 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 609 | | | | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 610 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.878 | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | |
| 611 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.945 | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 612 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.186 | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | |
| 613 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.131 | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 614 | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 615 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 616 | | | | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | |
| 617 | | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.191 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 0.15 |
| 618 | | | | 95% UPL (t) | | 0.171 | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 0.168 |
| 619 | | | | 95% USL | | 0.233 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.203 |
| 620 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 621 | | | | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 622 | | | | A-D Test Statistic | | 1.166 | | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | |
| 623 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.757 | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 624 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.142 | | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | |
| 625 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.133 | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 626 | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 627 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 628 | | | | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | |
| 629 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 2.678 | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | 2.514 |
| 630 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 0.0316 | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | 0.0336 |
| 631 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 241 | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | 226.3 |
| 632 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 0.0845 | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | 0.0533 |
| 633 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 634 | | | | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | |
| 635 | | | | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | 0.189 | | | | 90% Percentile | | 0.156 |
| 636 | | | | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | 0.194 | | | | 95% Percentile | | 0.187 |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|--------------|--------|--|---|---|---|---|--------|-------|---|
| 637 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with | | 95% Coverage | | 0.226 | 99% Percentile | | | | | 0.254 | |
| 638 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with | | 95% Coverage | | 0.235 | | | | | | | |
| 639 | 95% WH USL | | | | 0.321 | 95% HW USL | | | | | 0.345 | |
| 640 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 641 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 642 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.924 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 643 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.945 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 644 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.145 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 645 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.131 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 646 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 647 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 648 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 649 | 95% UTL with | | 95% Coverage | | 0.275 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.162 | |
| 650 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.213 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.205 | |
| 651 | 95% USL | | | | 0.475 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.322 | |
| 652 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 653 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 654 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 655 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 656 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 657 | Order of Statistic, r | | | 44 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 0.18 | | |
| 658 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | 1.158 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | | 0.665 | | |
| 659 | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | | 93 | | |
| 660 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with | | 95% Coverage | | 0.18 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 0.18 | |
| 661 | 95% UPL | | | | 0.177 | 90% Percentile | | | | | 0.15 | |
| 662 | 90% Chebyshev UPL | | | | 0.239 | 95% Percentile | | | | | 0.17 | |
| 663 | 95% Chebyshev UPL | | | | 0.309 | 99% Percentile | | | | | 0.18 | |
| 664 | 95% USL | | | | 0.18 | | | | | | | |
| 665 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 666 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 667 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 668 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 669 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 670 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 671 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 672 | Ra | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 673 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 674 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 675 | Total Number of Observations | | | 45 | Number of Missing Observations | | | | | 0 | | |
| 676 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 43 | | | | | | | | |
| 677 | Number of Detects | | | 19 | Number of Non-Detects | | | | | 26 | | |
| 678 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 19 | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | | 25 | | |
| 679 | Minimum Detect | | | 0.373 | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | | 0.315 | | |
| 680 | Maximum Detect | | | 1.02 | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | | 0.855 | | |
| 681 | Variance Detected | | | 0.0444 | Percent Non-Detects | | | | | 57.78% | | |
| 682 | Mean Detected | | | 0.64 | SD Detected | | | | | 0.211 | | |
| 683 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | -0.495 | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | | 0.316 | | |
| 684 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 685 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 686 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | 2.085 | d2max (for USL) | | | | | 2.915 | | |
| 687 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 688 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 689 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.881 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|--------------------------------------|-------|---|--------|---|---|--------------------------------------|-------|----|--------|
| 690 | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.901 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 691 | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.19 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 692 | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.197 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 693 | Detected Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 694 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 695 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 696 | | | KM Mean | | | 0.473 | | | KM SD | | | 0.202 |
| 697 | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.893 | | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | 0.815 |
| 698 | | | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.731 | | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.804 |
| 699 | | | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.942 | | | 95% KM USL | | | 1.06 |
| 700 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 701 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 702 | | | Mean | | | 0.41 | | | SD | | | 0.245 |
| 703 | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.921 | | | 95% UPL (t) | | | 0.826 |
| 704 | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | | 0.724 | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | 0.813 |
| 705 | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | | 0.98 | | | 95% USL | | | 1.124 |
| 706 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 707 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 708 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 709 | | | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.651 | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 710 | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.741 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 711 | | | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.154 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 712 | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.199 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 713 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 714 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 715 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 716 | | | k hat (MLE) | | | 10.5 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 8.879 |
| 717 | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 0.0609 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.0721 |
| 718 | | | nu hat (MLE) | | | 399.1 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 337.4 |
| 719 | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 0.64 | | | | | | |
| 720 | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | 0.215 | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | 28.56 |
| 721 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 722 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 723 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 724 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 725 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 726 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 727 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 728 | | | Minimum | | | 0.128 | | | Mean | | | 0.409 |
| 729 | | | Maximum | | | 1.02 | | | Median | | | 0.312 |
| 730 | | | SD | | | 0.245 | | | CV | | | 0.598 |
| 731 | | | k hat (MLE) | | | 3.355 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 3.146 |
| 732 | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 0.122 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.13 |
| 733 | | | nu hat (MLE) | | | 302 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 283.2 |
| 734 | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 0.409 | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | 0.231 |
| 735 | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | 13.03 | | | 90% Percentile | | | 0.719 |
| 736 | | | 95% Percentile | | | 0.847 | | | 99% Percentile | | | 1.125 |
| 737 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 738 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 739 | | | | WH | | HW | | | WH | | HW | |
| 740 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | 1.006 | | 1.027 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | 0.855 | | 0.864 |
| 741 | 95% Gamma USL | | | 1.388 | | 1.455 | | | | | | |
| 742 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------|---|--|---|-----------------------|---|--------|---|-------|--|-------|--|
| 743 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 744 | Mean (KM) | | | | 0.473 | | SD (KM) | | | | 0.202 | | | | | |
| 745 | Variance (KM) | | | | 0.0406 | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | 0.0321 | | | | | |
| 746 | k hat (KM) | | | | 5.503 | | k star (KM) | | | | 5.151 | | | | | |
| 747 | nu hat (KM) | | | | 495.3 | | nu star (KM) | | | | 463.6 | | | | | |
| 748 | theta hat (KM) | | | | 0.0859 | | theta star (KM) | | | | 0.0918 | | | | | |
| 749 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.634 | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.752 | | | | | |
| 750 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.859 | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 1.086 | | | | | |
| 751 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 752 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 753 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 754 | | | | | WH | | HW | | | | | | WH | | HW | |
| 755 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.916 | | 0.921 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.812 | | 0.813 | |
| 756 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | | 0.799 | | 0.799 | | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 1.166 | | 1.188 | |
| 757 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 758 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 759 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.93 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 760 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.901 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 761 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.133 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 762 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.197 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 763 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 764 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 765 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 766 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.449 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -0.892 | | | | | |
| 767 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.215 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.408 | | | | | |
| 768 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.96 | | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.018 | | | | | |
| 769 | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.018 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.82 | | | | | |
| 770 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.692 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.802 | | | | | |
| 771 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.06 | | 95% USL | | | | 1.348 | | | | | |
| 772 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 773 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 774 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | | | -0.822 | | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | 0.939 | | | | | |
| 775 | KM SD of Logged Data | | | | 0.364 | | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.816 | | | | | |
| 776 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | | | 0.8 | | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | 1.271 | | | | | |
| 777 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 778 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 779 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.41 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -1.045 | | | | | |
| 780 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.245 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.55 | | | | | |
| 781 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.108 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.896 | | | | | |
| 782 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.712 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.869 | | | | | |
| 783 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.265 | | 95% USL | | | | 1.749 | | | | | |
| 784 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 785 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 786 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 787 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 788 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 789 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 790 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 44 | | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | 1.01 | | | | | |
| 791 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.158 | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.665 | | | | | |
| 792 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 93 | | 95% UPL | | | | 0.998 | | | | | |
| 793 | 95% USL | | | | 1.02 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | 1.361 | | | | | |
| 794 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 795 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|
| 796 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 797 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 798 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 799 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 800 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 801 | Se | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 802 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 803 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 804 | Total Number of Observations | | | 45 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 0 | | | |
| 805 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 22 | | | | | | | | |
| 806 | Number of Detects | | | 23 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 22 | | | |
| 807 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 20 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 2 | | | |
| 808 | Minimum Detect | | | 0.0055 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 0.005 | | | |
| 809 | Maximum Detect | | | 0.0161 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 0.05 | | | |
| 810 | Variance Detected | | | 7.6042E-6 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 48.89% | | | |
| 811 | Mean Detected | | | 0.00903 | | SD Detected | | | 0.00276 | | | |
| 812 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | -4.75 | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | 0.301 | | | |
| 813 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 814 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 815 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | 2.085 | | d2max (for USL) | | | 2.915 | | | |
| 816 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 817 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 818 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.924 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 819 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.914 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 820 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.145 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 821 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.18 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 822 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 823 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 824 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 825 | KM Mean | | | 0.00721 | | KM SD | | | 0.00283 | | | |
| 826 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.0131 | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | 0.012 | | | |
| 827 | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.0108 | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.0119 | | | |
| 828 | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.0138 | | 95% KM USL | | | 0.0155 | | | |
| 829 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 830 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 831 | Mean | | | 0.00734 | | SD | | | 0.00606 | | | |
| 832 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.02 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | 0.0176 | | | |
| 833 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | 0.0151 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | 0.0173 | | | |
| 834 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | 0.0214 | | 95% USL | | | 0.025 | | | |
| 835 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 836 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 837 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 838 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.583 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 839 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.744 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 840 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.141 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | | |
| 841 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.181 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 842 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 843 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 844 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 845 | k hat (MLE) | | | 11.63 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 10.15 | | | |
| 846 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 7.7654E-4 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 8.9046E-4 | | | |
| 847 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 535.2 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 466.7 | | | |
| 848 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 0.00903 | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|-----------------------|---|---|-----------|--------|--------|
| 849 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.00284 | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | | 31.78 | |
| 850 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 851 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 852 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 853 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 854 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 855 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 856 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 857 | Minimum | | | | 0.0055 | Mean | | | | 0.00951 | | |
| 858 | Maximum | | | | 0.0161 | Median | | | | 0.01 | | |
| 859 | SD | | | | 0.00201 | CV | | | | 0.211 | | |
| 860 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 21.38 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 19.97 | | |
| 861 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 4.4462E-4 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 4.7603E-4 | | |
| 862 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 1924 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 1797 | | |
| 863 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.00951 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.00213 | | |
| 864 | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 55.69 | 90% Percentile | | | | 0.0123 | | |
| 865 | 95% Percentile | | | | 0.0133 | 99% Percentile | | | | 0.0151 | | |
| 866 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 867 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 868 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 869 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.0143 | 0.0145 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.0133 | 0.0134 |
| 870 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.0167 | 0.017 | | | | | | |
| 871 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 872 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 873 | Mean (KM) | | | | 0.00721 | SD (KM) | | | | 0.00283 | | |
| 874 | Variance (KM) | | | | 8.0161E-6 | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | 4.4669E-4 | | |
| 875 | k hat (KM) | | | | 6.484 | k star (KM) | | | | 6.067 | | |
| 876 | nu hat (KM) | | | | 583.6 | nu star (KM) | | | | 546 | | |
| 877 | theta hat (KM) | | | | 0.00111 | theta star (KM) | | | | 0.00119 | | |
| 878 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.00949 | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.0111 | | |
| 879 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.0126 | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.0157 | | |
| 880 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 881 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 882 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 883 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 884 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.0136 | 0.0137 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.0121 | 0.0121 |
| 885 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | | 0.0119 | 0.0119 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.0171 | 0.0174 |
| 886 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 887 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 888 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.941 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 889 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.914 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 890 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.135 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 891 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.18 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 892 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 893 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 894 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 895 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.00674 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -5.11 | | |
| 896 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.00322 | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.479 | | |
| 897 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0164 | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0153 | | |
| 898 | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0154 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.0136 | | |
| 899 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0112 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0133 | | |
| 900 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0184 | 95% USL | | | | 0.0244 | | |
| 901 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|
| 902 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 903 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | -4.998 | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | | | | 0.014 | |
| 904 | KM SD of Logged Data | | 0.349 | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | | | | 0.0122 | |
| 905 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | 0.012 | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | | | | 0.0187 | |
| 906 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 907 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 908 | Mean in Original Scale | | 0.00734 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | | | | -5.204 | |
| 909 | SD in Original Scale | | 0.00606 | SD in Log Scale | | | | | | | 0.76 | |
| 910 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | 0.0268 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | | | | 0.02 | |
| 911 | 90% Percentile (z) | | 0.0146 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | | | | 0.0192 | |
| 912 | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.0322 | 95% USL | | | | | | | 0.0504 | |
| 913 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 914 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 915 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 916 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 917 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 918 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 919 | Order of Statistic, r | | 44 | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | | | | 0.05 | |
| 920 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | 1.158 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | | | | 0.665 | |
| 921 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | 93 | 95% UPL | | | | | | | 0.05 | |
| 922 | 95% USL | | 0.05 | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | | | | 0.0197 | |
| 923 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 924 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 925 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 926 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 927 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 928 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 929 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 930 | Th | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 931 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 932 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 933 | Total Number of Observations | | 44 | Number of Missing Observations | | | | | | | 0 | |
| 934 | Number of Distinct Observations | | 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 935 | Number of Detects | | 0 | Number of Non-Detects | | | | | | | 44 | |
| 936 | Number of Distinct Detects | | 0 | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | | | | 2 | |
| 937 | Minimum Detect | | N/A | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | | | | 0.001 | |
| 938 | Maximum Detect | | N/A | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | | | | 0.01 | |
| 939 | Variance Detected | | N/A | Percent Non-Detects | | | | | | | 100% | |
| 940 | Mean Detected | | N/A | SD Detected | | | | | | | N/A | |
| 941 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | N/A | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | | | | N/A | |
| 942 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 943 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 944 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 945 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 946 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 947 | The data set for variable Th was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 948 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 949 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|----|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|
| 1 | Background Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | User Selected Options | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Date/Time of Computation | | ProUCL 5.110/13/2022 8:27:37 AM | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | From File | | LRS_ProUCL_UPL_Input_2021_v1.xls | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Full Precision | | OFF | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Confidence Coefficient | | 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Coverage | | 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Different or Future K Observations | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Number of Bootstrap Operations | | 2000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | B | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Total Number of Observations | | | 32 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 2 | | |
| 15 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 16 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Number of Detects | | | 21 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 11 | | |
| 17 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 15 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 1 | | |
| 18 | Minimum Detect | | | 0.104 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.1 | | |
| 19 | Maximum Detect | | | 0.187 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 0.1 | | |
| 20 | Variance Detected | | | 5.3729E-4 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 34.38% | | |
| 21 | Mean Detected | | | 0.148 | | SD Detected | | | | 0.0232 | | |
| 22 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | -1.924 | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | 0.169 | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | 2.186 | | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.773 | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.879 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 29 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.908 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 30 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.281 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 31 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.188 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 32 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | KM Mean | | | 0.131 | | KM SD | | | | 0.0292 | | |
| 36 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.195 | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | | 0.182 | | |
| 37 | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.169 | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.179 | | |
| 38 | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.199 | | 95% KM USL | | | | 0.212 | | |
| 39 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 41 | Mean | | | 0.114 | | SD | | | | 0.0508 | | |
| 42 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.225 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.202 | | |
| 43 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | 0.179 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.198 | | |
| 44 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | 0.232 | | 95% USL | | | | 0.255 | | |
| 45 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 46 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 1.509 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 49 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.742 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 50 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.302 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | | |
| 51 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.189 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 52 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 53 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|-----------------------|---|---------|---|-------|--|-------|--|
| 54 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 55 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 38.95 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 33.42 | | | | | |
| 56 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.0038 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.00443 | | | | | |
| 57 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 1636 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 1403 | | | | | |
| 58 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.148 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 59 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.0256 | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 86.91 | | | | | |
| 60 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 61 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 62 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 63 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 64 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 66 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 67 | Minimum | | | | 0.0773 | | Mean | | | | 0.132 | | | | | |
| 68 | Maximum | | | | 0.187 | | Median | | | | 0.133 | | | | | |
| 69 | SD | | | | 0.0303 | | CV | | | | 0.23 | | | | | |
| 70 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 18.65 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 16.92 | | | | | |
| 71 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.00706 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.00778 | | | | | |
| 72 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 1194 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 1083 | | | | | |
| 73 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.132 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.032 | | | | | |
| 74 | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 48.42 | | 90% Percentile | | | | 0.174 | | | | | |
| 75 | 95% Percentile | | | | 0.188 | | 99% Percentile | | | | 0.217 | | | | | |
| 76 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 77 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 78 | | | | | WH | | HW | | | | | | WH | | HW | |
| 79 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.208 | | 0.21 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.189 | | 0.19 | |
| 80 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.234 | | 0.237 | | | | | | | | | |
| 81 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 82 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 83 | Mean (KM) | | | | 0.131 | | SD (KM) | | | | 0.0292 | | | | | |
| 84 | Variance (KM) | | | | 8.5350E-4 | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | 0.00529 | | | | | |
| 85 | k hat (KM) | | | | 20.24 | | k star (KM) | | | | 18.36 | | | | | |
| 86 | nu hat (KM) | | | | 1295 | | nu star (KM) | | | | 1175 | | | | | |
| 87 | theta hat (KM) | | | | 0.00649 | | theta star (KM) | | | | 0.00716 | | | | | |
| 88 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.156 | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.172 | | | | | |
| 89 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.186 | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.213 | | | | | |
| 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 91 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 92 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 93 | | | | | WH | | HW | | | | | | WH | | HW | |
| 94 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.203 | | 0.205 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.186 | | 0.186 | |
| 95 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | | 0.183 | | 0.184 | | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.227 | | 0.23 | |
| 96 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 97 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 98 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.845 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 99 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.908 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.31 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 101 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.188 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 102 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 104 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 105 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.132 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -2.052 | | | | | |
| 106 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.0298 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.232 | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|--|---|--------|---|---|---|--|---|--------|
| 107 | | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | 0.214 | | | | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | 0.187 |
| 108 | | | | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | 0.187 | | | | 95% UPL (t) | | 0.192 |
| 109 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 0.173 | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 0.188 |
| 110 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.221 | | | | 95% USL | | 0.245 |
| 111 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 112 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 113 | | | | KM Mean of Logged Data | | -2.054 | | | | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | 0.209 |
| 114 | | | | KM SD of Logged Data | | 0.224 | | | | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | 0.188 |
| 115 | | | | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | 0.185 | | | | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | 0.239 |
| 116 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 117 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 118 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 0.114 | | | | Mean in Log Scale | | -2.292 |
| 119 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 0.0508 | | | | SD in Log Scale | | 0.535 |
| 120 | | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | 0.325 | | | | 95% UPL (t) | | 0.254 |
| 121 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 0.2 | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 0.243 |
| 122 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.35 | | | | 95% USL | | 0.445 |
| 123 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 124 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 125 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 126 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 127 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 128 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 129 | | | | Order of Statistic, r | | 32 | | | | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | 0.187 |
| 130 | | | | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | 1.684 | | | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | 0.806 |
| 131 | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | 59 | | | | 95% UPL | | 0.179 |
| 132 | | | | 95% USL | | 0.187 | | | | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | 0.261 |
| 133 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 134 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 135 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 136 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 137 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 138 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 139 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 140 | Ca | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 141 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 142 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 143 | | | | Total Number of Observations | | 32 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | 25 |
| 144 | | | | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | 2 |
| 145 | | | | Minimum | | 76 | | | | First Quartile | | 97.1 |
| 146 | | | | Second Largest | | 174 | | | | Median | | 112 |
| 147 | | | | Maximum | | 175 | | | | Third Quartile | | 158.3 |
| 148 | | | | Mean | | 120.6 | | | | SD | | 33.87 |
| 149 | | | | Coefficient of Variation | | 0.281 | | | | Skewness | | 0.262 |
| 150 | | | | Mean of logged Data | | 4.753 | | | | SD of logged Data | | 0.284 |
| 151 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 152 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 153 | | | | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | 2.186 | | | | d2max (for USL) | | 2.773 |
| 154 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 155 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 156 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.894 | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | |
| 157 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.93 | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 158 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.188 | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | |
| 159 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.154 | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 160 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 161 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 162 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 163 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 194.6 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 164 | | |
| 164 | 95% UPL (t) | | 178.9 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 176.3 | | |
| 165 | 95% USL | | 214.5 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 199.4 | | |
| 166 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 167 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 168 | A-D Test Statistic | | 0.962 | | | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | |
| 169 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.746 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 170 | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.166 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 171 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.155 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 172 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 173 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 174 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 175 | k hat (MLE) | | 13.04 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | 11.84 | | |
| 176 | Theta hat (MLE) | | 9.243 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | 10.18 | | |
| 177 | nu hat (MLE) | | 834.9 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | 757.9 | | |
| 178 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 120.6 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | 35.04 | | |
| 179 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 180 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 181 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | 184.8 | | | | | 90% Percentile | | 167.1 | | |
| 182 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | 185.8 | | | | | 95% Percentile | | 183.4 | | |
| 183 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 206.6 | | | | | 99% Percentile | | 216.7 | | |
| 184 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 208.7 | | | | | | | | | |
| 185 | 95% WH USL | | 236.5 | | | | | 95% HW USL | | 240.5 | | |
| 186 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 187 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 188 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.903 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | |
| 189 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.93 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 190 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.149 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 191 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.154 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 192 | Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 193 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 194 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 195 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 216 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 167 | | |
| 196 | 95% UPL (t) | | 189.3 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 185.2 | | |
| 197 | 95% USL | | 255.3 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 224.8 | | |
| 198 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 199 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 200 | Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 201 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 202 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 203 | Order of Statistic, r | | 32 | | | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 175 | | |
| 204 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | 1.684 | | | | | Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | 0.806 | | |
| 205 | | | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | 59 | | |
| 206 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | 175 | | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | 175 | | |
| 207 | 95% UPL | | 174.4 | | | | | 90% Percentile | | 167.9 | | |
| 208 | 90% Chebyshev UPL | | 223.8 | | | | | 95% Percentile | | 171.3 | | |
| 209 | 95% Chebyshev UPL | | 270.5 | | | | | 99% Percentile | | 174.7 | | |
| 210 | 95% USL | | 175 | | | | | | | | | |
| 211 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 212 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 213 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 214 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 215 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 216 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 217 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 218 | CI | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 219 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 220 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 221 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 32 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 23 | |
| 222 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 2 | |
| 223 | Minimum | | | | 32 | | First Quartile | | | | 33.75 | |
| 224 | Second Largest | | | | 51.1 | | Median | | | | 40.85 | |
| 225 | Maximum | | | | 52.7 | | Third Quartile | | | | 42.28 | |
| 226 | Mean | | | | 39.9 | | SD | | | | 5.786 | |
| 227 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 0.145 | | Skewness | | | | 0.366 | |
| 228 | Mean of logged Data | | | | 3.676 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.144 | |
| 229 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 230 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 231 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.186 | | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.773 | |
| 232 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 233 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 234 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.919 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 235 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.93 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 236 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.133 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 237 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.154 | | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 238 | Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 239 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 240 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 241 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 52.55 | | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 47.32 | |
| 242 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 49.86 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 49.42 | |
| 243 | 95% USL | | | | 55.95 | | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 53.36 | |
| 244 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 245 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 246 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.949 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 247 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.744 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 248 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.144 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 249 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.155 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 250 | Detected data follow Appr. Gamma Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 251 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 252 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 253 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 49.78 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 45.14 | |
| 254 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.801 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.884 | |
| 255 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 3186 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 2889 | |
| 256 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 39.9 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 5.939 | |
| 257 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 258 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 259 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 50.32 | | 90% Percentile | | | | 47.68 | |
| 260 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 50.39 | | 95% Percentile | | | | 50.14 | |
| 261 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 53.5 | | 99% Percentile | | | | 55 | |
| 262 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 53.64 | | | | | | | |
| 263 | 95% WH USL | | | | 57.71 | | 95% HW USL | | | | 57.98 | |
| 264 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 265 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|--|---|---|--------|--|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 266 | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.919 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 267 | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.93 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 268 | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.144 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 269 | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.154 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 270 | Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 271 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 272 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 273 | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | 54.12 | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | | 47.51 |
| 274 | | | 95% UPL (t) | | | 50.62 | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | 50.06 |
| 275 | | | 95% USL | | | 58.89 | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | | 55.22 |
| 276 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 277 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 278 | Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 279 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 280 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 281 | | | Order of Statistic, r | | | 32 | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | 52.7 |
| 282 | | | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | 1.684 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | | 0.806 |
| 283 | | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | | 59 |
| 284 | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | 52.7 | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | 52.7 |
| 285 | | | 95% UPL | | | 51.66 | | | 90% Percentile | | | 47.63 |
| 286 | | | 90% Chebyshev UPL | | | 57.53 | | | 95% Percentile | | | 50 |
| 287 | | | 95% Chebyshev UPL | | | 65.51 | | | 99% Percentile | | | 52.2 |
| 288 | | | 95% USL | | | 52.7 | | | | | | |
| 289 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 290 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 291 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 292 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 293 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 294 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 295 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 296 | F | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 297 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 298 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 299 | | | Total Number of Observations | | | 34 | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 0 |
| 300 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 16 | | | | | | |
| 301 | | | Number of Detects | | | 18 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 16 |
| 302 | | | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 16 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 1 |
| 303 | | | Minimum Detect | | | 0.5 | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 0.5 |
| 304 | | | Maximum Detect | | | 1.33 | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 0.5 |
| 305 | | | Variance Detected | | | 0.029 | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 47.06% |
| 306 | | | Mean Detected | | | 0.996 | | | SD Detected | | | 0.17 |
| 307 | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | -0.021 | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | 0.2 |
| 308 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 309 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 310 | | | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | 2.166 | | | d2max (for USL) | | | 2.799 |
| 311 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 312 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 313 | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.886 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 314 | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.897 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 315 | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.196 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 316 | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.202 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 317 | Detected Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 318 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------|---|-----------------------|---|---|--------|-------|-------|--|
| 319 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 320 | KM Mean | | | | 0.762 | KM SD | | | | 0.275 | | | |
| 321 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.359 | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | | 1.235 | | | |
| 322 | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 1.115 | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 1.215 | | | |
| 323 | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 1.403 | 95% KM USL | | | | 1.533 | | | |
| 324 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 325 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 326 | Mean | | | | 0.645 | SD | | | | 0.397 | | | |
| 327 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.505 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 1.327 | | | |
| 328 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.154 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.298 | | | |
| 329 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.569 | 95% USL | | | | 1.757 | | | |
| 330 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 331 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 332 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 333 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 1.02 | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 334 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.739 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 335 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.225 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | | | |
| 336 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.203 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 337 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 338 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 339 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 340 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 29.96 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 25.01 | | | |
| 341 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.0332 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.0398 | | | |
| 342 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 1079 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 900.3 | | | |
| 343 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.996 | | | | | | | | |
| 344 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.199 | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 67.52 | | | |
| 345 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 346 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 347 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 348 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 349 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 350 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 351 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 352 | Minimum | | | | 0.392 | Mean | | | | 0.82 | | | |
| 353 | Maximum | | | | 1.33 | Median | | | | 0.826 | | | |
| 354 | SD | | | | 0.238 | CV | | | | 0.29 | | | |
| 355 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 11.54 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 10.54 | | | |
| 356 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.071 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.0778 | | | |
| 357 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 784.8 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 716.9 | | | |
| 358 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.82 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.252 | | | |
| 359 | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 32.78 | 90% Percentile | | | | 1.155 | | | |
| 360 | 95% Percentile | | | | 1.274 | 99% Percentile | | | | 1.518 | | | |
| 361 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 362 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 363 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW | |
| 364 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 1.439 | 1.458 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 1.284 | 1.295 | |
| 365 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 1.677 | 1.714 | | | | | | | |
| 366 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 367 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 368 | Mean (KM) | | | | 0.762 | SD (KM) | | | | 0.275 | | | |
| 369 | Variance (KM) | | | | 0.0757 | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | 0.0486 | | | |
| 370 | k hat (KM) | | | | 7.677 | k star (KM) | | | | 7.019 | | | |
| 371 | nu hat (KM) | | | | 522 | nu star (KM) | | | | 477.3 | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 425 | pH | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 426 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 427 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 428 | Total Number of Observations | | | | | 30 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | | 25 |
| 429 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | | 4 |
| 430 | Minimum | | | | | 6.94 | First Quartile | | | | | 7.533 |
| 431 | Second Largest | | | | | 8.72 | Median | | | | | 7.64 |
| 432 | Maximum | | | | | 8.98 | Third Quartile | | | | | 7.805 |
| 433 | Mean | | | | | 7.758 | SD | | | | | 0.436 |
| 434 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | | 0.0561 | Skewness | | | | | 1.277 |
| 435 | Mean of logged Data | | | | | 2.047 | SD of logged Data | | | | | 0.0545 |
| 436 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 437 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 438 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | | 2.22 | d2max (for USL) | | | | | 2.745 |
| 439 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 440 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 441 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.86 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 442 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.927 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 443 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.219 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 444 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.159 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 445 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 446 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 447 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 448 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 8.725 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | | 8.317 |
| 449 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | | 8.511 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | | 8.475 |
| 450 | 95% USL | | | | | 8.954 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | | 8.772 |
| 451 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 452 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 453 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | | 1.695 | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 454 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | | 0.745 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 455 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | | 0.211 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 456 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | | 0.16 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 457 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 458 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 459 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 460 | k hat (MLE) | | | | | 341.4 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 307.3 |
| 461 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | | 0.0227 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 0.0252 |
| 462 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | | 20483 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | | 18436 |
| 463 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | | 7.758 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | | 0.443 |
| 464 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 465 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 466 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | | 8.511 | 90% Percentile | | | | | 8.331 |
| 467 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | | 8.512 | 95% Percentile | | | | | 8.5 |
| 468 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 8.737 | 99% Percentile | | | | | 8.825 |
| 469 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 8.739 | | | | | | |
| 470 | 95% WH USL | | | | | 8.982 | 95% HW USL | | | | | 8.986 |
| 471 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 472 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 473 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.878 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 474 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.927 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 475 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.208 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 476 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.159 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 477 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|-------|--|---|---|---|-------|--------------------|---|-------|
| 478 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 479 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 480 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 8.744 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 8.308 |
| 481 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 8.512 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 8.474 |
| 482 | 95% USL | | | | 8.998 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 8.795 |
| 483 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 484 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 485 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 486 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 487 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 488 | Order of Statistic, r | | | 30 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 8.98 | | | |
| 489 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | 1.579 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.785 | | | |
| 490 | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 59 | | | |
| 491 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | 8.98 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 8.98 | | | |
| 492 | 95% UPL | | | 8.837 | 90% Percentile | | | | 8.495 | | | |
| 493 | 90% Chebyshev UPL | | | 9.087 | 95% Percentile | | | | 8.68 | | | |
| 494 | 95% Chebyshev UPL | | | 9.688 | 99% Percentile | | | | 8.905 | | | |
| 495 | 95% USL | | | 8.98 | | | | | | | | |
| 496 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 497 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 498 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 499 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 500 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 501 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 502 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 503 | SO | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 504 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 505 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 506 | Total Number of Observations | | | 32 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 20 | | | |
| 507 | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 2 | | | |
| 508 | Minimum | | | 208 | First Quartile | | | | 220 | | | |
| 509 | Second Largest | | | 499 | Median | | | | 308.5 | | | |
| 510 | Maximum | | | 923 | Third Quartile | | | | 435 | | | |
| 511 | Mean | | | 348.1 | SD | | | | 154.5 | | | |
| 512 | Coefficient of Variation | | | 0.444 | Skewness | | | | 1.638 | | | |
| 513 | Mean of logged Data | | | 5.771 | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.4 | | | |
| 514 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 515 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 516 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | 2.186 | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.773 | | | |
| 517 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 518 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 519 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.768 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 520 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.93 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 521 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.244 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 522 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.154 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 523 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 524 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 525 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 526 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | 685.8 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 546 | | | |
| 527 | 95% UPL (t) | | | 614 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 602.1 | | | |
| 528 | 95% USL | | | 776.4 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 707.4 | | | |
| 529 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 530 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|--|---|-------|--|---|---|---|---|-------|
| 531 | | | | A-D Test Statistic | | 2.428 | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 532 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.747 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 533 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.251 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 534 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.156 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 535 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 536 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 537 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 538 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 6.289 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 5.72 |
| 539 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 55.35 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 60.85 |
| 540 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 402.5 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 366.1 |
| 541 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 348.1 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 145.5 |
| 542 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 543 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 544 | | | | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | 623.2 | | | | | 90% Percentile | 542.7 |
| 545 | | | | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | 626.2 | | | | | 95% Percentile | 616.8 |
| 546 | | | | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 724.9 | | | | | 99% Percentile | 772.3 |
| 547 | | | | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 733.8 | | | | | | |
| 548 | | | | 95% WH USL | | 868.4 | | | | | 95% HW USL | 888.9 |
| 549 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 550 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 551 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.821 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 552 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.93 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 553 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.243 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 554 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | 0.154 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 555 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 556 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 557 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 558 | | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 769.1 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | 535.6 |
| 559 | | | | 95% UPL (t) | | 638.7 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 619.3 |
| 560 | | | | 95% USL | | 972.6 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | 813.4 |
| 561 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 562 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 563 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 564 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 565 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 566 | | | | Order of Statistic, r | | 32 | | | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | 923 |
| 567 | | | | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | 1.684 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | | 0.806 |
| 568 | | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | | 59 |
| 569 | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | 923 | | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | 923 |
| 570 | | | | 95% UPL | | 647.4 | | | | | 90% Percentile | 479.1 |
| 571 | | | | 90% Chebyshev UPL | | 818.6 | | | | | 95% Percentile | 495.7 |
| 572 | | | | 95% Chebyshev UPL | | 1032 | | | | | 99% Percentile | 791.6 |
| 573 | | | | 95% USL | | 923 | | | | | | |
| 574 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 575 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 576 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 577 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 578 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 579 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 580 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 581 | TDS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 582 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 583 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|------|--------------------|-------|---|---------------------------------|------|---|-------|---|----|
| 584 | Total Number of Observations | | | | | 32 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | | 30 |
| 585 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | | 2 |
| 586 | Minimum | | | | 540 | First Quartile | | | | 667.3 | | |
| 587 | Second Largest | | | | 1140 | Median | | | | 765.5 | | |
| 588 | Maximum | | | | 1890 | Third Quartile | | | | 1010 | | |
| 589 | Mean | | | | 854.5 | SD | | | | 278.4 | | |
| 590 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 0.326 | Skewness | | | | 1.637 | | |
| 591 | Mean of logged Data | | | | 6.706 | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.295 | | |
| 592 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 593 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 594 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.186 | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.773 | | |
| 595 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 596 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 597 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.84 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 598 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.93 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 599 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.197 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 600 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.154 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 601 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 602 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 603 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 604 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 1463 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 1211 | | | | |
| 605 | 95% UPL (t) | | 1334 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 1312 | | | | |
| 606 | 95% USL | | 1626 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 1502 | | | | |
| 607 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 608 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 609 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.942 | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 610 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.746 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 611 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.193 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 612 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.155 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 613 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 614 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 615 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 616 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 11.44 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 10.39 | | |
| 617 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 74.69 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 82.25 | | |
| 618 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 732.2 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 664.9 | | |
| 619 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 854.5 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 265.1 | | |
| 620 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 621 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 622 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 1342 | 90% Percentile | | | | 1207 | | |
| 623 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 1345 | 95% Percentile | | | | 1332 | | |
| 624 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 1509 | 99% Percentile | | | | 1589 | | | | |
| 625 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 1519 | | | | | | | | | |
| 626 | 95% WH USL | | | | 1740 | 95% HW USL | | | | 1763 | | |
| 627 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 628 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 629 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.918 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 630 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.93 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 631 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.182 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 632 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.154 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 633 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 634 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 635 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 636 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 1557 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 1193 | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|--|-------------|------|---|---|---|---|--------------------|------|
| 637 | | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 1358 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 1328 |
| 638 | | | | | 95% USL | 1851 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | 1623 |
| 639 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 640 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 641 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 642 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 643 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 644 | | | | Order of Statistic, r | 32 | | | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | 1890 | |
| 645 | | | | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | 1.684 | | | | | Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | 0.806 | |
| 646 | | | | | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | 59 | |
| 647 | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | 1890 | | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | 1890 | |
| 648 | | | | | 95% UPL | 1403 | | | | 90% Percentile | 1118 | |
| 649 | | | | 90% Chebyshev UPL | 1703 | | | | | 95% Percentile | 1135 | |
| 650 | | | | 95% Chebyshev UPL | 2087 | | | | | 99% Percentile | 1658 | |
| 651 | | | | 95% USL | 1890 | | | | | | | |
| 652 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 653 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 654 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 655 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 656 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 657 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 658 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|----|---|---|----------------------------------|-----|---|---|--------------------------------|---|---|-------|---|---|
| 1 | Background Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | User Selected Options | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Date/Time of Computation | | ProUCL 5.110/13/2022 8:48:58 AM | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | From File | | LRS_ProUCL_UPL_Input_2021_v1.xls | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Full Precision | | OFF | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Confidence Coefficient | | 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Coverage | | 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Different or Future K Observations | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Number of Bootstrap Operations | | 2000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Sb | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Total Number of Observations | | | 30 | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 4 | | |
| 15 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Number of Detects | | | 0 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 30 | | |
| 17 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 0 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 1 | | |
| 18 | Minimum Detect | | | N/A | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 0.002 | | |
| 19 | Maximum Detect | | | N/A | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 0.002 | | |
| 20 | Variance Detected | | | N/A | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 100% | | |
| 21 | Mean Detected | | | N/A | | | SD Detected | | | N/A | | |
| 22 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | N/A | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | N/A | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | The data set for variable Sb was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | As | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | Total Number of Observations | | | 30 | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 4 | | |
| 35 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | Number of Detects | | | 0 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 30 | | |
| 37 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 0 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 1 | | |
| 38 | Minimum Detect | | | N/A | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 0.005 | | |
| 39 | Maximum Detect | | | N/A | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 0.005 | | |
| 40 | Variance Detected | | | N/A | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 100% | | |
| 41 | Mean Detected | | | N/A | | | SD Detected | | | N/A | | |
| 42 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | N/A | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | N/A | | |
| 43 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 46 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | The data set for variable As was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 49 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | Ba | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 53 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|-------|---|---|--------------------|---|---|---|---|-------|--------|
| 54 | Total Number of Observations | | | | | 34 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | | 26 |
| 55 | Minimum | | | | | 0.0427 | First Quartile | | | | | 0.0528 |
| 56 | Second Largest | | | | | 0.13 | Median | | | | | 0.0815 |
| 57 | Maximum | | | | | 0.24 | Third Quartile | | | | | 0.11 |
| 58 | Mean | | | | | 0.0871 | SD | | | | | 0.0396 |
| 59 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | | 0.454 | Skewness | | | | | 1.73 |
| 60 | Mean of logged Data | | | | | -2.527 | SD of logged Data | | | | | 0.415 |
| 61 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 62 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 63 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | | 2.166 | d2max (for USL) | | | | | 2.799 |
| 64 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 66 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.847 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 67 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.933 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 68 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.131 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 69 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.15 | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 70 | Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 71 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 72 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 73 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.173 | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.138 | |
| 74 | 95% UPL (t) | | 0.155 | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.152 | |
| 75 | 95% USL | | 0.198 | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.179 | |
| 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 77 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 78 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | | 0.649 | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 79 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | | 0.749 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 80 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | | 0.143 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 81 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | | 0.151 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 82 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 83 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 84 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 85 | k hat (MLE) | | | | | 5.941 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 5.436 |
| 86 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | | 0.0147 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 0.016 |
| 87 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | | 404 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | | 369.7 |
| 88 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | | 0.0871 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | | 0.0374 |
| 89 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 90 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 91 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | | 0.158 | 90% Percentile | | | | | 0.137 |
| 92 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | | 0.159 | 95% Percentile | | | | | 0.156 |
| 93 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.183 | | | 99% Percentile | | | | | 0.197 | |
| 94 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.186 | | | | | | | | | |
| 95 | 95% WH USL | | 0.224 | | | 95% HW USL | | | | | 0.23 | |
| 96 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 97 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 98 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.941 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 99 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.933 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 100 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.144 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 101 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.15 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 102 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 104 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 105 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.196 | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.136 | |
| 106 | 95% UPL (t) | | 0.163 | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.158 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|----|---|---|--|--|-------|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| 107 | | | | | 95% USL | 0.256 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.21 |
| 108 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 109 | | | | | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | |
| 110 | | | | | Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 111 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 112 | | | | | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | |
| 113 | | | | Order of Statistic, r | 34 | | | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.24 |
| 114 | | | | Approx. f used to compute achieved CC | 1.789 | | | | | Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | 0.825 |
| 115 | | | | | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | 59 |
| 116 | | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | 0.24 | | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.13 |
| 117 | | | | 95% UPL | 0.158 | | | | | 90% Percentile | | 0.127 |
| 118 | | | | 90% Chebyshev UPL | 0.208 | | | | | 95% Percentile | | 0.13 |
| 119 | | | | 95% Chebyshev UPL | 0.262 | | | | | 99% Percentile | | 0.204 |
| 120 | | | | 95% USL | 0.24 | | | | | | | |
| 121 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | | | | | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | |
| 123 | | | | | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | |
| 124 | | | | | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | |
| 125 | | | | | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | |
| 126 | | | | | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | |
| 127 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 128 | Be | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 129 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 130 | | | | | General Statistics | | | | | | | |
| 131 | | | | Total Number of Observations | 30 | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | 4 |
| 132 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 133 | | | | Number of Detects | 0 | | | | | Number of Non-Detects | | 30 |
| 134 | | | | Number of Distinct Detects | 0 | | | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | 1 |
| 135 | | | | Minimum Detect | N/A | | | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | 0.001 |
| 136 | | | | Maximum Detect | N/A | | | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | 0.001 |
| 137 | | | | Variance Detected | N/A | | | | | Percent Non-Detects | | 100% |
| 138 | | | | Mean Detected | N/A | | | | | SD Detected | | N/A |
| 139 | | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | N/A | | | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | N/A |
| 140 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 141 | | | | | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | |
| 142 | | | | | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | |
| 143 | | | | | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | |
| 144 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 145 | | | | | The data set for variable Be was not processed! | | | | | | | |
| 146 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 147 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 148 | Cd | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 149 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 150 | | | | | General Statistics | | | | | | | |
| 151 | | | | Total Number of Observations | 30 | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | 4 |
| 152 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 153 | | | | Number of Detects | 0 | | | | | Number of Non-Detects | | 30 |
| 154 | | | | Number of Distinct Detects | 0 | | | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | 1 |
| 155 | | | | Minimum Detect | N/A | | | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | 0.001 |
| 156 | | | | Maximum Detect | N/A | | | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | 0.001 |
| 157 | | | | Variance Detected | N/A | | | | | Percent Non-Detects | | 100% |
| 158 | | | | Mean Detected | N/A | | | | | SD Detected | | N/A |
| 159 | | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | N/A | | | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | N/A |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|---|
| 160 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 161 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 162 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 163 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 164 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 165 | The data set for variable Cd was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 166 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 167 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 168 | Cr | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 169 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 170 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 171 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 34 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 172 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 12 | | | | | | | |
| 173 | Number of Detects | | | | 11 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 23 | |
| 174 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 10 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 2 | |
| 175 | Minimum Detect | | | | 0.0022 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.002 | |
| 176 | Maximum Detect | | | | 0.011 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 0.005 | |
| 177 | Variance Detected | | | | 6.2036E-6 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 67.65% | |
| 178 | Mean Detected | | | | 0.00438 | | SD Detected | | | | 0.00249 | |
| 179 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | | -5.542 | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | 0.468 | |
| 180 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 181 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 182 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.166 | | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.799 | |
| 183 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 184 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 185 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.778 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 186 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.85 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 187 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.208 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 188 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.251 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 189 | Detected Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 190 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 191 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 192 | KM Mean | | | | 0.00282 | | KM SD | | | | 0.00175 | |
| 193 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.00662 | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | | 0.00583 | |
| 194 | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00506 | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0057 | |
| 195 | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0069 | | 95% KM USL | | | | 0.00773 | |
| 196 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 197 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 198 | Mean | | | | 0.00227 | | SD | | | | 0.00207 | |
| 199 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.00676 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.00583 | |
| 200 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00493 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00568 | |
| 201 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0071 | | 95% USL | | | | 0.00808 | |
| 202 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 203 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 204 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 205 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.428 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 206 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.732 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 207 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.143 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 208 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.256 | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 209 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 210 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 211 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 212 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 4.654 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 3.446 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---|---|---|-----------------------|---|-----------|
| 213 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 9.4145E-4 | | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.00127 |
| 214 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 102.4 | | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 75.8 |
| 215 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | 0.00438 | | | | | | | |
| 216 | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 0.00236 | | | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | 13.91 |
| 217 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 218 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 219 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 220 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 221 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 222 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 223 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 224 | | | | Minimum | 0.0022 | | | | | | Mean | 0.00818 |
| 225 | | | | Maximum | 0.011 | | | | | | Median | 0.01 |
| 226 | | | | SD | 0.003 | | | | | | CV | 0.367 |
| 227 | | | | k hat (MLE) | 5.046 | | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 4.621 |
| 228 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 0.00162 | | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.00177 |
| 229 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 343.2 | | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 314.2 |
| 230 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | 0.00818 | | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 0.00381 |
| 231 | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | 17.26 | | | | | | 90% Percentile | 0.0133 |
| 232 | | | | 95% Percentile | 0.0153 | | | | | | 99% Percentile | 0.0195 |
| 233 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 234 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 235 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 236 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.0182 | 0.019 | | | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | 0.0155 | 0.016 |
| 237 | | | | 95% Gamma USL | 0.0225 | 0.0239 | | | | | | |
| 238 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 239 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 240 | | | | Mean (KM) | 0.00282 | | | | | | SD (KM) | 0.00175 |
| 241 | | | | Variance (KM) | 3.0775E-6 | | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 3.1934E-4 |
| 242 | | | | k hat (KM) | 2.575 | | | | | | k star (KM) | 2.367 |
| 243 | | | | nu hat (KM) | 175.1 | | | | | | nu star (KM) | 161 |
| 244 | | | | theta hat (KM) | 0.00109 | | | | | | theta star (KM) | 0.00119 |
| 245 | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00413 | | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00526 |
| 246 | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00634 | | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00869 |
| 247 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 248 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 249 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 250 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 251 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.00622 | 0.00619 | | | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | 0.00529 | 0.00524 |
| 252 | | | | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | 0.00516 | 0.0051 | | | | 95% Gamma USL | 0.0077 | 0.00773 |
| 253 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 254 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 255 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.934 | | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | |
| 256 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.85 | | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 257 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.127 | | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | |
| 258 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.251 | | | | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 259 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 260 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 261 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 262 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | 0.00219 | | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | -6.465 |
| 263 | | | | SD in Original Scale | 0.00211 | | | | | | SD in Log Scale | 0.832 |
| 264 | | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | 0.00943 | | | | | | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | 0.011 |
| 265 | | | | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | 0.011 | | | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 0.00649 |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|--|--------------|-----------|---|---|---|--|--------------------|---------|
| 266 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 0.00452 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 0.00611 |
| 267 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.0108 | | | | | 95% USL | 0.016 |
| 268 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 269 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 270 | | | | KM Mean of Logged Data | | -5.981 | | | | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal) | 95% Coverage | 0.00611 |
| 271 | | | | KM SD of Logged Data | | 0.408 | | | | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | 0.00509 |
| 272 | | | | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | 0.00494 | | | | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | 0.00791 |
| 273 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 274 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 275 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 0.00227 | | | | Mean in Log Scale | | -6.358 |
| 276 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 0.00207 | | | | SD in Log Scale | | 0.692 |
| 277 | | | | 95% UTL | 95% Coverage | 0.00776 | | | | 95% UPL (t) | | 0.00569 |
| 278 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 0.00421 | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 0.00541 |
| 279 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.00867 | | | | 95% USL | | 0.012 |
| 280 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 281 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 282 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 283 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 284 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 285 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 286 | | | | Order of Statistic, r | | 34 | | | | 95% UTL with | 95% Coverage | 0.011 |
| 287 | | | | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | 1.789 | | | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | 0.825 |
| 288 | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | 59 | | | | 95% UPL | | 0.0071 |
| 289 | | | | 95% USL | | 0.011 | | | | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | 0.0106 |
| 290 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 291 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 292 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 293 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 294 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 295 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 296 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 297 | Co | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 298 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 299 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 300 | | | | Total Number of Observations | | 32 | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | 2 |
| 301 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | 3 | | | | | | |
| 302 | | | | Number of Detects | | 3 | | | | Number of Non-Detects | | 29 |
| 303 | | | | Number of Distinct Detects | | 3 | | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | 1 |
| 304 | | | | Minimum Detect | | 0.001 | | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | 0.001 |
| 305 | | | | Maximum Detect | | 0.004 | | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | 0.001 |
| 306 | | | | Variance Detected | | 2.6533E-6 | | | | Percent Non-Detects | | 90.63% |
| 307 | | | | Mean Detected | | 0.00213 | | | | SD Detected | | 0.00163 |
| 308 | | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | -6.333 | | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | 0.723 |
| 309 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 310 | Warning: Data set has only 3 Detected Values. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 311 | This is not enough to compute meaningful or reliable statistics and estimates. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 312 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 313 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 314 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 315 | | | | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | 2.186 | | | | d2max (for USL) | | 2.773 |
| 316 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 317 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 318 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.848 | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------|---|-----------|--|-----------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| 319 | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.767 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 320 | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.34 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 321 | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.425 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 322 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 323 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 324 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 325 | | | KM Mean | | | 0.00111 | | | | | KM SD | | 5.2437E-4 |
| 326 | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.00225 | | | | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | 0.00201 |
| 327 | | | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.00178 | | | | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | 0.00197 |
| 328 | | | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.00233 | | | | | 95% KM USL | | 0.00256 |
| 329 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 330 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 331 | | | Mean | | | 6.5313E-4 | | | | | SD | | 6.3651E-4 |
| 332 | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.00204 | | | | | 95% UPL (t) | | 0.00175 |
| 333 | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | | 0.00147 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 0.0017 |
| 334 | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | | 0.00213 | | | | | 95% USL | | 0.00242 |
| 335 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 336 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 337 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 338 | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 339 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 340 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 341 | | | k hat (MLE) | | | 2.882 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | N/A |
| 342 | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 7.4031E-4 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | N/A |
| 343 | | | nu hat (MLE) | | | 17.29 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | N/A |
| 344 | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | N/A | | | | | | | |
| 345 | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | N/A | | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | N/A |
| 346 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 347 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 348 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 349 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 350 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 351 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 352 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 353 | | | Minimum | | | 0.001 | | | | | Mean | | 0.00926 |
| 354 | | | Maximum | | | 0.01 | | | | | Median | | 0.01 |
| 355 | | | SD | | | 0.00237 | | | | | CV | | 0.255 |
| 356 | | | k hat (MLE) | | | 6.015 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | 5.472 |
| 357 | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 0.00154 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | 0.00169 |
| 358 | | | nu hat (MLE) | | | 385 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | 350.2 |
| 359 | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 0.00926 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | 0.00396 |
| 360 | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | 19.6 | | | | | 90% Percentile | | 0.0146 |
| 361 | | | 95% Percentile | | | 0.0166 | | | | | 99% Percentile | | 0.0209 |
| 362 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 363 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 364 | | | | WH | | HW | | | | | WH | | HW |
| 365 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | 0.0194 | | 0.0208 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | 0.0167 | | 0.0176 |
| 366 | 95% Gamma USL | | | 0.0233 | | 0.0254 | | | | | | | |
| 367 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 368 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 369 | | | Mean (KM) | | | 0.00111 | | | | | SD (KM) | | 5.2437E-4 |
| 370 | | | Variance (KM) | | | 2.7496E-7 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | | 1.1353E-4 |
| 371 | | | k hat (KM) | | | 4.451 | | | | | k star (KM) | | 4.054 |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---------------------------|-----------|--|---|---|---|---------------------------|-----------|
| 372 | | | | | nu hat (KM) | 284.8 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 259.5 |
| 373 | | | | | theta hat (KM) | 2.4855E-4 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 2.7286E-4 |
| 374 | | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00152 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00184 |
| 375 | | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00214 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00276 |
| 376 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 377 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 378 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 379 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 380 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.00195 | 0.00191 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.00173 | 0.0017 |
| 381 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | | 0.0017 | 0.00167 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.00225 | 0.00221 |
| 382 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 383 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 384 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.919 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 385 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.767 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 386 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.296 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 387 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.425 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 388 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 389 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 390 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 391 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 2.4648E-4 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -11.03 | |
| 392 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 7.4740E-4 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 2.591 | |
| 393 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.00469 | | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.004 | |
| 394 | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.004 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.00141 | |
| 395 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 4.4943E-4 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00115 | |
| 396 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00674 | | 95% USL | | | | 0.0215 | |
| 397 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 398 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 399 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | | | -6.854 | | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | 0.00181 | |
| 400 | KM SD of Logged Data | | | | 0.246 | | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.00161 | |
| 401 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | | | 0.00158 | | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.00209 | |
| 402 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 403 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 404 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 6.5313E-4 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -7.482 | |
| 405 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 6.3651E-4 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.418 | |
| 406 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0014 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.00116 | |
| 407 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 9.6193E-4 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00112 | |
| 408 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00149 | | 95% USL | | | | 0.00179 | |
| 409 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 410 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 411 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 412 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 413 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 414 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 415 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 32 | | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | 0.004 | |
| 416 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.684 | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.806 | |
| 417 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 59 | | 95% UPL | | | | 0.00231 | |
| 418 | 95% USL | | | | 0.004 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | 0.00343 | |
| 419 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 420 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 421 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 422 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 423 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 424 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|--|---|---|---|---------|---|
| 425 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 426 | Pb | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 427 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 428 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 429 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 30 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 4 | |
| 430 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| 431 | Number of Detects | | | | 1 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 29 | |
| 432 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 1 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 1 | |
| 433 | Minimum Detect | | | | 0.0041 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.001 | |
| 434 | Maximum Detect | | | | 0.0041 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 0.001 | |
| 435 | Variance Detected | | | | N/A | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 96.67% | |
| 436 | Mean Detected | | | | 0.0041 | | SD Detected | | | | N/A | |
| 437 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | | -5.497 | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | N/A | |
| 438 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 439 | Warning: Only one distinct data value was detected! ProUCL (or any other software) should not be used on such a data set! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 440 | It is suggested to use alternative site specific values determined by the Project Team to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 441 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 442 | The data set for variable Pb was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 443 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 444 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 445 | Li | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 446 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 447 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 448 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 34 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 449 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 29 | | | | | | | |
| 450 | Number of Detects | | | | 32 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 2 | |
| 451 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 27 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 2 | |
| 452 | Minimum Detect | | | | 0.0317 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.0331 | |
| 453 | Maximum Detect | | | | 0.063 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 0.0406 | |
| 454 | Variance Detected | | | | 3.9726E-5 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 5.882% | |
| 455 | Mean Detected | | | | 0.0422 | | SD Detected | | | | 0.0063 | |
| 456 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | | -3.176 | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | 0.144 | |
| 457 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 458 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 459 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.166 | | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.799 | |
| 460 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 461 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 462 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.934 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 463 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.93 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 464 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.136 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 465 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.154 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 466 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 467 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 468 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 469 | KM Mean | | | | 0.0417 | | KM SD | | | | 0.00632 | |
| 470 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0554 | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | | 0.0526 | |
| 471 | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0498 | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0521 | |
| 472 | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0564 | | 95% KM USL | | | | 0.0594 | |
| 473 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 474 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 475 | Mean | | | | 0.0408 | | SD | | | | 0.00835 | |
| 476 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0589 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.0551 | |
| 477 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0515 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0545 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|---|---|---|-----------|---|-----------------------|---|-----------|--------|--------|--------|---|--|
| 478 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0602 | 95% USL | | | | | 0.0642 | | |
| 479 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 480 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 481 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 482 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.363 | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 483 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.744 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 484 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.118 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | | | | |
| 485 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.155 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 486 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 487 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 488 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 489 | k hat (MLE) | | | 48.97 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 44.4 | | | | | |
| 490 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 8.6126E-4 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 9.4991E-4 | | | | | |
| 491 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 3134 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 2842 | | | | | |
| 492 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 0.0422 | | | | | | | | | |
| 493 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | 0.00633 | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | 111.8 | | | | | |
| 494 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 495 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 496 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 497 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 498 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 499 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 500 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 501 | Minimum | | | 0.0312 | Mean | | | 0.0417 | | | | | |
| 502 | Maximum | | | 0.063 | Median | | | 0.0411 | | | | | |
| 503 | SD | | | 0.00645 | CV | | | 0.155 | | | | | |
| 504 | k hat (MLE) | | | 45.46 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 41.47 | | | | | |
| 505 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 9.1722E-4 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.00101 | | | | | |
| 506 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 3091 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 2820 | | | | | |
| 507 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 0.0417 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | 0.00648 | | | | | |
| 508 | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | 105.2 | 90% Percentile | | | 0.0502 | | | | | |
| 509 | 95% Percentile | | | 0.0529 | 99% Percentile | | | 0.0582 | | | | | |
| 510 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 511 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 512 | | | | WH | HW | | | | WH | HW | | | |
| 513 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | 0.0564 | 0.0566 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | 0.0531 | 0.0531 | | | |
| 514 | 95% Gamma USL | | | 0.0615 | 0.0617 | | | | | | | | |
| 515 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 516 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 517 | Mean (KM) | | | 0.0417 | SD (KM) | | | 0.00632 | | | | | |
| 518 | Variance (KM) | | | 3.9990E-5 | SE of Mean (KM) | | | 0.00111 | | | | | |
| 519 | k hat (KM) | | | 43.53 | k star (KM) | | | 39.71 | | | | | |
| 520 | nu hat (KM) | | | 2960 | nu star (KM) | | | 2700 | | | | | |
| 521 | theta hat (KM) | | | 9.5844E-4 | theta star (KM) | | | 0.00105 | | | | | |
| 522 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 0.0472 | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 0.0504 | | | | | |
| 523 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 0.0532 | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 0.0587 | | | | | |
| 524 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 525 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 526 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 527 | | | | WH | HW | | | | WH | HW | | | |
| 528 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | 0.0561 | 0.0563 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | 0.0528 | 0.0529 | | | |
| 529 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | 0.0523 | 0.0524 | 95% Gamma USL | | | 0.061 | 0.0613 | | | |
| 530 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | | |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---------|---|--|---|---|---|-----------|---|--|--|
| 531 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 532 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.969 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 533 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.93 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 534 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.108 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 535 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.154 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 536 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 537 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 538 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 539 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.0417 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -3.188 | | | |
| 540 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.00642 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.148 | | | |
| 541 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0569 | | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0546 | | | |
| 542 | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.063 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.0532 | | | |
| 543 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0499 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0527 | | | |
| 544 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0583 | | 95% USL | | | | 0.0625 | | | |
| 545 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 546 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 547 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | | | -3.188 | | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | 0.0567 | | | |
| 548 | KM SD of Logged Data | | | | 0.146 | | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.0531 | | | |
| 549 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | | | 0.0525 | | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.0621 | | | |
| 550 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 551 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 552 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.0408 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -3.225 | | | |
| 553 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.00835 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.242 | | | |
| 554 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0672 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.0603 | | | |
| 555 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0543 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0593 | | | |
| 556 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0699 | | 95% USL | | | | 0.0784 | | | |
| 557 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 558 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 559 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 560 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 561 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 562 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 563 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 34 | | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | 0.063 | | | |
| 564 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.789 | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.825 | | | |
| 565 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 59 | | 95% UPL | | | | 0.0533 | | | |
| 566 | 95% USL | | | | 0.063 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | 0.0697 | | | |
| 567 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 568 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 569 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 570 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 571 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 572 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 573 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 574 | Hg | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 575 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 576 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 577 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 30 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 4 | | | |
| 578 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 579 | Number of Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 30 | | | |
| 580 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 1 | | | |
| 581 | Minimum Detect | | | | N/A | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 2.0000E-4 | | | |
| 582 | Maximum Detect | | | | N/A | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 2.0000E-4 | | | |
| 583 | Variance Detected | | | | N/A | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 100% | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|-----------------------|---|-----------|---|--------|--|--------|--|
| 637 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 638 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 6.092 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 5.415 | | | | | |
| 639 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.00142 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.00159 | | | | | |
| 640 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 316.8 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 281.6 | | | | | |
| 641 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.00862 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 642 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.00371 | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 19.44 | | | | | |
| 643 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 644 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 645 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 646 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 647 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 648 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 649 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 650 | Minimum | | | | 0.00407 | | Mean | | | | 0.00895 | | | | | |
| 651 | Maximum | | | | 0.015 | | Median | | | | 0.0097 | | | | | |
| 652 | SD | | | | 0.00317 | | CV | | | | 0.355 | | | | | |
| 653 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 7.682 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 7.024 | | | | | |
| 654 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.00116 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.00127 | | | | | |
| 655 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 522.4 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 477.6 | | | | | |
| 656 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.00895 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.00338 | | | | | |
| 657 | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 23.75 | | 90% Percentile | | | | 0.0135 | | | | | |
| 658 | 95% Percentile | | | | 0.0151 | | 99% Percentile | | | | 0.0186 | | | | | |
| 659 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 660 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 661 | | | | | WH | | HW | | | | | | WH | | HW | |
| 662 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.0175 | | 0.0178 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.0153 | | 0.0155 | |
| 663 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.0209 | | 0.0216 | | | | | | | | | |
| 664 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 665 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 666 | Mean (KM) | | | | 0.00809 | | SD (KM) | | | | 0.00331 | | | | | |
| 667 | Variance (KM) | | | | 1.0969E-5 | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | 6.0302E-4 | | | | | |
| 668 | k hat (KM) | | | | 5.969 | | k star (KM) | | | | 5.462 | | | | | |
| 669 | nu hat (KM) | | | | 405.9 | | nu star (KM) | | | | 371.4 | | | | | |
| 670 | theta hat (KM) | | | | 0.00136 | | theta star (KM) | | | | 0.00148 | | | | | |
| 671 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.0108 | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.0127 | | | | | |
| 672 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.0145 | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | 0.0182 | | | | | |
| 673 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 674 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 675 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 676 | | | | | WH | | HW | | | | | | WH | | HW | |
| 677 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.0165 | | 0.0167 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.0143 | | 0.0144 | |
| 678 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | | 0.014 | | 0.014 | | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.02 | | 0.0205 | |
| 679 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 680 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 681 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.94 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 682 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.92 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 683 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.106 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 684 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.17 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 685 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 686 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 687 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 688 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.00811 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -4.893 | | | | | |
| 689 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.00334 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.399 | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|--|---|---------|---|---|---|--|---|--------|
| 690 | | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | 0.0178 | | | | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | 0.015 |
| 691 | | | | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | 0.015 | | | | 95% UPL (t) | | 0.0149 |
| 692 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 0.0125 | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 0.0145 |
| 693 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.019 | | | | 95% USL | | 0.023 |
| 694 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 695 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 696 | | | | KM Mean of Logged Data | | -4.897 | | | | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | 0.0177 |
| 697 | | | | KM SD of Logged Data | | 0.398 | | | | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | 0.0148 |
| 698 | | | | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | 0.0144 | | | | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | 0.0228 |
| 699 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 700 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 701 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 0.00777 | | | | Mean in Log Scale | | -4.946 |
| 702 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 0.00349 | | | | SD in Log Scale | | 0.418 |
| 703 | | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | 0.0176 | | | | 95% UPL (t) | | 0.0146 |
| 704 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 0.0121 | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 0.0141 |
| 705 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.0188 | | | | 95% USL | | 0.0229 |
| 706 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 707 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 708 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 709 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 710 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 711 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 712 | | | | Order of Statistic, r | | 34 | | | | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | 0.015 |
| 713 | | | | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | 1.789 | | | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | 0.825 |
| 714 | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | 59 | | | | 95% UPL | | 0.015 |
| 715 | | | | 95% USL | | 0.015 | | | | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | 0.0227 |
| 716 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 717 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 718 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 719 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 720 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 721 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 722 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 723 | Ra | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 724 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 725 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 726 | | | | Total Number of Observations | | 30 | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | 4 |
| 727 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | 29 | | | | | | |
| 728 | | | | Number of Detects | | 9 | | | | Number of Non-Detects | | 21 |
| 729 | | | | Number of Distinct Detects | | 9 | | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | 20 |
| 730 | | | | Minimum Detect | | 0.482 | | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | 0.347 |
| 731 | | | | Maximum Detect | | 1.09 | | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | 1.88 |
| 732 | | | | Variance Detected | | 0.0348 | | | | Percent Non-Detects | | 70% |
| 733 | | | | Mean Detected | | 0.722 | | | | SD Detected | | 0.186 |
| 734 | | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | -0.354 | | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | 0.249 |
| 735 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 736 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 737 | | | | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | 2.22 | | | | d2max (for USL) | | 2.745 |
| 738 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 739 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 740 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.943 | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | |
| 741 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.829 | | | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 742 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.167 | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------|---|-----------------------|---|---|--------|-------|-------|--|
| 743 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.274 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 744 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 745 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 746 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 747 | KM Mean | | | | 0.496 | KM SD | | | | 0.205 | | | |
| 748 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.951 | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | | 0.85 | | | |
| 749 | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.758 | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.833 | | | |
| 750 | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.973 | 95% KM USL | | | | 1.058 | | | |
| 751 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 752 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 753 | Mean | | | | 0.457 | SD | | | | 0.259 | | | |
| 754 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.031 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.904 | | | |
| 755 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.789 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.883 | | | |
| 756 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.059 | 95% USL | | | | 1.167 | | | |
| 757 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 758 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 759 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 760 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 0.212 | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 761 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.721 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 762 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.15 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | | | |
| 763 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.279 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 764 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 765 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 766 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 767 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 17.99 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 12.06 | | | |
| 768 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.0401 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.0598 | | | |
| 769 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 323.7 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 217.2 | | | |
| 770 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.722 | | | | | | | | |
| 771 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.208 | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 36.57 | | | |
| 772 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 773 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 774 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 775 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 776 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 777 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 778 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 779 | Minimum | | | | 0.21 | Mean | | | | 0.454 | | | |
| 780 | Maximum | | | | 1.09 | Median | | | | 0.389 | | | |
| 781 | SD | | | | 0.21 | CV | | | | 0.462 | | | |
| 782 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 6.029 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 5.448 | | | |
| 783 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.0754 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.0834 | | | |
| 784 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 361.7 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 326.9 | | | |
| 785 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.454 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.195 | | | |
| 786 | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 19.53 | 90% Percentile | | | | 0.715 | | | |
| 787 | 95% Percentile | | | | 0.815 | 99% Percentile | | | | 1.025 | | | |
| 788 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 789 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 790 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW | |
| 791 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.97 | 0.98 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.824 | 0.826 | |
| 792 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 1.143 | 1.167 | | | | | | | |
| 793 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 794 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 795 | Mean (KM) | | | | 0.496 | SD (KM) | | | | 0.205 | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---------------------------|--------|--|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------------|-------|--------|
| 796 | | | | Variance (KM) | | 0.042 | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | | 0.0449 |
| 797 | | | | k hat (KM) | | 5.852 | | | | k star (KM) | | 5.289 |
| 798 | | | | nu hat (KM) | | 351.1 | | | | nu star (KM) | | 317.3 |
| 799 | | | | theta hat (KM) | | 0.0847 | | | | theta star (KM) | | 0.0937 |
| 800 | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | 0.662 | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | 0.784 |
| 801 | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | 0.895 | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | 1.129 |
| 802 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 803 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 804 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 805 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 806 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.994 | 1.002 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.856 | 0.857 |
| 807 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | | 0.834 | 0.835 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 1.157 | 1.177 |
| 808 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 809 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 810 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.98 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 811 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.829 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 812 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.132 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 813 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.274 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 814 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 815 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 816 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 817 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.497 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -0.75 | | |
| 818 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.182 | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.307 | | |
| 819 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.934 | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.09 | | |
| 820 | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.09 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.803 | | |
| 821 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.7 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.783 | | |
| 822 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.965 | 95% USL | | | | 1.098 | | |
| 823 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 824 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 825 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | | | -0.773 | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | 1.033 | | |
| 826 | KM SD of Logged Data | | | | 0.363 | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.864 | | |
| 827 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | | | 0.839 | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | 1.25 | | |
| 828 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 829 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 830 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.457 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -0.939 | | |
| 831 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.259 | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.575 | | |
| 832 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.4 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 1.055 | | |
| 833 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.817 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.006 | | |
| 834 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.489 | 95% USL | | | | 1.894 | | |
| 835 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 836 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 837 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 838 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 839 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 840 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 841 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 30 | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | 1.88 | | |
| 842 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.579 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.785 | | |
| 843 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 59 | 95% UPL | | | | 1.534 | | |
| 844 | 95% USL | | | | 1.88 | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | 1.404 | | |
| 845 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 846 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 847 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 848 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|
| 849 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 850 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 851 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 852 | Se | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 853 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 854 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 855 | Total Number of Observations | | | 34 | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 0 | | |
| 856 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| 857 | Number of Detects | | | 10 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 24 | | |
| 858 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 9 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 1 | | |
| 859 | Minimum Detect | | | 0.006 | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 0.005 | | |
| 860 | Maximum Detect | | | 0.0106 | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 0.005 | | |
| 861 | Variance Detected | | | 3.2486E-6 | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 70.59% | | |
| 862 | Mean Detected | | | 0.00852 | | | SD Detected | | | 0.0018 | | |
| 863 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | -4.786 | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | 0.22 | | |
| 864 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 865 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 866 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | 2.166 | | | d2max (for USL) | | | 2.799 | | |
| 867 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 868 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 869 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.888 | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 870 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.842 | | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 871 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.188 | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 872 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.262 | | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 873 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 874 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 875 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 876 | KM Mean | | | 0.00604 | | | KM SD | | | 0.00185 | | |
| 877 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.0101 | | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | 0.00922 | | |
| 878 | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.00841 | | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.00909 | | |
| 879 | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.0103 | | | 95% KM USL | | | 0.0112 | | |
| 880 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 881 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 882 | Mean | | | 0.00427 | | | SD | | | 0.00294 | | |
| 883 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.0106 | | | 95% UPL (t) | | | 0.00932 | | |
| 884 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | 0.00804 | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | 0.00911 | | |
| 885 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | 0.0111 | | | 95% USL | | | 0.0125 | | |
| 886 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 887 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 888 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 889 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.529 | | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 890 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.725 | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 891 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.201 | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 892 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.266 | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 893 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 894 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 895 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 896 | k hat (MLE) | | | 23.73 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 16.67 | | |
| 897 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 3.5922E-4 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 5.1113E-4 | | |
| 898 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 474.5 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 333.5 | | |
| 899 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 0.00852 | | | | | | | | |
| 900 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | 0.00209 | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | 47.82 | | |
| 901 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|-----------|---|
| 902 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 903 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 904 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 905 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 906 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 907 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 908 | | Minimum | 0.006 | | | Mean | | | | | 0.00957 | |
| 909 | | Maximum | 0.0106 | | | Median | | | | | 0.01 | |
| 910 | | SD | 0.00116 | | | CV | | | | | 0.122 | |
| 911 | | k hat (MLE) | 56.77 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 51.78 | |
| 912 | | Theta hat (MLE) | 1.6850E-4 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | | 1.8474E-4 | |
| 913 | | nu hat (MLE) | 3860 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | | 3521 | |
| 914 | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | 0.00957 | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | | 0.00133 | |
| 915 | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | 128.3 | | | 90% Percentile | | | | | 0.0113 | |
| 916 | | 95% Percentile | 0.0119 | | | 99% Percentile | | | | | 0.0129 | |
| 917 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 918 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 919 | | | WH | HW | | | | | | WH | HW | |
| 920 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.0126 | 0.0126 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.0119 | 0.0119 | |
| 921 | | 95% Gamma USL | 0.0136 | 0.0137 | | | | | | | | |
| 922 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 923 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 924 | | Mean (KM) | 0.00604 | | | SD (KM) | | | | | 0.00185 | |
| 925 | | Variance (KM) | 3.4367E-6 | | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | | 3.3513E-4 | |
| 926 | | k hat (KM) | 10.6 | | | k star (KM) | | | | | 9.686 | |
| 927 | | nu hat (KM) | 720.9 | | | nu star (KM) | | | | | 658.6 | |
| 928 | | theta hat (KM) | 5.6935E-4 | | | theta star (KM) | | | | | 6.2318E-4 | |
| 929 | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00758 | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | | 0.00862 | |
| 930 | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.00954 | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | | | 0.0114 | |
| 931 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 932 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 933 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 934 | | | WH | HW | | | | | | WH | HW | |
| 935 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.0101 | 0.0101 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.00912 | 0.00911 | |
| 936 | | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | 0.00897 | 0.00895 | | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.0117 | 0.0118 | |
| 937 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 938 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 939 | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.884 | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 940 | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.842 | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 941 | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.198 | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 942 | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.262 | | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 943 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 944 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 945 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 946 | | Mean in Original Scale | 0.00538 | | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | | -5.324 | |
| 947 | | SD in Original Scale | 0.00247 | | | SD in Log Scale | | | | | 0.452 | |
| 948 | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | 0.013 | | | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | | 0.0106 | |
| 949 | | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | 0.0106 | | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | | 0.0106 | |
| 950 | | 90% Percentile (z) | 0.00869 | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.0102 | |
| 951 | | 99% Percentile (z) | 0.0139 | | | 95% USL | | | | | 0.0173 | |
| 952 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 953 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 954 | | KM Mean of Logged Data | -5.148 | | | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | | 0.0102 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|------|----|---|---|---|---|---------|---|---|---|---|--|---------|
| 955 | | | | KM SD of Logged Data | | 0.259 | | | | | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | 0.00907 |
| 956 | | | | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | 0.00891 | | | | | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | 0.012 |
| 957 | | | | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | |
| 958 | | | | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | |
| 959 | | | | Mean in Original Scale | | 0.00427 | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | -5.637 |
| 960 | | | | SD in Original Scale | | 0.00294 | | | | | SD in Log Scale | 0.569 |
| 961 | | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | 0.0122 | | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 0.00947 |
| 962 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 0.00739 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 0.00909 |
| 963 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 0.0134 | | | | | 95% USL | 0.0175 |
| 964 | | | | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | |
| 965 | | | | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | |
| 966 | | | | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | |
| 967 | | | | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 968 | | | | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 969 | | | | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | |
| 970 | | | | Order of Statistic, r | | 34 | | | | | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | 0.0106 |
| 971 | | | | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | 1.789 | | | | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | 0.825 |
| 972 | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | 59 | | | | | 95% UPL | 0.0106 |
| 973 | | | | 95% USL | | 0.0106 | | | | | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | 0.0142 |
| 974 | | | | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | |
| 975 | | | | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | |
| 976 | | | | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | |
| 977 | | | | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | |
| 978 | | | | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | |
| 979 | | | | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | |
| 980 | | | | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | |
| 981 | Th | | | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | |
| 982 | | | | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | |
| 983 | | | | General Statistics | | | | | | | | |
| 984 | | | | Total Number of Observations | | 30 | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | 4 |
| 985 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | 1 | | | | | | |
| 986 | | | | Number of Detects | | 0 | | | | | Number of Non-Detects | 30 |
| 987 | | | | Number of Distinct Detects | | 0 | | | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | 1 |
| 988 | | | | Minimum Detect | | N/A | | | | | Minimum Non-Detect | 0.001 |
| 989 | | | | Maximum Detect | | N/A | | | | | Maximum Non-Detect | 0.001 |
| 990 | | | | Variance Detected | | N/A | | | | | Percent Non-Detects | 100% |
| 991 | | | | Mean Detected | | N/A | | | | | SD Detected | N/A |
| 992 | | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | N/A | | | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | N/A |
| 993 | | | | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | |
| 994 | | | | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | |
| 995 | | | | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | |
| 996 | | | | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | |
| 997 | | | | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | |
| 998 | | | | The data set for variable Th was not processed! | | | | | | | | |
| 999 | | | | The data set for variable Th was not processed! | | | | | | | | |
| 1000 | | | | The data set for variable Th was not processed! | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | | | | |
|----|---|---|---|------------------------------------|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Background Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | User Selected Options | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Date/Time of Computation | | | ProUCL 5.110/13/2022 8:50:49 AM | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | From File | | | LRS_ProUCL_UPL_Input_2021_v1_a.xls | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Full Precision | | | OFF | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Confidence Coefficient | | | 95% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Coverage | | | 95% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Different or Future K Observations | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Number of Bootstrap Operations | | | 2000 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | B | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 30 | | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 22 | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 2 | | | |
| 16 | Minimum | | | | 0.17 | | | | First Quartile | | | | 0.189 | | | |
| 17 | Second Largest | | | | 0.31 | | | | Median | | | | 0.235 | | | |
| 18 | Maximum | | | | 0.313 | | | | Third Quartile | | | | 0.292 | | | |
| 19 | Mean | | | | 0.238 | | | | SD | | | | 0.0538 | | | |
| 20 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 0.226 | | | | Skewness | | | | 0.0741 | | | |
| 21 | Mean of logged Data | | | | -1.46 | | | | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.229 | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.22 | | | | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.745 | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.816 | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 28 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.927 | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 29 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.238 | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 30 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.159 | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 31 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.358 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.307 | | | |
| 35 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.331 | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.327 | | | |
| 36 | 95% USL | | | | 0.386 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.363 | | | |
| 37 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 2.409 | | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 40 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.744 | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 41 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.237 | | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 42 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.16 | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 43 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 46 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 20.06 | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 18.08 | | | |
| 47 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.0119 | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.0132 | | | |
| 48 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 1204 | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 1085 | | | |
| 49 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.238 | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.056 | | | |
| 50 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.339 | | | | 90% Percentile | | | | 0.312 | | | |
| 53 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.341 | | | | 95% Percentile | | | | 0.337 | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|--------|--|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 54 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 0.374 | 99% Percentile | | | | | 0.387 |
| 55 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 0.377 | | | | | | |
| 56 | 95% WH USL | | | | | 0.414 | 95% HW USL | | | | | 0.419 |
| 57 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 58 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 59 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.816 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 60 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.927 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 61 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.231 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 62 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.159 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 63 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 64 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 66 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 0.386 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.311 |
| 67 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | | 0.345 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.338 |
| 68 | 95% USL | | | | | 0.435 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.396 |
| 69 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 70 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 71 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 72 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 73 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 74 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | | 30 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 0.313 |
| 75 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | | 1.579 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | | 0.785 |
| 76 | | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | | 59 |
| 77 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 0.313 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | | 0.313 |
| 78 | 95% UPL | | | | | 0.311 | 90% Percentile | | | | | 0.305 |
| 79 | 90% Chebyshev UPL | | | | | 0.402 | 95% Percentile | | | | | 0.308 |
| 80 | 95% Chebyshev UPL | | | | | 0.476 | 99% Percentile | | | | | 0.312 |
| 81 | 95% USL | | | | | 0.313 | | | | | | |
| 82 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 83 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 84 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 85 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 86 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 87 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 88 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 89 | Ca | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 91 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 92 | Total Number of Observations | | | | | 30 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | | 16 |
| 93 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | | 2 |
| 94 | Minimum | | | | | 170 | First Quartile | | | | | 181 |
| 95 | Second Largest | | | | | 203 | Median | | | | | 190 |
| 96 | Maximum | | | | | 210 | Third Quartile | | | | | 199.5 |
| 97 | Mean | | | | | 190 | SD | | | | | 10.61 |
| 98 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | | 0.0559 | Skewness | | | | | -0.328 |
| 99 | Mean of logged Data | | | | | 5.245 | SD of logged Data | | | | | 0.0565 |
| 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 101 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 102 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | | 2.22 | d2max (for USL) | | | | | 2.745 |
| 103 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 104 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 105 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.944 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 106 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.927 | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| 107 | | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.133 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 108 | | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.159 | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 109 | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 110 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 111 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 112 | | | 95% UTL with | 95% Coverage | 213.6 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | 203.6 | |
| 113 | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 208.3 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 207.5 | |
| 114 | | | | 95% USL | 219.1 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | 214.7 | |
| 115 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 116 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 117 | | | | A-D Test Statistic | 0.656 | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 118 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | 0.745 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 119 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | 0.141 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 120 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | 0.16 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 121 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 123 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 124 | | | | k hat (MLE) | 326.9 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 294.2 | |
| 125 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 0.581 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.646 | |
| 126 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 19612 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 17652 | |
| 127 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | 190 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 11.08 | |
| 128 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 129 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 130 | | | | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | 208.9 | | | | | 90% Percentile | 204.3 | |
| 131 | | | | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | 208.9 | | | | | 95% Percentile | 208.6 | |
| 132 | | | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with | 95% Coverage | 214.5 | | | | | 99% Percentile | 216.7 | |
| 133 | | | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with | 95% Coverage | 214.7 | | | | | | | |
| 134 | | | | 95% WH USL | 220.7 | | | | | 95% HW USL | 220.9 | |
| 135 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 136 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 137 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.938 | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 138 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.927 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 139 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.144 | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 140 | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.159 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 141 | Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 142 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 143 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 144 | | | 95% UTL with | 95% Coverage | 215.1 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | 204 | |
| 145 | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 209.2 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 208.2 | |
| 146 | | | | 95% USL | 221.5 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | 216.4 | |
| 147 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 148 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 149 | Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 150 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 151 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 152 | | | | Order of Statistic, r | 30 | | | | | 95% UTL with | 95% Coverage | 210 |
| 153 | | | | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | 1.579 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | | | 0.785 |
| 154 | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | | | 59 |
| 155 | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with | 95% Coverage | 210 | | | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with | 95% Coverage | 206.9 |
| 156 | | | | 95% UPL | 206.2 | | | | | 90% Percentile | 202 | |
| 157 | | | | 90% Chebyshev UPL | 222.4 | | | | | 95% Percentile | 202.6 | |
| 158 | | | | 95% Chebyshev UPL | 237 | | | | | 99% Percentile | 208 | |
| 159 | | | | 95% USL | 210 | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|-------|--------------------|-------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|--------|---|----|
| 160 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 161 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 162 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 163 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 164 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 165 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 166 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 167 | CI | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 168 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 169 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 170 | Total Number of Observations | | | | | 30 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | | 19 |
| 171 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | | 2 |
| 172 | Minimum | | | | 40.7 | First Quartile | | | | 46 | | |
| 173 | Second Largest | | | | 94.3 | Median | | | | 66.45 | | |
| 174 | Maximum | | | | 97.1 | Third Quartile | | | | 84 | | |
| 175 | Mean | | | | 66.14 | SD | | | | 21.26 | | |
| 176 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 0.321 | Skewness | | | | 0.0611 | | |
| 177 | Mean of logged Data | | | | 4.14 | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.332 | | |
| 178 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 179 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 180 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.22 | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.745 | | |
| 181 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 182 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 183 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.77 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 184 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.927 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 185 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.286 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 186 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.159 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 187 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 188 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 189 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 190 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 113.3 | 90% Percentile (z) | | 93.38 | | | | | | |
| 191 | 95% UPL (t) | | 102.9 | 95% Percentile (z) | | 101.1 | | | | | | |
| 192 | 95% USL | | 124.5 | 99% Percentile (z) | | 115.6 | | | | | | |
| 193 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 194 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 195 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 3.39 | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 196 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.746 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 197 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.3 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 198 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.16 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 199 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 200 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 201 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 202 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 9.729 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 8.779 | | |
| 203 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 6.798 | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 7.534 | | |
| 204 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 583.8 | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 526.7 | | |
| 205 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 66.14 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 22.32 | | |
| 206 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 207 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 208 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 107.7 | 90% Percentile | | | | 95.87 | | |
| 209 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 108.5 | 95% Percentile | | | | 106.6 | | |
| 210 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 123.1 | 99% Percentile | | 128.8 | | | | | | |
| 211 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 124.9 | | | | | | | | | |
| 212 | 95% WH USL | | | | 141.1 | 95% HW USL | | | | 144.2 | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|----|---|--------------------|---|-------|
| 213 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 214 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 215 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.767 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 216 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.927 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 217 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.3 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 218 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.159 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 219 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 220 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 221 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 222 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 131.1 | | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 96.01 |
| 223 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 111.3 | | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 108.3 |
| 224 | 95% USL | | | | 156 | | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 135.8 |
| 225 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 226 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 227 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 228 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 229 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 230 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 30 | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 97.1 | | |
| 231 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.579 | | Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.785 | | |
| 232 | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 59 | | | | |
| 233 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 97.1 | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 95.84 | | |
| 234 | 95% UPL | | | | 95.56 | | | | | | 90% Percentile | | 89.98 |
| 235 | 90% Chebyshev UPL | | | | 131 | | | | | | 95% Percentile | | 94.3 |
| 236 | 95% Chebyshev UPL | | | | 160.3 | | | | | | 99% Percentile | | 96.29 |
| 237 | 95% USL | | | | 97.1 | | | | | | | | |
| 238 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 239 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 240 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 241 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 242 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 243 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 244 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 245 | F | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 246 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 247 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 248 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 32 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | | |
| 249 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 250 | Number of Detects | | | | 22 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 10 | | |
| 251 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 16 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 1 | | |
| 252 | Minimum Detect | | | | 0.53 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.5 | | |
| 253 | Maximum Detect | | | | 1.19 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 0.5 | | |
| 254 | Variance Detected | | | | 0.0169 | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 31.25% | | |
| 255 | Mean Detected | | | | 0.656 | | SD Detected | | | | 0.13 | | |
| 256 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | | -0.435 | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | 0.158 | | |
| 257 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 258 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 259 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.186 | | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.773 | | |
| 260 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 261 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 262 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.573 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 263 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.911 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 264 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.352 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 265 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.184 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|-------|---|--------|---|---|---|------|---|--------|---|
| 266 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 267 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 268 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 269 | KM Mean | | | | 0.607 | | KM SD | | | | 0.128 | |
| 270 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.887 | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | | 0.828 | |
| 271 | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.771 | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.818 | |
| 272 | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | | 0.905 | | 95% KM USL | | | | 0.962 | |
| 273 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 274 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 275 | Mean | | | | 0.529 | | SD | | | | 0.219 | |
| 276 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.009 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.907 | |
| 277 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.81 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.89 | |
| 278 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.039 | | 95% USL | | | | 1.137 | |
| 279 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 280 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 281 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 282 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 2.487 | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 283 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.742 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 284 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.328 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 285 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.185 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 286 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 287 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 288 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 289 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 36.32 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 31.4 | |
| 290 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.0181 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.0209 | |
| 291 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 1598 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 1381 | |
| 292 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.656 | | | | | | | |
| 293 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.117 | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 82.29 | |
| 294 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 295 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 296 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 297 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 298 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 299 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 300 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 301 | Minimum | | | | 0.322 | | Mean | | | | 0.584 | |
| 302 | Maximum | | | | 1.19 | | Median | | | | 0.602 | |
| 303 | SD | | | | 0.156 | | CV | | | | 0.266 | |
| 304 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 16.25 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 14.75 | |
| 305 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 0.0359 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.0396 | |
| 306 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 1040 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 943.9 | |
| 307 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 0.584 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.152 | |
| 308 | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 43.16 | | 90% Percentile | | | | 0.786 | |
| 309 | 95% Percentile | | | | 0.855 | | 99% Percentile | | | | 0.995 | |
| 310 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 311 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 312 | | | WH | | HW | | | | WH | | HW | |
| 313 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 0.951 | | 0.957 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | 0.86 | | 0.862 | |
| 314 | 95% Gamma USL | | 1.076 | | 1.088 | | | | | | | |
| 315 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 316 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 317 | Mean (KM) | | | | 0.607 | | SD (KM) | | | | 0.128 | |
| 318 | Variance (KM) | | | | 0.0164 | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | | 0.0231 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|---|--------|---------------------------|--------|
| 319 | | | | | k hat (KM) | 22.56 | | | | | k star (KM) | 20.46 |
| 320 | | | | | nu hat (KM) | 1444 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 1310 |
| 321 | | | | | theta hat (KM) | 0.0269 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 0.0297 |
| 322 | | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.717 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.785 |
| 323 | | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.844 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.963 |
| 324 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 325 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 326 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 327 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 328 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.878 | 0.877 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.813 | 0.811 |
| 329 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | | 0.802 | 0.801 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.964 | 0.966 |
| 330 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 331 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 332 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.686 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 333 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.911 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 334 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.314 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 335 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.184 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 336 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 337 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 338 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 339 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.597 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -0.538 | | |
| 340 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.141 | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.208 | | |
| 341 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.92 | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.19 | | |
| 342 | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.19 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.835 | | |
| 343 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.762 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.822 | | |
| 344 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.947 | 95% USL | | | | 1.04 | | |
| 345 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 346 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 347 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | | | -0.516 | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | 0.876 | | |
| 348 | KM SD of Logged Data | | | | 0.175 | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.808 | | |
| 349 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | | | 0.797 | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.971 | | |
| 350 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 351 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 352 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.529 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -0.732 | | |
| 353 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.219 | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.467 | | |
| 354 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 1.333 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 1.074 | | |
| 355 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.874 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.036 | | |
| 356 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 1.423 | 95% USL | | | | 1.753 | | |
| 357 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 358 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 359 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 360 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 361 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 362 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 363 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 32 | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | 1.19 | | |
| 364 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.684 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.806 | | |
| 365 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 59 | 95% UPL | | | | 0.93 | | |
| 366 | 95% USL | | | | 1.19 | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | 1.174 | | |
| 367 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 368 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 369 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 370 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 371 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|---|--------|---|--------|---|
| 372 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 373 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 374 | pH | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 375 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 376 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 377 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 32 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 29 | |
| 378 | Minimum | | | | 6.37 | | First Quartile | | | | 7.168 | |
| 379 | Second Largest | | | | 7.6 | | Median | | | | 7.245 | |
| 380 | Maximum | | | | 7.7 | | Third Quartile | | | | 7.353 | |
| 381 | Mean | | | | 7.218 | | SD | | | | 0.261 | |
| 382 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 0.0361 | | Skewness | | | | -1.446 | |
| 383 | Mean of logged Data | | | | 1.976 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.0371 | |
| 384 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 385 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 386 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.186 | | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.773 | |
| 387 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 388 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 389 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.862 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 390 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.93 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 391 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.215 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 392 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.154 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 393 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 394 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 395 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 396 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 7.788 | | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 7.552 | | | |
| 397 | 95% UPL (t) | | 7.667 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 7.646 | | | |
| 398 | 95% USL | | 7.941 | | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 7.824 | | | |
| 399 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 400 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 401 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 1.775 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 402 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.745 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 403 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.218 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 404 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.155 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 405 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 406 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 407 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 408 | k hat (MLE) | | 764.1 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 692.5 | | | |
| 409 | Theta hat (MLE) | | 0.00945 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 0.0104 | | | |
| 410 | nu hat (MLE) | | 48903 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 44320 | | | |
| 411 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 7.218 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 0.274 | | | |
| 412 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 413 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 414 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | 7.681 | | 90% Percentile | | | | 7.571 | | | |
| 415 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | 7.683 | | 95% Percentile | | | | 7.675 | | | |
| 416 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 7.81 | | 99% Percentile | | | | 7.871 | | | |
| 417 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 7.813 | | | | | | | | | |
| 418 | 95% WH USL | | 7.975 | | 95% HW USL | | | | 7.98 | | | |
| 419 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 420 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 421 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.844 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 422 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.93 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 423 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.224 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 424 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.154 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|--|---|---|-------|--|---|---|---|--------|--------------------|---|-------|--|
| 425 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 426 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 427 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 428 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 7.823 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 7.564 | |
| 429 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 7.689 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | 7.667 | |
| 430 | 95% USL | | | | 7.995 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 7.863 | |
| 431 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 432 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 433 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 434 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 435 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 436 | Order of Statistic, r | | | 32 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 7.7 | | | | |
| 437 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | 1.684 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.806 | | | | |
| 438 | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 59 | | | | |
| 439 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 7.7 | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 7.645 | | | |
| 440 | 95% UPL | | | | 7.635 | 90% Percentile | | | | 7.488 | | | |
| 441 | 90% Chebyshev UPL | | | | 8.012 | 95% Percentile | | | | 7.545 | | | |
| 442 | 95% Chebyshev UPL | | | | 8.371 | 99% Percentile | | | | 7.669 | | | |
| 443 | 95% USL | | | | 7.7 | | | | | | | | |
| 444 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 445 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 446 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 447 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 448 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 449 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 450 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 451 | SO | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 452 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 453 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 454 | Total Number of Observations | | | 30 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 20 | | | | |
| 455 | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 2 | | | | |
| 456 | Minimum | | | 450 | First Quartile | | | | 544 | | | | |
| 457 | Second Largest | | | 845 | Median | | | | 724.5 | | | | |
| 458 | Maximum | | | 877 | Third Quartile | | | | 830 | | | | |
| 459 | Mean | | | 691.9 | SD | | | | 146 | | | | |
| 460 | Coefficient of Variation | | | 0.211 | Skewness | | | | -0.107 | | | | |
| 461 | Mean of logged Data | | | 6.517 | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.218 | | | | |
| 462 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 463 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 464 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | 2.22 | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.745 | | | | |
| 465 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 466 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 467 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.782 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 468 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.927 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 469 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.277 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 470 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.159 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 471 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 472 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 473 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 474 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 1016 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 879 | | | |
| 475 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 944.1 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 932 | | | |
| 476 | 95% USL | | | | 1093 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 1032 | | | |
| 477 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|----|---|-------|---|
| 478 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 479 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 3.065 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 480 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.744 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 481 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.282 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 482 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.16 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 483 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 484 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 485 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 486 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 22.45 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 20.22 | |
| 487 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 30.82 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 34.21 | |
| 488 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 1347 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 1213 | |
| 489 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 691.9 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 153.8 | |
| 490 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 491 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 492 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 968.7 | | 90% Percentile | | | | 894.9 | |
| 493 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 972.5 | | 95% Percentile | | | | 962.8 | |
| 494 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 1063 | | 99% Percentile | | | | 1099 | |
| 495 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 1071 | | | | | | | |
| 496 | 95% WH USL | | | | 1171 | | 95% HW USL | | | | 1184 | |
| 497 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 498 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 499 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.79 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 500 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.927 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 501 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.278 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 502 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.159 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 503 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 504 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 505 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 506 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 1096 | | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 894 | |
| 507 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 985 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 967.5 | |
| 508 | 95% USL | | | | 1229 | | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 1122 | |
| 509 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 510 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 511 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 512 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 513 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 514 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 30 | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 877 | |
| 515 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.579 | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.785 | |
| 516 | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 59 | | | |
| 517 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 877 | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 862.6 | |
| 518 | 95% UPL | | | | 859.4 | | 90% Percentile | | | | 840 | |
| 519 | 90% Chebyshev UPL | | | | 1137 | | 95% Percentile | | | | 842.8 | |
| 520 | 95% Chebyshev UPL | | | | 1339 | | 99% Percentile | | | | 867.7 | |
| 521 | 95% USL | | | | 877 | | | | | | | |
| 522 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 523 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 524 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 525 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 526 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 527 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 528 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 529 | TDS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 530 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|------|---|--------------------|---|---|---|------|---|--------|---|
| 531 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 532 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 30 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 15 | |
| 533 | | | | | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 2 | |
| 534 | Minimum | | | | 400 | | First Quartile | | | | 1300 | |
| 535 | Second Largest | | | | 1830 | | Median | | | | 1530 | |
| 536 | Maximum | | | | 1840 | | Third Quartile | | | | 1760 | |
| 537 | Mean | | | | 1492 | | SD | | | | 323.9 | |
| 538 | Coefficient of Variation | | | | 0.217 | | Skewness | | | | -1.317 | |
| 539 | Mean of logged Data | | | | 7.275 | | SD of logged Data | | | | 0.296 | |
| 540 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 541 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 542 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.22 | | d2max (for USL) | | | | 2.745 | |
| 543 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 544 | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 545 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.824 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 546 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.927 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 547 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.239 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 548 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.159 | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 549 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 550 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 551 | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 552 | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | 2211 | | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 1907 | | | |
| 553 | 95% UPL (t) | | 2052 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 2025 | | | |
| 554 | 95% USL | | 2382 | | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 2246 | | | |
| 555 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 556 | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 557 | A-D Test Statistic | | | | 2.175 | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 558 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | | 0.745 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 559 | K-S Test Statistic | | | | 0.231 | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | | | | | |
| 560 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | | 0.16 | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 561 | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 562 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 563 | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 564 | k hat (MLE) | | | | 15.14 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 13.65 | |
| 565 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | | 98.56 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | | 109.3 | |
| 566 | nu hat (MLE) | | | | 908.5 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | | 819 | |
| 567 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | | 1492 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | | 403.9 | |
| 568 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 569 | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 570 | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 2227 | | 90% Percentile | | | | 2028 | |
| 571 | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 2263 | | 95% Percentile | | | | 2213 | |
| 572 | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 2486 | | 99% Percentile | | | | 2589 | | | |
| 573 | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 2544 | | | | | | | | | |
| 574 | 95% WH USL | | | | 2783 | | 95% HW USL | | | | 2872 | |
| 575 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 576 | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 577 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.673 | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 578 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.927 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 579 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.262 | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | |
| 580 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.159 | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 581 | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 582 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 583 | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|-------|---|---|--|----------------|--------------------|-------|
| 584 | | | 95% UTL with | 95% Coverage | | 2785 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | 2110 |
| 585 | | | | 95% UPL (t) | | 2407 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 2349 |
| 586 | | | | 95% USL | | 3254 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | 2874 |
| 587 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 588 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 589 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 590 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 591 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 592 | | | Order of Statistic, r | | | 30 | | | | 95% UTL with | 95% Coverage | 1840 |
| 593 | | | Approx, f used to compute | achieved CC | | 1.579 | | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | 0.785 |
| 594 | | | | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | 59 |
| 595 | | | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with | 95% Coverage | | 1840 | | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with | 95% Coverage | | 1836 |
| 596 | | | | 95% UPL | | 1835 | | | | 90% Percentile | | 1821 |
| 597 | | | | 90% Chebyshev UPL | | 2480 | | | | 95% Percentile | | 1830 |
| 598 | | | | 95% Chebyshev UPL | | 2928 | | | | 99% Percentile | | 1837 |
| 599 | | | | 95% USL | | 1840 | | | | | | |
| 600 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 601 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 602 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 603 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 604 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 605 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 606 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|----|--|---|------------------------------------|--------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|
| 1 | Background Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | User Selected Options | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Date/Time of Computation | | ProUCL 5.110/13/2022 8:51:40 AM | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | From File | | LRS_ProUCL_UPL_Input_2021_v1_a.xls | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Full Precision | | OFF | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Confidence Coefficient | | 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Coverage | | 95% | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Different or Future K Observations | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Number of Bootstrap Operations | | 2000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Sb | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Total Number of Observations | | | 30 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | | 2 | |
| 15 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Number of Detects | | | 0 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | | 30 | |
| 17 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 0 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | | 2 | |
| 18 | Minimum Detect | | | N/A | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | | 0.002 | |
| 19 | Maximum Detect | | | N/A | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | | 0.02 | |
| 20 | Variance Detected | | | N/A | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | | 100% | |
| 21 | Mean Detected | | | N/A | | SD Detected | | | | | N/A | |
| 22 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | N/A | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | | N/A | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | The data set for variable Sb was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | As | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | Total Number of Observations | | | 30 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | | 2 | |
| 35 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | Number of Detects | | | 1 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | | 29 | |
| 37 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 1 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | | 2 | |
| 38 | Minimum Detect | | | 0.0057 | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | | 0.005 | |
| 39 | Maximum Detect | | | 0.0057 | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | | 0.05 | |
| 40 | Variance Detected | | | N/A | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | | 96.67% | |
| 41 | Mean Detected | | | 0.0057 | | SD Detected | | | | | N/A | |
| 42 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | -5.167 | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | | N/A | |
| 43 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | Warning: Only one distinct data value was detected! ProUCL (or any other software) should not be used on such a data set! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | It is suggested to use alternative site specific values determined by the Project Team to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 46 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47 | The data set for variable As was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 49 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | Ba | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 53 | Total Number of Observations | | | 32 | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | | 30 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| 54 | | | | | Minimum | 0.0309 | | | | | First Quartile | 0.0351 |
| 55 | | | | | Second Largest | 0.077 | | | | | Median | 0.0403 |
| 56 | | | | | Maximum | 0.11 | | | | | Third Quartile | 0.0503 |
| 57 | | | | | Mean | 0.0464 | | | | | SD | 0.0169 |
| 58 | | | | | Coefficient of Variation | 0.364 | | | | | Skewness | 2.133 |
| 59 | | | | | Mean of logged Data | -3.12 | | | | | SD of logged Data | 0.3 |
| 60 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 61 | | | | | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | |
| 62 | | | | | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | 2.186 | | | | | d2max (for USL) | 2.773 |
| 63 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 64 | | | | | Normal GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 65 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.78 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | |
| 66 | | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.93 | | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 67 | | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.188 | | | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | |
| 68 | | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.154 | | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 69 | | | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 70 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 71 | | | | | Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | |
| 72 | | | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | 0.0833 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | 0.068 |
| 73 | | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 0.0754 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 0.0741 |
| 74 | | | | | 95% USL | 0.0932 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | 0.0856 |
| 75 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 76 | | | | | Gamma GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 77 | | | | | A-D Test Statistic | 1.35 | | | | | Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test | |
| 78 | | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | 0.747 | | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| 79 | | | | | K-S Test Statistic | 0.174 | | | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test | |
| 80 | | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | 0.155 | | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | |
| 81 | | | | | Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 82 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 83 | | | | | Gamma Statistics | | | | | | | |
| 84 | | | | | k hat (MLE) | 10.35 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 9.399 |
| 85 | | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 0.00448 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.00494 |
| 86 | | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 662.3 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 601.5 |
| 87 | | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | 0.0464 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 0.0151 |
| 88 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 89 | | | | | Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution | | | | | | | |
| 90 | | | | | 95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL | 0.0743 | | | | | 90% Percentile | 0.0665 |
| 91 | | | | | 95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL | 0.0742 | | | | | 95% Percentile | 0.0738 |
| 92 | | | | | 95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | 0.084 | | | | | 99% Percentile | 0.0886 |
| 93 | | | | | 95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | 0.0842 | | | | | | |
| 94 | | | | | 95% WH USL | 0.0974 | | | | | 95% HW USL | 0.0982 |
| 95 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 96 | | | | | Lognormal GOF Test | | | | | | | |
| 97 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.891 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test | |
| 98 | | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.93 | | | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 99 | | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.16 | | | | | Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test | |
| 100 | | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.154 | | | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | |
| 101 | | | | | Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 102 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103 | | | | | Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | |
| 104 | | | | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | 0.0852 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | 0.0649 |
| 105 | | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 0.0741 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 0.0724 |
| 106 | | | | | 95% USL | 0.102 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | 0.0888 |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------------|---|
| 107 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 108 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 109 | Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 110 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 111 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 112 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 32 | | 95% UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.11 | |
| 113 | Approx. f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.684 | | Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.806 | |
| 114 | | | | | | | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 59 | |
| 115 | 95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.11 | | 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.11 | |
| 116 | | | | | 95% UPL 0.0885 | | | | | | 90% Percentile 0.0685 | |
| 117 | | | | | 90% Chebyshev UPL 0.0978 | | | | | | 95% Percentile 0.0748 | |
| 118 | | | | | 95% Chebyshev UPL 0.121 | | | | | | 99% Percentile 0.0998 | |
| 119 | | | | | 95% USL 0.11 | | | | | | | |
| 120 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 121 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 123 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 124 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 125 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 126 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 127 | Be | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 128 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 129 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 130 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 30 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 2 | |
| 131 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| 132 | Number of Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 30 | |
| 133 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 2 | |
| 134 | Minimum Detect | | | | N/A | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.001 | |
| 135 | Maximum Detect | | | | N/A | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 0.01 | |
| 136 | Variance Detected | | | | N/A | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 100% | |
| 137 | Mean Detected | | | | N/A | | SD Detected | | | | N/A | |
| 138 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | | N/A | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | N/A | |
| 139 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 140 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 141 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 142 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 143 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 144 | The data set for variable Be was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 145 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 146 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 147 | Cd | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 148 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 149 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 150 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 30 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 2 | |
| 151 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| 152 | Number of Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 30 | |
| 153 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 2 | |
| 154 | Minimum Detect | | | | N/A | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.001 | |
| 155 | Maximum Detect | | | | N/A | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 0.01 | |
| 156 | Variance Detected | | | | N/A | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 100% | |
| 157 | Mean Detected | | | | N/A | | SD Detected | | | | N/A | |
| 158 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | | N/A | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | N/A | |
| 159 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|-----------|--|---|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 160 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 161 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 162 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 163 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 164 | The data set for variable Cd was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 165 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 166 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 167 | Cr | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 168 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 169 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 170 | Total Number of Observations | 32 | Number of Missing Observations | | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 171 | Number of Distinct Observations | 4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 172 | Number of Detects | 1 | Number of Non-Detects | | 31 | | | | | | | |
| 173 | Number of Distinct Detects | 1 | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | 3 | | | | | | | |
| 174 | Minimum Detect | 0.00245 | Minimum Non-Detect | | 0.002 | | | | | | | |
| 175 | Maximum Detect | 0.00245 | Maximum Non-Detect | | 0.02 | | | | | | | |
| 176 | Variance Detected | N/A | Percent Non-Detects | | 96.88% | | | | | | | |
| 177 | Mean Detected | 0.00245 | SD Detected | | N/A | | | | | | | |
| 178 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | -6.012 | SD of Detected Logged Data | | N/A | | | | | | | |
| 179 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 180 | Warning: Only one distinct data value was detected! ProUCL (or any other software) should not be used on such a data set! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 181 | s suggested to use alternative site specific values determined by the Project Team to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 182 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 183 | The data set for variable Cr was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 184 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 185 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 186 | Co | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 187 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 188 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 189 | Total Number of Observations | 28 | Number of Missing Observations | | 4 | | | | | | | |
| 190 | Number of Distinct Observations | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 191 | Number of Detects | 3 | Number of Non-Detects | | 25 | | | | | | | |
| 192 | Number of Distinct Detects | 3 | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| 193 | Minimum Detect | 0.0012 | Minimum Non-Detect | | 0.001 | | | | | | | |
| 194 | Maximum Detect | 0.0028 | Maximum Non-Detect | | 0.01 | | | | | | | |
| 195 | Variance Detected | 7.2333E-7 | Percent Non-Detects | | 89.29% | | | | | | | |
| 196 | Mean Detected | 0.00183 | SD Detected | | 8.5049E-4 | | | | | | | |
| 197 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | -6.369 | SD of Detected Logged Data | | 0.439 | | | | | | | |
| 198 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 199 | Warning: Data set has only 3 Detected Values. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 200 | This is not enough to compute meaningful or reliable statistics and estimates. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 201 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 202 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 203 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 204 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | 2.246 | d2max (for USL) | | 2.714 | | | | | | | |
| 205 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 206 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 207 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.885 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 208 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.767 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 209 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.319 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | | | |
| 210 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.425 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 211 | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 212 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|---|---|---|-----------|---|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------|---|--------|---|-------|
| 213 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 214 | KM Mean | | | 0.0011 | | KM SD | | | 3.5570E-4 | | | | |
| 215 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.0019 | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | 0.00171 | | | | |
| 216 | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.00155 | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.00168 | | | | |
| 217 | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.00192 | | 95% KM USL | | | 0.00206 | | | | |
| 218 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 219 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 220 | Mean | | | 9.6429E-4 | | SD | | | 0.00124 | | | | |
| 221 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.00374 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | 0.00311 | | | | |
| 222 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | 0.00255 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | 0.003 | | | | |
| 223 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | 0.00384 | | 95% USL | | | 0.00432 | | | | |
| 224 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 225 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 226 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 227 | Not Enough Data to Perform GOF Test | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 228 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 229 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 230 | k hat (MLE) | | | 7.625 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | N/A | | | | |
| 231 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 2.4042E-4 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | N/A | | | | |
| 232 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 45.75 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | N/A | | | | |
| 233 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | N/A | | | | | | | | | |
| 234 | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | N/A | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | N/A | | | | |
| 235 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 236 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 237 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 238 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 239 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 240 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 241 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 242 | Minimum | | | 0.0012 | | Mean | | | 0.00913 | | | | |
| 243 | Maximum | | | 0.01 | | Median | | | 0.01 | | | | |
| 244 | SD | | | 0.00258 | | CV | | | 0.283 | | | | |
| 245 | k hat (MLE) | | | 5.296 | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 4.752 | | | | |
| 246 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 0.00172 | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.00192 | | | | |
| 247 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 296.6 | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 266.1 | | | | |
| 248 | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | | 0.00913 | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | | 0.00419 | | | | |
| 249 | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | 17.62 | | 90% Percentile | | | 0.0147 | | | | |
| 250 | 95% Percentile | | | 0.0169 | | 99% Percentile | | | 0.0216 | | | | |
| 251 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 252 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 253 | | | | WH | | HW | | | | | WH | | HW |
| 254 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | 0.0204 | | 0.0219 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | 0.0171 | | 0.018 |
| 255 | 95% Gamma USL | | | 0.0238 | | 0.026 | | | | | | | |
| 256 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 257 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 258 | Mean (KM) | | | 0.0011 | | SD (KM) | | | 3.5570E-4 | | | | |
| 259 | Variance (KM) | | | 1.2652E-7 | | SE of Mean (KM) | | | 8.5437E-5 | | | | |
| 260 | k hat (KM) | | | 9.497 | | k star (KM) | | | 8.503 | | | | |
| 261 | nu hat (KM) | | | 531.8 | | nu star (KM) | | | 476.2 | | | | |
| 262 | theta hat (KM) | | | 1.1543E-4 | | theta star (KM) | | | 1.2891E-4 | | | | |
| 263 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 0.00139 | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 0.0016 | | | | |
| 264 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 0.00178 | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | | | 0.00215 | | | | |
| 265 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | | |
|-----|--|---|---|-----------|---------|--|---|---|---|---------|---------|---|--|--|
| 266 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 267 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 268 | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW | | | |
| 269 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | 0.00177 | 0.00175 | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | | 0.00159 | 0.00158 | | | |
| 270 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | 0.00156 | 0.00155 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.00194 | 0.00193 | | | |
| 271 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 272 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 273 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.931 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 274 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.767 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 275 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.286 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | | | |
| 276 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.425 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | |
| 277 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 278 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 279 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 280 | Mean in Original Scale | | | 3.4707E-4 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -8.951 | | | | |
| 281 | SD in Original Scale | | | 5.9599E-4 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 1.458 | | | | |
| 282 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.00342 | | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0028 | | | | |
| 283 | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.0028 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.00162 | | | | |
| 284 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | 8.3950E-4 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00143 | | | | |
| 285 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | 0.00385 | | 95% USL | | | | 0.00678 | | | | |
| 286 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 287 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 288 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | | -6.846 | | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | 0.00171 | | | | |
| 289 | KM SD of Logged Data | | | 0.211 | | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.00153 | | | | |
| 290 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | | 0.00151 | | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.00189 | | | | |
| 291 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 292 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 293 | Mean in Original Scale | | | 9.6429E-4 | | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -7.304 | | | | |
| 294 | SD in Original Scale | | | 0.00124 | | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.696 | | | | |
| 295 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.00321 | | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.00225 | | | | |
| 296 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | 0.00164 | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.00211 | | | | |
| 297 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | 0.0034 | | 95% USL | | | | 0.00445 | | | | |
| 298 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 299 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 300 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 301 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 302 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 303 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 304 | Order of Statistic, r | | | 28 | | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | 0.01 | | | | |
| 305 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | 1.474 | | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.762 | | | | |
| 306 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | 59 | | 95% UPL | | | | 0.01 | | | | |
| 307 | 95% USL | | | 0.01 | | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | 0.00267 | | | | |
| 308 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 309 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 310 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 311 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 312 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 313 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 314 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 315 | Pb | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 316 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 317 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 318 | Total Number of Observations | | | 30 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 2 | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|----|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|--|--------------------------------|---|---|---------|
| 319 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 2 | | | | | | |
| 320 | | | Number of Detects | | | 0 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 30 |
| 321 | | | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 0 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 2 |
| 322 | | | Minimum Detect | | | N/A | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 0.001 |
| 323 | | | Maximum Detect | | | N/A | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 0.01 |
| 324 | | | Variance Detected | | | N/A | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 100% |
| 325 | | | Mean Detected | | | N/A | | | SD Detected | | | N/A |
| 326 | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | N/A | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | N/A |
| 327 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 328 | | | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | |
| 329 | | | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | |
| 330 | | | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | |
| 331 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 332 | | | The data set for variable Pb was not processed! | | | | | | | | | |
| 333 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 334 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 335 | Li | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 336 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 337 | | | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | |
| 338 | | | Total Number of Observations | | | 32 | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 0 |
| 339 | | | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 28 | | | | | | |
| 340 | | | Number of Detects | | | 30 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 2 |
| 341 | | | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 26 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 2 |
| 342 | | | Minimum Detect | | | 0.0529 | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 0.0555 |
| 343 | | | Maximum Detect | | | 0.0837 | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 0.0648 |
| 344 | | | Variance Detected | | | 6.2544E-5 | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 6.25% |
| 345 | | | Mean Detected | | | 0.0699 | | | SD Detected | | | 0.00791 |
| 346 | | | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | -2.667 | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | 0.116 |
| 347 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 348 | | | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | |
| 349 | | | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | 2.186 | | | d2max (for USL) | | | 2.773 |
| 350 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 351 | | | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | |
| 352 | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.972 | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | |
| 353 | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.927 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 354 | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.089 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | |
| 355 | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.159 | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 356 | | | Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 357 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 358 | | | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | |
| 359 | | | KM Mean | | | 0.069 | | | KM SD | | | 0.00832 |
| 360 | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.0872 | | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | 0.0833 |
| 361 | | | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.0797 | | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.0827 |
| 362 | | | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.0884 | | | 95% KM USL | | | 0.0921 |
| 363 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 364 | | | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | |
| 365 | | | Mean | | | 0.0674 | | | SD | | | 0.0124 |
| 366 | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.0946 | | | 95% UPL (t) | | | 0.0888 |
| 367 | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | | 0.0833 | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | 0.0878 |
| 368 | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | | 0.0963 | | | 95% USL | | | 0.102 |
| 369 | | | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | |
| 370 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 371 | | | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------|---|---|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| 372 | | | | A-D Test Statistic | | 0.342 | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 373 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.745 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 374 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.104 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 375 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.16 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 376 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 377 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 378 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 379 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 78.12 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 70.33 |
| 380 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 8.9455E-4 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 9.9363E-4 |
| 381 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 4687 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 4220 |
| 382 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 0.0699 | | | | | | |
| 383 | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | 0.00833 | | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | 169.3 |
| 384 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 385 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 386 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 387 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 388 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 389 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 390 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 391 | | | | Minimum | | 0.0529 | | | | | Mean | 0.0691 |
| 392 | | | | Maximum | | 0.0837 | | | | | Median | 0.0697 |
| 393 | | | | SD | | 0.0083 | | | | | CV | 0.12 |
| 394 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 69.43 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 62.94 |
| 395 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 9.9502E-4 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.0011 |
| 396 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 4444 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 4028 |
| 397 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 0.0691 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 0.00871 |
| 398 | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | 153.1 | | | | | 90% Percentile | 0.0805 |
| 399 | | | | 95% Percentile | | 0.084 | | | | | 99% Percentile | 0.0909 |
| 400 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 401 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 402 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 403 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.0888 | 0.089 | | | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | 0.0843 | 0.0844 |
| 404 | | 95% Gamma USL | | | 0.0948 | 0.0952 | | | | | | |
| 405 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 406 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 407 | | | | Mean (KM) | | 0.069 | | | | | SD (KM) | 0.00832 |
| 408 | | | | Variance (KM) | | 6.9254E-5 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 0.0015 |
| 409 | | | | k hat (KM) | | 68.75 | | | | | k star (KM) | 62.33 |
| 410 | | | | nu hat (KM) | | 4400 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 3989 |
| 411 | | | | theta hat (KM) | | 0.001 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 0.00111 |
| 412 | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | 0.0762 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.0804 |
| 413 | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | 0.084 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.0909 |
| 414 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 415 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 416 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 417 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 418 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.0888 | 0.0891 | | | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | 0.0842 | 0.0844 |
| 419 | | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | 0.0835 | 0.0836 | | | | 95% Gamma USL | 0.0948 | 0.0953 |
| 420 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 421 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 422 | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | 0.961 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 423 | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | 0.927 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 424 | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | 0.112 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---------|--|---|---|---|-----------|---|---|--|
| 425 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.159 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | |
| 426 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 427 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 428 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 429 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.0691 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -2.68 | | | |
| 430 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.00829 | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.123 | | | |
| 431 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0897 | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0822 | | | |
| 432 | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0837 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.0848 | | | |
| 433 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0803 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.084 | | | |
| 434 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0913 | 95% USL | | | | 0.0965 | | | |
| 435 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 436 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 437 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | | | -2.681 | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | 0.0898 | | | |
| 438 | KM SD of Logged Data | | | | 0.124 | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.0848 | | | |
| 439 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | | | 0.084 | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | 0.0966 | | | |
| 440 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 441 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 442 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.0674 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | -2.72 | | | |
| 443 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.0124 | SD in Log Scale | | | | 0.236 | | | |
| 444 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.11 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | 0.0989 | | | |
| 445 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0891 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0971 | | | |
| 446 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.114 | 95% USL | | | | 0.127 | | | |
| 447 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 448 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 449 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 450 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 451 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 452 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 453 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 32 | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | 0.0837 | | | |
| 454 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.684 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | 0.806 | | | |
| 455 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 59 | 95% UPL | | | | 0.0819 | | | |
| 456 | 95% USL | | | | 0.0837 | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | 0.106 | | | |
| 457 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 458 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 459 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 460 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 461 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 462 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 463 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 464 | Hg | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 465 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 466 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 467 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 30 | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 2 | | | |
| 468 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 469 | Number of Detects | | | | 0 | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 30 | | | |
| 470 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 0 | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 1 | | | |
| 471 | Minimum Detect | | | | N/A | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 2.0000E-4 | | | |
| 472 | Maximum Detect | | | | N/A | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 2.0000E-4 | | | |
| 473 | Variance Detected | | | | N/A | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 100% | | | |
| 474 | Mean Detected | | | | N/A | SD Detected | | | | N/A | | | |
| 475 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | | N/A | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | N/A | | | |
| 476 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 477 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---------|---|---|
| 478 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 479 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 480 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 481 | The data set for variable Hg was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 482 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 483 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 484 | Mo | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 485 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 486 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 487 | Total Number of Observations | | | 32 | | | Number of Missing Observations | | | 0 | | |
| 488 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | 21 | | | | | | | | |
| 489 | Number of Detects | | | 22 | | | Number of Non-Detects | | | 10 | | |
| 490 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | 20 | | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | 2 | | |
| 491 | Minimum Detect | | | 0.00358 | | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | 0.01 | | |
| 492 | Maximum Detect | | | 0.069 | | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | 0.02 | | |
| 493 | Variance Detected | | | 2.1788E-4 | | | Percent Non-Detects | | | 31.25% | | |
| 494 | Mean Detected | | | 0.0151 | | | SD Detected | | | 0.0148 | | |
| 495 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | -4.495 | | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | 0.745 | | |
| 496 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 497 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 498 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | 2.186 | | | d2max (for USL) | | | 2.773 | | |
| 499 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 500 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 501 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | 0.688 | | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 502 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | 0.911 | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 503 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | 0.239 | | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 504 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | 0.184 | | | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 505 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 506 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 507 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 508 | KM Mean | | | 0.0126 | | | KM SD | | | 0.0126 | | |
| 509 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.0401 | | | 95% KM UPL (t) | | | 0.0343 | | |
| 510 | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.0287 | | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.0333 | | |
| 511 | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | | 0.0419 | | | 95% KM USL | | | 0.0475 | | |
| 512 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 513 | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 514 | Mean | | | 0.0122 | | | SD | | | 0.0129 | | |
| 515 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | 0.0405 | | | 95% UPL (t) | | | 0.0345 | | |
| 516 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | 0.0288 | | | 95% Percentile (z) | | | 0.0335 | | |
| 517 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | 0.0423 | | | 95% USL | | | 0.0481 | | |
| 518 | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 519 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 520 | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 521 | A-D Test Statistic | | | 0.7 | | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | | | | |
| 522 | 5% A-D Critical Value | | | 0.757 | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 523 | K-S Test Statistic | | | 0.163 | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | | | | |
| 524 | 5% K-S Critical Value | | | 0.188 | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 525 | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 526 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 527 | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 528 | k hat (MLE) | | | 1.814 | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 1.597 | | |
| 529 | Theta hat (MLE) | | | 0.0083 | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | | | 0.00943 | | |
| 530 | nu hat (MLE) | | | 79.84 | | | nu star (bias corrected) | | | 70.28 | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|-----------|---|---|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------|
| 531 | | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | 0.0151 | | | | | | |
| 532 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 0.0119 | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | | | 8.15 |
| 533 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 534 | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 535 | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 536 | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 537 | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 538 | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 539 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 540 | | | | | Minimum | 0.00358 | | | | | Mean | 0.0136 |
| 541 | | | | | Maximum | 0.069 | | | | | Median | 0.01 |
| 542 | | | | | SD | 0.0124 | | | | | CV | 0.907 |
| 543 | | | | | k hat (MLE) | 2.415 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 2.21 |
| 544 | | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | 0.00564 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.00617 |
| 545 | | | | | nu hat (MLE) | 154.6 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 141.4 |
| 546 | | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | 0.0136 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 0.00917 |
| 547 | | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | 10.16 | | | | | 90% Percentile | 0.0259 |
| 548 | | | | | 95% Percentile | 0.0313 | | | | | 99% Percentile | 0.0433 |
| 549 | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 550 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 551 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 552 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.0391 | 0.0395 | | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | 0.0314 | 0.0313 |
| 553 | 95% Gamma USL | | | | 0.0506 | 0.052 | | | | | | |
| 554 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 555 | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 556 | | | | | Mean (KM) | 0.0126 | | | | | SD (KM) | 0.0126 |
| 557 | | | | | Variance (KM) | 1.5875E-4 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 0.0023 |
| 558 | | | | | k hat (KM) | 0.998 | | | | | k star (KM) | 0.926 |
| 559 | | | | | nu hat (KM) | 63.9 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 59.24 |
| 560 | | | | | theta hat (KM) | 0.0126 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 0.0136 |
| 561 | | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.0204 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.0295 |
| 562 | | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.0388 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 0.0603 |
| 563 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 564 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 565 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 566 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 567 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 0.0388 | 0.0392 | | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | 0.0306 | 0.0305 |
| 568 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | | 0.0294 | 0.0292 | | | 95% Gamma USL | | 0.0511 | 0.0528 |
| 569 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 570 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 571 | | | | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.962 | | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | |
| 572 | | | | | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.911 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 573 | | | | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.122 | | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | |
| 574 | | | | | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.184 | | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | |
| 575 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 576 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 577 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 578 | | | | | Mean in Original Scale | 0.0127 | | | | | Mean in Log Scale | -4.647 |
| 579 | | | | | SD in Original Scale | 0.0128 | | | | | SD in Log Scale | 0.691 |
| 580 | | | | | 95% UTL95% Coverage | 0.0434 | | | | | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | 0.069 |
| 581 | | | | | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | 0.069 | | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 0.0315 |
| 582 | | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | 0.0232 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 0.0299 |
| 583 | | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | 0.0479 | | | | | 95% USL | 0.0652 |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---|--------|--|---|---|---|---|--------|---|
| 584 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 585 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 586 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | | | -4.655 | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | | 0.0419 | |
| 587 | KM SD of Logged Data | | | | 0.678 | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | | 0.0306 | |
| 588 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | | | 0.029 | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | | 0.0624 | |
| 589 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 590 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 591 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | 0.0122 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | | -4.703 | |
| 592 | SD in Original Scale | | | | 0.0129 | SD in Log Scale | | | | | 0.706 | |
| 593 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | 0.0424 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | | 0.0306 | |
| 594 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0224 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | | 0.029 | |
| 595 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | 0.0469 | 95% USL | | | | | 0.0643 | |
| 596 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 597 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 598 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 599 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 600 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 601 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 602 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | 32 | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | | 0.069 | |
| 603 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | 1.684 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | | 0.806 | |
| 604 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | 59 | 95% UPL | | | | | 0.0495 | |
| 605 | 95% USL | | | | 0.069 | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | | 0.0684 | |
| 606 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 607 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 608 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 609 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 610 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 611 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 612 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 613 | Ra | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 614 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 615 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 616 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 32 | Number of Missing Observations | | | | | 0 | |
| 617 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 32 | | | | | | | |
| 618 | Number of Detects | | | | 23 | Number of Non-Detects | | | | | 9 | |
| 619 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 23 | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | | 9 | |
| 620 | Minimum Detect | | | | 0.375 | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | | 0.373 | |
| 621 | Maximum Detect | | | | 1.76 | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | | 0.915 | |
| 622 | Variance Detected | | | | 0.0792 | Percent Non-Detects | | | | | 28.13% | |
| 623 | Mean Detected | | | | 0.769 | SD Detected | | | | | 0.281 | |
| 624 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | | -0.316 | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | | 0.323 | |
| 625 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 626 | Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 627 | Tolerance Factor K (For UTL) | | | | 2.186 | d2max (for USL) | | | | | 2.773 | |
| 628 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 629 | Normal GOF Test on Detects Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 630 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | 0.817 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 631 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | 0.914 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 632 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | 0.206 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | | |
| 633 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | 0.18 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | |
| 634 | Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 635 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 636 | Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--------------|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|--|
| 637 | | | | | KM Mean | 0.678 | | | | | KM SD | 0.282 | |
| 638 | | | | 95% UTL | 95% Coverage | 1.295 | | | | | 95% KM UPL (t) | 1.164 | |
| 639 | | | | 90% KM Percentile (z) | | 1.04 | | | | | 95% KM Percentile (z) | 1.142 | |
| 640 | | | | 99% KM Percentile (z) | | 1.335 | | | | | 95% KM USL | 1.461 | |
| 641 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 642 | | | | DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution | | | | | | | | | |
| 643 | | | | Mean | | 0.634 | | | | | SD | 0.327 | |
| 644 | | | | 95% UTL | 95% Coverage | 1.348 | | | | | 95% UPL (t) | 1.196 | |
| 645 | | | | 90% Percentile (z) | | 1.052 | | | | | 95% Percentile (z) | 1.171 | |
| 646 | | | | 99% Percentile (z) | | 1.394 | | | | | 95% USL | 1.54 | |
| 647 | | | | DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons | | | | | | | | | |
| 648 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 649 | | | | Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | |
| 650 | | | | A-D Test Statistic | | 0.674 | | | | | Anderson-Darling GOF Test | | |
| 651 | | | | 5% A-D Critical Value | | 0.744 | | | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 652 | | | | K-S Test Statistic | | 0.157 | | | | | Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF | | |
| 653 | | | | 5% K-S Critical Value | | 0.182 | | | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | |
| 654 | | | | Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | |
| 655 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 656 | | | | Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only | | | | | | | | | |
| 657 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 9.648 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 8.418 | |
| 658 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 0.0797 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.0913 | |
| 659 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 443.8 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 387.3 | |
| 660 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 0.769 | | | | | | | |
| 661 | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | | 0.265 | | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | 27.38 | |
| 662 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 663 | | | | Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | |
| 664 | | | | GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs | | | | | | | | | |
| 665 | | | | GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20) | | | | | | | | | |
| 666 | | | | For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | | | | | | | | | |
| 667 | | | | This is especially true when the sample size is small. | | | | | | | | | |
| 668 | | | | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | | | | | | | | | |
| 669 | | | | Minimum | | 0.224 | | | | | Mean | 0.663 | |
| 670 | | | | Maximum | | 1.76 | | | | | Median | 0.681 | |
| 671 | | | | SD | | 0.299 | | | | | CV | 0.452 | |
| 672 | | | | k hat (MLE) | | 5.676 | | | | | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 5.165 | |
| 673 | | | | Theta hat (MLE) | | 0.117 | | | | | Theta star (bias corrected MLE) | 0.128 | |
| 674 | | | | nu hat (MLE) | | 363.3 | | | | | nu star (bias corrected) | 330.5 | |
| 675 | | | | MLE Mean (bias corrected) | | 0.663 | | | | | MLE Sd (bias corrected) | 0.292 | |
| 676 | | | | 95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar) | | 18.76 | | | | | 90% Percentile | 1.053 | |
| 677 | | | | 95% Percentile | | 1.203 | | | | | 99% Percentile | 1.521 | |
| 678 | | | | The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data | | | | | | | | | |
| 679 | | | | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | |
| 680 | | | | | | WH | | | | | HW | | |
| 681 | | | | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | 1.424 | | | | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | 1.217 | |
| 682 | | | | 95% Gamma USL | | 1.719 | | | | | | 1.229 | |
| 683 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 684 | | | | Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates | | | | | | | | | |
| 685 | | | | Mean (KM) | | 0.678 | | | | | SD (KM) | 0.282 | |
| 686 | | | | Variance (KM) | | 0.0798 | | | | | SE of Mean (KM) | 0.0519 | |
| 687 | | | | k hat (KM) | | 5.759 | | | | | k star (KM) | 5.24 | |
| 688 | | | | nu hat (KM) | | 368.6 | | | | | nu star (KM) | 335.3 | |
| 689 | | | | theta hat (KM) | | 0.118 | | | | | theta star (KM) | 0.129 | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|--|---|---|---------------------------|-------|--------|--|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------------|--------|
| 690 | | | | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | | 0.906 | | | | | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 1.074 |
| 691 | | | | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | | 1.227 | | | | | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 1.548 |
| 692 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 693 | The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 694 | Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 695 | | | | | WH | HW | | | | | WH | HW |
| 696 | 95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage | | | | 1.357 | 1.372 | | 95% Approx. Gamma UPL | | | 1.176 | 1.181 |
| 697 | 95% KM Gamma Percentile | | | | 1.147 | 1.152 | | 95% Gamma USL | | | 1.611 | 1.646 |
| 698 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 699 | Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 700 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | | | | | 0.947 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | | | | | |
| 701 | 5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | | | | | 0.914 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 702 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | | | | | 0.137 | Lilliefors GOF Test | | | | | |
| 703 | 5% Lilliefors Critical Value | | | | | 0.18 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | |
| 704 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 705 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 706 | Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 707 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | | 0.677 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | | -0.46 |
| 708 | SD in Original Scale | | | | | 0.283 | SD in Log Scale | | | | | 0.372 |
| 709 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | | 1.424 | 95% BCA UTL95% Coverage | | | | | 1.76 |
| 710 | 95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage | | | | | 1.76 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | | 1.198 |
| 711 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | | 1.017 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | | 1.164 |
| 712 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | | 1.501 | 95% USL | | | | | 1.772 |
| 713 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 714 | Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 715 | KM Mean of Logged Data | | | | | -0.463 | 95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage | | | | | 1.436 |
| 716 | KM SD of Logged Data | | | | | 0.377 | 95% KM UPL (Lognormal) | | | | | 1.205 |
| 717 | 95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z) | | | | | 1.171 | 95% KM USL (Lognormal) | | | | | 1.792 |
| 718 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 719 | Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 720 | Mean in Original Scale | | | | | 0.634 | Mean in Log Scale | | | | | -0.589 |
| 721 | SD in Original Scale | | | | | 0.327 | SD in Log Scale | | | | | 0.545 |
| 722 | 95% UTL95% Coverage | | | | | 1.825 | 95% UPL (t) | | | | | 1.417 |
| 723 | 90% Percentile (z) | | | | | 1.115 | 95% Percentile (z) | | | | | 1.359 |
| 724 | 99% Percentile (z) | | | | | 1.97 | 95% USL | | | | | 2.512 |
| 725 | DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 726 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 727 | Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 728 | Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 729 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 730 | Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 731 | Order of Statistic, r | | | | | 32 | 95% UTL with95% Coverage | | | | | 1.76 |
| 732 | Approx, f used to compute achieved CC | | | | | 1.684 | pproximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL | | | | | 0.806 |
| 733 | Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC | | | | | 59 | 95% UPL | | | | | 1.396 |
| 734 | 95% USL | | | | | 1.76 | 95% KM Chebyshev UPL | | | | | 1.928 |
| 735 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 736 | Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 737 | Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 738 | and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 739 | The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 740 | represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 741 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 742 | Se | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|-----|---|---|---|---|-----|---|--------------------------------|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 743 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 744 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 745 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 32 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 0 | |
| 746 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| 747 | Number of Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 32 | |
| 748 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 2 | |
| 749 | Minimum Detect | | | | N/A | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.005 | |
| 750 | Maximum Detect | | | | N/A | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 0.05 | |
| 751 | Variance Detected | | | | N/A | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 100% | |
| 752 | Mean Detected | | | | N/A | | SD Detected | | | | N/A | |
| 753 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | | N/A | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | N/A | |
| 754 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 755 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 756 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 757 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 758 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 759 | The data set for variable Se was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 760 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 761 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 762 | Th | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 763 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 764 | General Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 765 | Total Number of Observations | | | | 30 | | Number of Missing Observations | | | | 2 | |
| 766 | Number of Distinct Observations | | | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| 767 | Number of Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Non-Detects | | | | 30 | |
| 768 | Number of Distinct Detects | | | | 0 | | Number of Distinct Non-Detects | | | | 2 | |
| 769 | Minimum Detect | | | | N/A | | Minimum Non-Detect | | | | 0.001 | |
| 770 | Maximum Detect | | | | N/A | | Maximum Non-Detect | | | | 0.01 | |
| 771 | Variance Detected | | | | N/A | | Percent Non-Detects | | | | 100% | |
| 772 | Mean Detected | | | | N/A | | SD Detected | | | | N/A | |
| 773 | Mean of Detected Logged Data | | | | N/A | | SD of Detected Logged Data | | | | N/A | |
| 774 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 775 | Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 776 | Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 777 | The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 778 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 779 | The data set for variable Th was not processed! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 780 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 781 | | | | | | | | | | | | |